2013-1350
(Reexamination No. 95/001,715)

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

FACEBOOK, INC.,

Appellant,

v.

PRAGMATUS AV, LLC,

Appellee.

Appeal from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board.

OPENING BRIEF FOR APPELLANT

HEIDI L. KEEFE
MARK R. WEINSTEIN
REUBEN H. CHEN
COOLEY LLP
Five Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real
Palo Alto, CA 94306
Tel: (650) 843-5000
Fax: (650) 849-7400
hkeefe@cooley.com
mweinstein@cooley.com
rchen@cooley.com

SCOTT A. COLE
COOLEY LLP
One Freedom Square
11951 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190-5656
Tel: (703) 456-8000
Fax: (703) 456-8100
scole@cooley.com

Attorneys for Appellant FACEBOOK, INC.

October 30, 2013

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Counsel for Appellant Facebook, Inc. certifies the following:

1. The full name of every party or amicus represented by me is: Facebook, Inc.

2. The name of the real party in interest (if the party named in the caption is not the real party in interest) represented by me is: None.

3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock of the party or amicus curiae represented by me are: None.

4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party now represented by me in the trial court or are expected to appear in this court are:

From Cooley LLP: Heidi Keefe, Mark Weinstein, Reuben Chen, Scott Cole, John Mills, and Justin Wilcox.*

*Mr. Wilcox represented Facebook before the U.S.P.T.O, but is no longer associated with Cooley LLP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST i

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iv

I. STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES vii

II. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION 1

III. STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES 1

IV. STATEMENT OF THE CASE 2

V. STATEMENT OF FACTS 2

A. Patent-At-Issue 2

B. History of the Reexamination 5

VI. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT 8

VII. ARGUMENT 10

A. Standard of Review: Legal Conclusions Reviewed De Novo; Factual Findings Reviewed for Substantial Evidence 10

B. Claims in Reexamination Must Be Interpreted in Accordance with Their Broadest Reasonable Construction 11

C. The '470 Patent Is Invalid in View of the Prior Art 12

1. The PTAB Erroneously Construed "Addressing Information" to Specify the "Physical Location" of a Communication Device 13

2. The '470 Patent Is Invalid Under the Proper Construction 19

a. Bowen Discloses the Claimed Addressing Information 19

b. Bowen, BowenII, and Lichty Inherently Disclose the Claimed "Addressing Information" 21

3. Even Under the PTAB's Erroneous Construction, the Prior Art Discloses the "Addressing Information" Limitation Under the Doctrine of Inherency 23

VIII. CONCLUSION AND STATEMENT OF RELIEF SOUGHT 28

ADDENDUM

Decision on Appeal JA00001-23

U.S. Patent No. 7,421,470 B2 .....JA00047-109

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Akamai Techs., Inc. v. Cable & Wireless Internet Servs., Inc.,
344 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2003) 14

Flo Healthcare Solutions, LLC v. Kappos,
697 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 10

In re Baxter Int'l, Inc.,
678 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 10

In re Bigio,
381 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 14

In re Dorenbosch,
2012 WL 978996 (B.P.A.I. 2012) 16

In re ICON Health and Fitness, Inc.,
496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 11

In re Morsa,
713 F.3d 104 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 10

In re Myers,
2012 WL 4043170 (B.P.A.I. 2012) 16

In re Rambus Inc.,
694 F.3d 42 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 11

In re Yamamoto,
740 F.2d 1569 (Fed. Cir. 1984) 11

Insituform Techs., Inc. v. Cat Contracting, Inc.,
99 F.3d 1098 (Fed. Cir. 1996)
cert. denied, 520 U.S. 1198 (1997) 13

Johnson Worldwide Assocs., Inc. v. Zebco Corp.,
175 F.3d 985 (Fed. Cir. 1999) 14

King Pharm., Inc. v. Eon Labs., Inc.,
616 F.3d 1267 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 26

nCube Corp. v. SeaChange Int'l, Inc.,
436 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2006) 15, 16

Pause Tech., LLC v. TiVo, Inc.,
419 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2005) 15

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) 12, 14, 16

SanDisk Corp. v. Kingston Tech. Co., Inc., et al.,
695 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 15

Schering Corp. v. Geneva Pharm.,
339 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2003) 22

Statutes

28 U.S.C. § 1295(a)(4)(A) 1

28 U.S.C. § 2107(b) 1

35 U.S.C. § 141 1

35 U.S.C. § 142 1

Rules

Federal Circuit Rule 15(a)(1) 1

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 1.304 1

M.P.E.P. § 2258 I.G 11

Other Authorities

A. Freedman, *The Computer Glossary* (9th ed. 2001) 15

Charles Bowen and David Peyton, "CompuServe Information Manager: The Complete Sourcebook," (Bantam Books 1990).....*passim*

Charles Bowen and David Peyton, "How to Get the Most Out of CompuServe," (5th ed., Random House, Inc. 1991).....*passim*

Inter Partes Request: Michael A. Banks, *The Traveling Executive's Survival Guide* (1992) 27

Michael A. Banks, *America Online: A Graphics Based Success Evaluation* (Jan. 1992).....27

Newton's Telecom Dictionary 645 (21st ed. 2005) 16

Tom Lichty, "The Official America Online® for Windows™ Membership Kit & Tour Guide," (Ver. 1, Ventana Press, Inc. 1993) .....*passim*

I. STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES

In accordance with Federal Circuit Rule 47.5, counsel for Appellant Facebook, Inc. states:

1. There have been no other appeals in or from this same proceeding in the Patent and Trademark Office before this Court.

2. The following cases are known to be pending in this or another court and will be directly affected by this Court's decision in the pending appeal:

- *Facebook, Inc. v. Pragmatus AV, LLC*, Reexamination Control No. 95/001,716 (Federal Circuit Appeal No. 13-1351)
- *Pragmatus AV, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.*, Case No. 11-cv-02168-EJD (N.D. Cal. filed November 15, 2010).

II. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

This Court has exclusive jurisdiction over this appeal under 28 U.S.C. § 1295(a)(4)(A) and 35 U.S.C. § 141. Appellant Facebook, Inc. ("Facebook") appeals from a Decision on Appeal by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("PTAB") of the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO"), affirming the Examiner's decision not to adopt the proposed rejection of claims 1-52 of U.S. Patent No. 7,421,470 ("the '470 patent").

The date of the PTAB's decision, which is an appealable final order, is January 11, 2013. On March 11, 2013, Facebook timely filed a Notice of Appeal to this Court and served it on the Director of the PTO, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 142, 37 C.F.R. § 1.304, 28 U.S.C. § 2107(b), and Federal Circuit Rule 15(a)(1).

III. STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES

1. Did the PTAB err by departing from the broadest reasonable construction or the plain and ordinary meaning of "addressing information" and adopting a narrow construction limited to the physical location of a communication device?
2. Under the broadest reasonable construction or the plain and ordinary meaning of "addressing information," which encompasses both logical and physical addresses, does the prior art, which the parties agree disclose information used to send data to a correct communication device, meet the "addressing information" limitation?

3. Even under the PTAB's narrow construction, did the PTAB err in concluding that the prior art does not inherently disclose the physical location of a communication device?

IV. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This is an appeal from a PTAB decision dated January 11, 2013, affirming the Examiner's decision not to adopt the proposed rejection of claims 1-52 of U.S. Patent No. 7,421,470 (the "'470 patent") in *inter partes* Reexamination Control No. 95/001,715. Facebook appeals the PTAB's ruling that claims 1-52 are valid over the prior art, including: (1) Charles Bowen and David Peyton, "How to Get the Most Out of CompuServe," (5th ed., Random House, Inc. 1991) ("Bowen"); (2) Charles Bowen and David Peyton, "CompuServe Information Manager: The Complete Sourcebook," (Bantam Books 1990) ("BowenII"); and (3) Tom Lichty, "The Official America Online® for Windows™ Membership Kit & Tour Guide," (Ver. 1, Ventana Press, Inc. 1993) ("Lichty").

V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Patent-At-Issue

The specification of the '470 patent generally relates to facilitating communication and collaboration among multiple users. More than 30 patents have issued from the original application to which the '470 patent claims priority, U.S. Patent Appl. Ser. No. 08/131,523 filed October 1, 1993, each patent covering

some aspect of the system disclosed in the specification. Very little of the lengthy specification pertains to the subject matter of the later '470 patent claims. The claims focus primarily on the ability of a user to log-in at a communication device using communication software known as "collaboration initiation software," which in turn provides various features for initiating communication with other users. As explained in the Summary of the Invention:

> More specifically, the present invention provides a method of real-time communication between a plurality of users each with respective communication devices having associated displays, the method comprising the steps of providing each of the plurality of users with collaboration initiation software at their communication devices and allowing at least first and second users to connect to at least one communication network by logging in at their respective communication devices. Further, the method comprises maintaining service records for at least the first and second logged in users, the service records including user identification information and an associated location where each user is logged in. A display of a user identifier for at least the second user on the display of at least a first user's communication device is provided, thereby allowing the user to select the displayed second user's identifier and retrieve necessary addressing information of the second user so that a connection between the first and second users can be established, thereby enabling real-time communication including video images of at least one user and real-time text messages displayed on the display associated with at least one user.

(JA88 at 5:60-6:13.) The '470 patent contains four independent claims, *i.e.* claims 1, 16, 29, and 43. Representative claim 1 reads as follows:

1. A method comprising:

maintaining a first association between a first user and corresponding addressing information of a first communication device used by the first user to log in;

maintaining a second association between a second user and corresponding addressing information of a second communication device used by the second user to log in, wherein the second communication device is separated from the first communication device by a wide area network;

wherein the first and second associations are dynamically changeable by keeping track of client programs at the respective communication devices so that the first and second users, if logged in, can be found no matter where they are located;

presenting a user interface on a display associated with the first communication device, the user interface including at least one of a scrollable list of identifiers of a plurality of users and a dial panel of identifiers for at least a subset of users from the scrollable list, wherein at least one of the scrollable list and the dial panel includes an identifier for the second user;

if the second user is not logged in, indicating to the first user that the second user is not logged in;

allowing the first user to select from the user interface the identifier of the second user;

in response to the first user selecting the identifier of the second user and if the second user is logged in, using the addressing information of the second communication device to allow communication between the first and second users, the communication being established using either a communication type selected by the first user or a default communication type;

detecting an incoming request for communication, from at least a third user, at the first communication device of the first user during an active communication with the second user;

indicating to the first user the third user; and

providing the first user with an option of accepting the incoming request for communication with the third user.

(JA106, claim 1.)

The ’470 patent recently expired on October 1, 2013. (JA47.)

B. History of the Reexamination

Facebook filed its request for *inter partes* reexamination on August 21, 2011, seeking reexamination of all claims of the ’470 patent. (JA779-855.) The PTO granted the request, finding that the prior art raised a substantial new question of patentability as to each challenged claim. The order granting reexamination focused primarily on the first reference cited in the *inter partes* request: Bowen. The Examiner quoted the reasons for allowability in the original Notice of Allowance, and then specifically found that Bowen disclosed each of the claim limitations that were the basis of that original allowance. (JA6623-24.) In particular, the Examiner found that:

- “Bowen discloses dynamically changeable association between users and communication devices, which is one of the features mentioned in the prior examiner’s statement of reasons for allowance.” (JA6623 ¶ 6.)
- “Bowen also discloses that a user can initial [sic] communication with another user using a display containing of a list of identifiers, which is another feature identified in the reasons for allowance.” (JA6623 ¶ 6 (citation omitted).)
- “Bowen further discloses that a user can invite another user for a private one-to-one or private group conversation while being tuned to one channel and monitoring another channel, which is also mentioned in the examiner’s reasons for allowance.” (JA6623 ¶ 6.)

On the same day as granting the reexamination, however, the Examiner issued an Action Closing Prosecution (“ACP”) confirming all claims over the cited

prior art, including Bowen. The Examiner's decision was based on a single claim limitation recited in all four independent claims: "maintaining a first association between a first user and corresponding addressing information of a first communication device used by the first user to log in." (JA6722-24.)

Facebook timely appealed the Examiner's decision to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, which later became the PTAB. (JA6929-31.) The PTAB held a hearing on the appeal on December 19, 2012, following the completion of briefing by both sides. The argument focused on the term, "addressing information of a first communication device," which formed the sole basis of the Examiner's decision to confirm the claims.

During the hearing, the patent owner and Facebook were in agreement that the prior art did, in fact, disclose "addressing information." Counsel for the patent owner asserted at the hearing, for example, that "the examiner did agree with FaceBook's [sic] position that in the cited prior art there must be some addressing information. There must be some association maintained between a user and a communication device to log in. Otherwise the communication could not get from point to point. ***And we agree, we can all agree on that***." (JA6903 at 22:3-10 (emphasis added).) The patent owner further agreed that "addressing information can be expressed in many forms. And need only allow the system to direct

information to the destination computer. That is what the phrase, addressing information means." (JA 6905 at 24:19-23.)

The patent owner nonetheless argued that the claim language requiring "addressing information ***of a first communication device***" imposed the additional requirement that the addressing information not only allow the device to be identified, but also include some inherent ***characteristic*** about the device. (JA6906 at 25:8-10, JA6909 at 28:4-7.) Facebook, on the other hand, responded that under its broadest reasonable interpretation, the "addressing information" phrase merely requires information that allows communications to be routed from one computer to another and does not require that the "addressing information" come from the device or represent an inherent characteristic of the device. (JA6922-23 at at 41:16-42:4.)

On January 24, 2013, the PTAB issued its decision affirming the confirmation of the claims. The PTAB's decision was based entirely on its construction of the phrase, "addressing information of a first communication device used to log in." The PTAB narrowly construed this phrase as "the ***physical*** location of a communication device used by a prospective user to log in." (JA11 (emphasis added).) Neither Facebook nor Pragmatus had advanced this construction in its briefs. Facebook argued that the broadest reasonable construction and the plain and ordinary meaning of "addressing information" was

"information that the system uses to send information to the computer used by the user to log in." (JA6748.) And as noted previously, Pragmatus acknowledged this plain and ordinary meaning of "addressing information" during the PTAB hearing, stating: "We agree that the addressing information can be expressed in many forms. And really need only allow the system to direct information to the destination computer." (JA6905 at 24:19-21.)

VI. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

The PTAB erred in narrowly construing "addressing information of a first communication device used to log in" as limited to the "***physical*** location" of the first communication device. This construction, which is subject to *de novo* review by this Court, was far narrower than the broadest reasonable construction or the plain and ordinary meaning, and is unsupported by the intrinsic record. The patentee neither acted as its own lexicographer by defining "addressing information" in the intrinsic record, nor made any clear disclaimers or disavowals justifying a deviation from the plain and ordinary meaning. Facebook respectfully requests that this Court reverse the PTAB's construction and construe "addressing information of a first communication device used to log in" as ***information that the system uses to send data to a first communications device used to log in***. Because the PTAB's erroneous construction was the sole basis for confirming the claims over the prior art, Facebook respectfully requests that the PTAB's decision

be reversed with directions that the PTAB enter New Grounds of Rejection based on the grounds identified in Facebook's reexamination request.

The prior art cited in Facebook's reexamination request – Bowen, BowenII, and Lichty – clearly discloses the claimed addressing information under either its broadest reasonable construction or its plain and ordinary meaning, which encompasses both logical and physical addresses. Bowen teaches a "Job Number" associated with a user's communication device upon log-in that is used to direct communications to and from the user's device to facilitate real-time chat with other users. Bowen, BowenII, and Lichty all teach the ability to engage in real-time, computer-to-computer communication with other users over computer networks, which also require that the systems associate users with information for locating their communication devices. Because the PTAB's erroneous construction of "addressing information of a first communication device" was its sole basis for confirming the claims over the cited prior art, and because the prior art discloses this limitation as properly construed, the PTAB's decision should be reversed.

Moreover, even under the PTAB's erroneous claim construction requiring the ***physical*** location of a user's communication device, the PTAB erred in failing to find that Bowen, BowenII, and Lichty inherently disclose the limitation. These references disclose real-time computer-to-computer communications among multiple simultaneously logged-in users using a packet-switched network – with

communications sent directly to a particular user's physical computer. Absent information within the system and/or the computer's network knowing its physical location, the data would never reach the user's computer. Thus, maintaining an association between the user and the specific communication device used to log in is inherent in the disclosures of Bowen, BowenII, and Lichty.

VII. ARGUMENT

A. Standard of Review: Legal Conclusions Reviewed De Novo; Factual Findings Reviewed for Substantial Evidence

The Federal Circuit reviews legal conclusions of the PTAB *de novo*. *In re Morsa*, 713 F.3d 104, 109 (Fed. Cir. 2013). Factual findings of the PTAB are reviewed for substantial evidence. *Id*. Anticipation is a question of fact that the Court reviews for substantial evidence. *Id*. Obviousness is a question of law reviewed *de novo* based on underlying facts reviewed for substantial evidence. *Id*.

As demonstrated below, the PTAB's decision rests almost entirely on resolution of claim interpretation issues, which this Court reviews *de novo*. *In re Baxter Int'l, Inc.*, 678 F.3d 1357, 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012). If this Court disagrees with the PTAB's claim construction, questions of anticipation and obviousness will be determined under this Court's claim construction. *Flo Healthcare Solutions, LLC v. Kappos*, 697 F.3d 1367, 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2012).

B. Claims in Reexamination Must Be Interpreted in Accordance with Their Broadest Reasonable Construction

"During reexamination, as with original examination, the PTO must give claims their broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification." *In re ICON Health and Fitness, Inc*., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007). "Therefore, we look to the specification to see if it provides a definition for claim terms, but otherwise apply a broad interpretation." *Id*. The one exception to this rule is that in a reexamination of an *expired* patent, claims are interpreted in accordance with the same principles that apply in litigation. *See In re Rambus Inc.*, 694 F.3d 42, 46 (Fed. Cir. 2012) (citing M.P.E.P. § 2258 I.G)

The broadest reasonable construction standard should apply to this appeal. The '470 patent only recently expired on October 1, 2013. Facebook filed its reexamination request more than two years earlier on August 17, 2011. All proceedings before the Examiner and the Board took place prior to expiration of the patent, and as such, the "broadest reasonable construction" standard applied to all of those proceedings. The patentee was free during this time to amend its claims. *See In re ICON Health and Fitness, Inc*., 496 F.3d at 1379 (noting that "broadest reasonable construction" standard recognizes patentee's ability to amend and narrow claims) (citing *In re Yamamoto*, 740 F.2d 1569, 1571 (Fed. Cir. 1984)).

There is no reason for this Court to apply a standard different from that one applicable to the Examiner and the PTAB at the time of their respective decisions.[1]

C. The '470 Patent Is Invalid in View of the Prior Art

The merits of this appeal turn on a single issue of claim interpretation subject to *de novo* review – does the claim limitation, "maintaining a first association between a first user and corresponding addressing information of a first communication device used by the first user to log in," require that the "addressing information" be limited to the ***physical*** location of a communication device? This issue is potentially dispositive of this appeal because, as explained below, there is no dispute that the prior art discloses this limitation under a broader construction that does not require "physical location."

The PTAB's construction improperly departs from its plain and ordinary meaning. The claims, specification, and the file history do not even mention "physical location" of a communication device to describe the recited "addressing information." Nor does the intrinsic record contain any express definitions or clear disavowals or disclaimers that would justify such a narrow departure from the plain and ordinary meaning of "addressing information." The prior art discloses all elements of the claims of the '470 patent and thus renders the patent anticipated.

[1] As explained in Part VII.C below, Facebook believes the PTAB's construction of "addressing information" fails regardless of whether this Court applies the "broadest reasonable construction" standard or the claim construction principles in *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, 415 F.3d 1303, 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc).

Additionally, even applying the erroneously narrow construction adopted by the PTAB, "addressing information" representing the physical location of the communication device is inherent in the disclosures of the prior art. This is because those references disclose real time and private communications from computer-to-computer, a capability that could not be provided without addressing information specifying the physical location of the communications devices of the communicating users. Accordingly, for the reasons expressed below, Facebook respectfully requests that the Court reverse the decision of the PTAB and direct the PTAB to enter the proposed rejections set forth in Facebook's request for *inter partes* reexamination.

1. The PTAB Erroneously Construed "Addressing Information" to Specify the "Physical Location" of a Communication Device

The PTAB based its construction of "addressing information" on three passages in the specification (none of which suggest a physical location requirement) and a remark from Pragmatus' attorney at oral argument who "confirmed that address information constitutes a physical location." (JA11.)[2] The PTAB erred. Not only was the process for arriving at this construction flawed,

[2] Conclusory, unsupported statements by counsel cannot be used to interpret the claims. *See Insituform Techs., Inc. v. Cat Contracting, Inc.*, 99 F.3d 1098, 1106 (Fed. Cir. 1996) ("[A]ttorney argument cannot control in light of the language of the claim.") (Michel, J.) (reviewing claim construction *de novo*) *cert. denied*, 520 U.S. 1198 (1997).

but the adopted construction conflicts with the plain and ordinary meaning of "address" in the context of computing and computer networks.

This Court has long held to the claim construction axiom that claim terms are "generally given their ordinary and customary meaning." *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, 415 F.3d 1303, 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc); *Johnson Worldwide Assocs., Inc. v. Zebco Corp.*, 175 F.3d 985, 989 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Only where the patentee acted as his own lexicographer and explicitly defined the term, or the intrinsic record reveals a clear disclaimer or disavowal, should a court depart from this plain and ordinary meaning. *Phillips*, 415 F.3d at 1316-17. Indeed, "[a]bsent evidence that a patentee unequivocally imparted a novel meaning to the term or expressly relinquished the claim scope during prosecution, [this Court] give[s] the limitation its full ordinary and customary meaning." *Akamai Techs., Inc. v. Cable & Wireless Internet Servs., Inc.*, 344 F.3d 1186, 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2003) (internal quotation omitted); *see also In re Bigio*, 381 F.3d 1320, 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2004) ("Absent claim language carrying a narrow meaning, the PTO should only limit the claim based on the specification or prosecution history when those sources expressly disclaim the broader definition.").

The PTAB's decision is devoid of any analysis of the plain and ordinary meaning of "addressing information." As Facebook argued in its initial brief to the PTAB, in the context of computer networks, "addressing information" as used in

the claims simply means information that the system uses to send data to the communications device used to log in. (JA6748.) Prior to oral argument before the PTAB, Pragmatus did not dispute this definition. Indeed, even at oral argument, Pragmatus confirmed that "[w]e agree that addressing information can be expressed in many forms. And really need only allow the system to direct information to the destination computer." (JA6905 at 24:19-21.) Pragmatus also agreed at oral argument that the cited prior art did disclose "addressing information" under this broader formulation. (JA6903 at 22:3-10 (emphasis added).) The parties appear to be in full agreement, therefore, that neither the broadest reasonable construction nor the plain and ordinary meaning of "addressing information" requires a physical location or physical address.

The parties' agreement is consistent with this Court's precedent observing that an "address" in the computer and communications context can specify either a "physical" or a "logical" address. *See nCube Corp. v. SeaChange Int'l, Inc.*, 436 F.3d 1317, 1321 & 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2006); *see also SanDisk Corp. v. Kingston Tech. Co., Inc., et al.*, 695 F.3d 1348, 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2012); *Pause Tech., LLC v. TiVo, Inc.*, 419 F.3d 1326, 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2005). A "physical" address refers to "[t]he actual, machine address of an item or device." *nCube*, 436 F.3d at 1326-27 (Dyk, J. dissenting) (quoting A. Freedman, *The Computer Glossary* (9th ed. 2001)). A logical address, on the other hand, "reflect[s] the administrative or

functional relationships [among the addressed entities]." *Id.* (citation omitted). "A telephone number is an example of a logical address, while the port to which the telephone is connected is a physical address." *Id.* at 1327 n.1 (citing *Newton's Telecom Dictionary* 645 (21st ed. 2005)). "A logical address ... may have no fixed physical address. That is, a person might move from one home to another, keeping the same telephone number." *Id.* (citation omitted).[3] One of ordinary skill in the art would certainly be aware of this distinction in considering whether the unadorned phrase "addressing information" is limited to "physical" locations or addresses. This Court's precedent, therefore, is consistent with the parties' agreement that "addressing information" does not require physical location and does not exclude logical addresses.

Having ascertained the plain and ordinary meaning of the term, the next step under Federal Circuit claim construction law is to determine whether the intrinsic record provides any reason to depart from this plain and ordinary meaning. *See Phillips*, 415 F.3d at 1316-17. The intrinsic record provides no such reason.

The PTAB identified three passages of the specification in support of its construction. (JA11.) But none of those passages suggests, let alone requires, that

[3] Common examples of "logical" addresses include a telephone number, an e-mail address and an Internet Protocol (IP) address. *See, e.g.*, *In re Dorenbosch*, 2012 WL 978996, at *1-2 (B.P.A.I. 2012); *In re Myers*, 2012 WL 4043170, at *6 (B.P.A.I. 2012). The logical address in each of these examples is assigned by a service provider, which performs translation on the logical address to identify the physical device to which the logical address refers.

the recited addressing information be the physical location of a communication device. First, the specification discloses the step of "maintaining service records for at least the first and second logged in users, the service records including user identification information and an associated location where each user is logged in." (JA88 at 6:1-6:4.) Second, the specification further explains that these "service records are entered into the Service Server's service database. The service database thus keeps track of the location of the client programs and the types of collaborative sessions in which they can participate. This allows the Collaboration Initiator to find collaboration participants no matter where they are located." (JA96 at 21:12-17.) Third, the specification states that "[t]his service record identifies the location of the callee's Collaboration Initiator as well as the network ports that the callee is connected to." (JA96 at 22:13-16.)

The PTAB decision offered no explanation why any of these passages required a "physical location" or disavowed logical addresses. These passages merely indicate that the "service records" (which the PTAB found corresponded to the claimed "addressing information") maintain an association between the user and its location so the device can receive communications directed to it. The PTAB also did not identify any clear disavowals or disclaimers in the file history.

Pragmatus confirmed during oral argument that "addressing information can be expressed in many forms. And really need only allow the system to direct

information to the destination computer." (JA6905 at 24:19-21.) Pragmatus' argument placed unwarranted emphasis on the claim language that follows the "addressing information" term: "addressing information ***of a first communication device used by the first user to log in***." (JA6906 at 25:1-10.) Nothing in these words, however, requires that the "addressing information" specify a physical location or intrinsic characteristic of the device. It simply specifies that the "addressing information" be that of "the first communication device," which is the device used to log in. The plain and ordinary meaning of "addressing information" can encompass any information the system uses to direct communications to the first communication device, such as the logical address of the device. Nothing in the phrase, "of a first communication device," requires that "addressing information" specify physical location.

Because there is no basis for departing from the plain and ordinary meaning of "addressing information," the PTAB erred in importing a physical location limitation. This Court should therefore construe "addressing information" as information that the system uses to send data to the first communication device used to log-in.

2. The '470 Patent Is Invalid Under the Proper Construction

a. Bowen Discloses the Claimed Addressing Information

There appears to be no dispute that, under the plain and ordinary meaning of "addressing information," Bowen discloses it. Bowen describes various aspects of a once popular on-line service known as CompuServe. CompuServe allows a user to log-in using its own personal computer using a software program running on the user's computer. (JA1301.) Bowen explains that a user logs into CompuServe using a unique User ID, and then, can communicate with other users using various services such as e-mail and text-based conferencing. (JA944; JA946-48; JA950; JA954-56; JA3393-94.) One of the services the user can access is referred to in Bowen as "CompuServe CB Simulator," which provides real-time text conferencing capabilities such as the ability to join existing group conversations or initiate private chat sessions with other users. (JA1058-59; JA1064-65.)

Bowen discloses the step of "maintaining a first association between a first user and corresponding addressing information of a first communication device used by the first user to log in." One of the commands available in the CB Simulator as described in Bowen was "/USErs," which displays information about users who are logged-in. This command is described in Bowen as follows:

/USErs

/USErs provides a "user status" report of all the users on your current channel or in your private group, and looks something like this:

Job	User ID	Nod	Chn	Handle
32	70000,010	QAK	6	Old Fella
203	77777,375	QAK	6	Bluegrass
214	70000,1331	ALT	6	Hermit

(JA1073.)

The left column specifies the "Job" number for each user. "A job is a one-, two-, or three digit temporary number which the host computer automatically assigns to each user at login to the CB area." (JA1073.) The "Job" number, therefore, is representative of the communication device the user used to log into the CB Simulator. The next column, "User ID," shows the unique user IDs for each user or ("talker") who is available in the chat channel. (JA1073.) The last column, "Handle," specifies the textual name assigned to each user. (JA1072.)

The "Job" number described above acts, at the very least, as a logical address that allows a system to identify another member's computer to which communications will be sent. (JA1073 ("You also need job numbers with some special features, which will be discussed later in this chapter. At any one time, no two job numbers are the same."). For example, a user logged into the CB Simulator command can type "/INV," followed by the job number of another member, to initiate private communicate with that member. (JA1077 ("/INVite followed by the other user's job number, such as /INV 23….Inviting job 23 to join

group.").) To invite the users whose handles are "Old Fella," "BlueGrass" or "Hermit" listed by the /USErs command above, for example, a member could type "/INV 32," "INV /203," or "/INV 214," respectively.

Bowen clearly discloses "maintaining a first association between a first user and corresponding addressing information of a first communication device used by the first user to log in." The /USErs table above shows the claimed association between a first user (represented by its User ID) and corresponding addressing information of the computer it used to log into the CB Simulator (which is reflected in the Job value). Bowen further discloses a command called "/WHO" that "helps you to put names, handles, and user IDs together." (JA1075.) By typing the "/WHO" command followed by another member's User ID, the "system will scan the CB channels on that band and, if it finds the user ID you have specified, it reports that user's current job number, node, channel, and handle." (JA1075.) The values are plainly associated with each other and with the user, and together, maintain the claimed association between the user and addressing information of the specific computer through which the user logged-in.

b. Bowen, BowenII, and Lichty Inherently Disclose the Claimed "Addressing Information"

Aside from the express disclosures of Bowen, all three references (Bowen, BowenII, and Lichty) inherently disclose the claimed "addressing information" under its proper construction. As this Court has held, "a prior art reference may

anticipate without disclosing a feature of the claimed invention if that missing characteristic is necessarily present, or inherent, in the single anticipating reference." *Schering Corp. v. Geneva Pharm.*, 339 F.3d 1373, 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2003). There appears to be no dispute that under a broader definition of the "addressing information" limitation that does not require physical location, the prior art inherently discloses this limitation.

Pragmatus conceded at oral argument before the PTAB, "the examiner did agree with FaceBook's [sic] position that in the cited prior art there must be some addressing information. There must be some association maintained between a user and a communication device to log in. Otherwise the communication could not get from point to point. ***And we agree, we can all agree on that***." (JA6903 at 22:3-10 (emphasis added).)

As the Examiner and Pragmatus both recognized, the systems in Bowen, BowenII, and Lichty could not function if they did not "maintain[] a first association between a first user and corresponding addressing information of a first communication device used by the first user to log in." This is because each of these references discloses features that allow members to initiate and maintain private, computer-to-computer communications among multiple simultaneously logged-in users using a network – with communications sent directly to a particular user's physical computer. Each of these systems, for example, allows a user to

initiate a private chat, conference, or instant messaging with one or more other members. Bowen, (JA1059) ("CompuServe innovated this kind of real-time conferencing, allowing any number of computers to type messages to each other as if on some enormous telephone party line."); BowenII, (JA1610) ("Compuserve has been the pioneer in real-time computer conferencing, a facility that allows you to type messages on your keyboard that may be read by people literally around the world. Unlike electronic mail, these messages are read *immediately*…. Of course, conferencing has options for private and semi-prviate talks as well."); Lichty, (JA2633) ("Instant messages may be exchanged between two AOL members logged on to the service at the same time . . . The recipient will see the messages within a few seconds and will be provided with the opportunity to respond. Instant messages may be exchanged at any time, from nearly any portion of the service."). Bowen, BowenII, and Lichty therefore inherently disclose the disputed limitation.

3. Even Under the PTAB's Erroneous Construction, the Prior Art Discloses the "Addressing Information" Limitation Under the Doctrine of Inherency

Even if one were to assume the correctness of the PTAB's construction requiring that "addressing information" is the "physical location of a communication device used by a prospective user to log in," Bowen, Bowen II, and Lichty inherently disclose this limitation. All three references disclose the ability to properly route individual communications among multiple

simultaneously logged-in users. (JA1064, JA1068-69 (Bowen indicating that "[a]nything you type from this box is sent to others in the group only."); JA1614, JA1622 (BowenII noting that "only those in the group may read messages sent by other group members."); JA2633 (Lichty disclosing that the instant messaging feature will "send the message to another member who is currently signed on.") Additionally, all three disclose the use of packet switching networks, which involve multiple users on a single communication line. JA1291-94; JA1439 (identifying the Tymnet and Sprintnet packet switching networks); JA2636. There must therefore exist, within the CompuServe and AOL systems or within the network that connects the user to those systems, information representing the physical location of a communication device. Without it, information directed to a particular device, at a particular physical location, could never be properly routed to that device.

For example, Lichty discloses the ability to log onto America Online (AOL) system with a unique user ID known as a "screen name" from any computer and then gain access to communications services such as instant messages that are displayed on the screen of the computer through which the user logged in. (JA2637.) Lichty further teaches that a user can use AOL to communicate with other users at different locations via a wide area network, such as a packet switching network:

> Packet-switching networks (PSNs) are networks of computers that communicate via a defined packet format. Let's say computer A is talking to New York and computer B is talking to Washington, D.C. Both A and B are in California. By using packets of information, computer A can send a packet, then computer B, then A, and so on. The computer in New York sees all of the incoming packet but only receives those intended for it. If all of the computers on the network agree on a definition of a packet, they can all share the same telecommunications circuit—usually a telephone line. This is how a single telephone line (node) in say, Sacramento, can handle hundreds of computer conversations simultaneously. America Online uses a variety of PSNs to supply local nodes (local telephone numbers) for members access.

(JA2636 (emphasis added).)

The fact that the computer only receives packets "intended for it" demonstrates that the AOL system in Lichty requires an association between the user and the physical location of the device used to log in. This information is used by AOL and the packet-switching networks (PSNs) to route intended communications directly to the user's physical device. Without the "addressing information," the system would not function because there would be no way for the system to know precisely where to route communications.

The same analysis applies to Bowen and BowenII, both of which disclose the ability of members to connect to CompuServe through other networks, including packet switching networks such as SprintNet. (JA1291-94; JA1439.) The conferencing and messaging functions of Bowen and BowenII simply could not function without an association "between a first user and corresponding addressing information of a first communication device used by the first user to log

in," as recited in the claims, because the system would not know how to send or deliver messages intended for a particular member.

The PTAB rejected this analysis, relying on disclosures relating to the fact that users can "dial-in" through telephone access numbers to access these packet switching networks. (JA13.) The PTAB appears to have overlooked the fact that, at the very least, the packet switching network (if not AOL or CompuServe) would need to maintain an association between the user and the physical location of the computer that the user used to log in. As disclosed in Lichty:

> [D]ozens of modems can use the same node at the same time by splitting the time available on that node into tiny packets. This is all very perplexing to those of us who think of phone numbers as being capable of handling one conversation at a time, but it's nonetheless true.

(JA2305.) Therefore, Bowen, BowenII, and Lichty inherently disclose "addressing information" even under the unsupported narrow definition adopted by the PTAB. *See King Pharm., Inc. v. Eon Labs., Inc.*, 616 F.3d 1267, 1275 (Fed. Cir. 2010) ("[If] the [prior art's] disclosure is sufficient to show that the natural result flowing from the operation as taught would result in the performance of the questioned function, it seems to be well-settled that the disclosure should be regarded as sufficient.").

Finally, the Examiner in the ***original*** prosecution recognized that such information is inherent in any on-line system that can transmit information to a

user for delivery at the computer it used to log-in. During the original prosecution, the Examiner rejected original claim 1, which recited the step of "maintaining service records for at least the first and second logged-in users, the service records including user identification information and an ***associated location where the first and second users are logged-in***." The Examiner found that this step was inherently disclosed by Michael A. Banks, *America Online: A Graphics Based Success Evaluation* (Jan. 1992).[4] (JA407-409.) The Examiner reasoned that Banks disclosed the association between the user and location information because it was "inherent that AOL system must kept [sic] some type of location information such as address, port number, and/or other indicia in order for AOL system to transmit data to the user terminal…." (JA408.) The Examiner also found that Banks disclosed the subsequent step of "using retrieved addressing information to cause the establishing of a connection between the users" because such a feature was "apparent" in the ability to establish real-time conferences and instant messages between users. (JA408.) The Examiner further explained, in the context of claim 2, that "it is inherent that AOL has service records of logged in users [that] include[] location[] information (e.g. at least some type of information such [as] address, port number, or modem bank, etc.) in order to route data to the

[4] For clarity, the Michael A. Banks reference discussed in the original prosecution about AOL is different from the reference cited in proposed rejections 2, 4, 6, 9, 11 and 14 in the *Inter Partes* Request: Michael A. Banks, *The Traveling Executive's Survival Guide* (1992).

proper user computer terminal."[5] (JA410.) Although the Examiner subsequently allowed amended claims that would issue for the '470 patent, it did not cite the recited "first association between a first user and corresponding addressing information" as a basis for allowing them over the prior art. (JA178-79.) Indeed, the '470 patent issued only after the original patentee included a limitation of detecting an incoming request for communication from "***a third user***." (JA183; JA178-79.)

VIII. CONCLUSION AND STATEMENT OF RELIEF SOUGHT

Facebook respectfully requests this Court reverse the PTAB's decision and invalidate all claims of the '470 patent.

Dated: October 30, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

COOLEY LLP

/s/ Heidi L. Keefe
Heidi L. Keefe
Cooley LLP

Attorneys for Appellant
Facebook, Inc.

[5] Similarly, in analyzing claim 1 during the original prosecution of the '921 patent—which includes the same specification as the '470 patent—the Examiner found inherent disclosure of in the Banks reference for the following limitation: "collaboration initiation software that functions to cause retrieval of necessary addressing information of the second user and to cause the establishing if a connection between the first and second user." JA737. Specifically the Examiner reasoned that this element was "apparent in order to establish real-time conference and 'instant-message' between users." JA737.

ADDENDUM

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
95/001,715	08/17/2011	7421470	362639.05008	4771

84666 7590 01/11/2013

Reed Smith LLP
P.O. Box 488
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0488

EXAMINER	
CHOI, WOO H	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3992	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
01/11/2013	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FACEBOOK, INC.
Third Party Requester and Appellant

v.

PRAGMATUS AV, LLC
Patent Owner and Respondent

Appeal 2012-010460
Reexamination Control No. 95/001,715
U.S. Patent No. 7,421,470 B2
Technology Center 3900

Before STEPHEN C. SIU, MICHAEL R. ZECHER, and THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ZECHER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION ON APPEAL

Third Party Requester Facebook, Inc. (hereinafter "Appellant")[1] appeals under 35 U.S.C. §§ 134(c) and 315(b)(1) from the Examiner's decision not to adopt any of Appellant's proposed rejections of claims 1-52 of U.S. Patent No.

[1] Appeal Brief (hereinafter "App. Br.") filed February 29, 2012, at 1.

7,421,470 (hereinafter "the '470 patent).[2] Patent Owner Pragmatus AV LLC (hereinafter "Respondent"),[3] a party to the appeal under 35 U.S.C. §315(a)(2), disputes Appellant's contentions. We heard oral arguments from both Appellant and Respondent on December 19, 2012, a written transcript (hereinafter "Hearing Tr.") of which will be entered into the electronic record in due course.

We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. §§ 6, 134, and 315.

We AFFIRM.

I. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A. Related Appeals and Litigation

Both Appellant and Respondent identify as a related appeal the concurrent appeal to the Board in *Inter Partes* Reexamination No. 95/001,716 for reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,433,921 (hereinafter "the '921 patent"). App. Br. at 1; Respondent Br. at 3.

In addition, both Appellant and Respondent identify the following civil action as related to this reexamination proceeding: *Pragmatus AV, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.*, Case No. 5:11CV-02168-EJD (N.D. Cal.). *Id.*

B. This Reexamination Proceeding

---

[2] The '470 patent issued to inventors Lester F. Ludwig, J. Chris Lauwers, Keith A. Lantz, Gerald J. Burnett, and Emmett R. Burns on September 2, 2008, is based on patent application 10/721,905, filed November 26, 2003. The '470 patent is a continuation of patent application 09/702,737, filed November 1, 2000—now U.S. Patent No. 7,185,054—which is a continuation of patent application 08/994,848, filed on December 19, 1997—now U.S. Patent No. 6,237,025—which is a continuation of patent application 08/660,461, filed on June 7, 1996—now U.S. Patent No. 5,802,294—which is a continuation of patent application 08/131,523, filed on October 1, 1993—now U.S. Patent No. 5,689,641.

[3] Respondent Brief (hereinafter "Respondent Br.") filed March 29, 2012, at 3.

1. This proceeding arose from a Request for *Inter Partes* Reexamination of the '470 patent (hereinafter "Request"), filed August 17, 2011. The '470 patent issued on September 2, 2008, with claims 1-52. The Request proposed seventeen grounds of rejection of claims 1-52 under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103(a), which are designated as rejections 1-17. Request at 8-9.

2. Reexamination was ordered in an Order Granting/Denying Request for *Inter Partes* Reexamination (hereinafter "Order"), mailed October 21, 2011. The Order was accompanied by the Action Closing Prosecution (hereinafter "ACP"), in which the Examiner declined to adopt any of the proposed rejections and accordingly confirmed the patentability of claims 1-52. ACP at 2-7.

3. In the Right of Notice of Appeal (hereinafter "RAN") mailed December 1, 2011, the Examiner repeated the positions and reasoning set forth in the ACP. RAN at 2-7.

4. Appellant timely filed a Notice of Appeal on December 29, 2011.

5. Appellant timely filed an Appeal Brief on February 29, 2012.

6. Respondent timely filed a Respondent Brief on March 29, 2012.

7. The Examiner timely mailed an Answer (hereinafter "Ans.") on May 7, 2012, which incorporates by reference the RAN. Ans. at 4.

8. Appellant timely filed a Rebuttal Brief (hereinafter "Rebuttal Br.") on June 7, 2012.

9. As noted above, we heard oral arguments from both Appellant and Respondent on December 19, 2012.

C. *The '470 Patent*

The invention at issue in the '470 patent provides real-time communication between a plurality of users, each of which has a communication device and

display associated therewith. Spec. 5:60-63. The invention provides each of the plurality of users with collaboration initiation software, and allows those users to connect to a communication network by logging in at their respective communication devices. Spec. 5:63-6:1. The invention maintains service records for the logged in users that include both user identification information and the location where each user is logged in. Spec. 6:1-4.

Figure 23 of the '470 patent is reproduced below.



FIGURE 23

Figure 23 illustrates the basic software-controlled operations occurring for a two-party call. Spec. 21:64-66. During operation (2), when a caller initiates a call, the caller's Collaboration Initiator responds by identifying the selected user and requesting that user's address from Directory Service **66**. Spec. 21:66-22:5. During operations (3) and (4), Directory Service **66** looks up the callee's address in the directory database and returns it to the caller's Collaboration Initiator. Spec. 22:5-8. During operation (5), the caller's Collaboration Initiator sends a request to the Audio Video Network Manager ("AVNM") **63** to place a call to the callee at the specified address. *Id.* at 9-11. The AVNM **63** queries the Service Server to determine if the service record stored therein identifies the location of the callee's

Collaborations Initiator as well as the network ports that the callee is connected to. *Id.* at 11-16. During operation (6), if the callee is local, the AVNM **63** sends a call event to the callee's Collaboration Initiator. *Id.* at 16-19. During operation (7), if the call is accepted, the AVNM **63** sets up the necessary communication paths between the caller and the callee required to establish the call. *Id.* at 38-41. During operation (8), the AVNM **63** notifies the caller's Collaboration Initiator that the call has been established by sending it an accept event. *Id.* at 41-43.

D. *Claims on Appeal*

The independent claims on appeal are claims 1, 16, 29, and 43. Appellant acknowledges that independent claims 16, 29, and 43 contain claim limitations that are similar to independent claim 1. App. Br. at 3. Therefore, independent claim 1 is representative:

1. A method comprising:

maintaining a first association between a first user and corresponding addressing information of a first communication device used by the first user to log in;

maintaining a second association between a second user and corresponding addressing information of a second communication device used by the second user to log in, wherein the second communication device is separated from the first communication device by a wide area network;

wherein the first and second associations are dynamically changeable by keeping track of client programs at the respective communication devices so that the first and second users, if logged in, can be found no matter where they are located;

presenting a user interface on a display associated with the first communication device, the user interface including at least one of a scrollable list of identifiers of a plurality of users and a dial panel of identifiers for at least a subset of users from the scrollable list,

wherein at least one of the scrollable list and the dial panel includes an identifier for the second user;

if the second user is not logged in, indicating to the first user that the second user is not logged in;

allowing the first user to select from the user interface the identifier of the second user; in response to the first user selecting the identifier of the second user and if the second user is logged in, using the addressing information of the second communication device to allow communication between the first and second users, the communication being established using either a communication type selected by the first user or a default communication type;

detecting an incoming request for communication, from at least a third user, at the first communication device of the first user during an active communication with the second user;

indicating to the first user the third user; and

providing the first user with an option of accepting the incoming request for communication with the third user.

Claims Appendix—App. Br. at 17 (emphasis added).

E. Prior Art Relied Upon

Hayden	US 4,653,090	Mar. 24, 1987
Roseman	US 6,608,636 B1	Aug. 19, 2003 (filed May 13, 1992)

Charles Bowen and David Peyton, "*CompuServe Information Manager: The Complete Sourcebook*," (Bantam Books 1990)(hereinafter "Bowen II").

Charles Bowen and David Peyton, "*How to Get the Most Out of CompuServe*," (5th ed., Random House, Inc. 1991)(hereinafter "Bowen").

Michael A. Banks, "*Portable Communications: The Traveling Executives Survival Guide*," (Brady Publishing 1992)(hereinafter "Banks").

Mark K. Bilbo, "*Que's Quick Guide to CompuServe*®" (Que Corp. 1992)(hereinafter "Bilbo").

Bob Campbell, "*CompuServe® CIM: Running Start*," (SYBEX Inc., 1993)(hereinafter "Campbell").

Tom Lichty, "*The Official America Online® For Windows™ Membership Kit & Tour Guide*," (Ver. 1, Ventana Press, Inc. 1993)(hereinafter "Lichty").

F. The Rejections

Appellant contends that the Examiner erred by failing to adopt the seventeen proposed rejections of claims 1-52 set forth in the Request. App. Br. at 5. The seventeen proposed rejections are listed as follows:

1. claims 1-4, 6, 7, 13-15, 29-32, 34-36, 38, 42-46 and 50-52 as being anticipated by Bowen (*id.*);

2. claims 5, 11, 16-19, 21, 25-28, 40, and 47 as being unpatentable over the combination of Bowen and Banks (*id.*);

3. claims 8-10, 12, 33, 37, 41, and 49 as being unpatentable over the combination of Bowen and Hayden (*id.*);

4. claims 20 and 22-24 as being unpatentable over the combination of Bowen, Banks, and Hayden (*id.*);

5. claims 2, 6, 29, 30, 39, 42, and 44 as being unpatentable over the combination of Bowen and Roseman (*id.* at 6);

6. claims 16 and 17 as being unpatentable over the combination of Bowen, Banks, and Roseman (*id.*);

7. claims 1-4, 6, 7, 13-15, 29-32, 34-36, 38, 42-46, 48, and 50-52 as being unpatentable over the combination of Campbell and Bowen (*id.*);

8. claims 1-4, 6, 7, 13-15, 29-32, 34-36, 38, 42-46, 48 and 50-52 as being anticipated by Bowen II (*id.*);

9. claims 5, 11, 16-19, 21, 25-28, 40, and 47 as being unpatentable over the combination of Bowen II and Banks (*id.*);

10. claims 8-10, 12, 33, 37, 41, and 49 as being unpatentable over the combination of Bowen II and Hayden (*id.*);

11. claims 20 and 22-24 as being unpatentable over the combination of Bowen II, Banks, and Hayden (*id.*);

12. claims 2, 6, 29, 30, 39, 42, and 44 as being unpatentable over the combination of Bowen II and Roseman (*id.*);

13. claims 1-4, 6-10, 13-15, 29-38, 42-46, 48, and 50-52 as being anticipated by Lichty (*id.*);

14. claims 5, 11, 16-28, 40, and 47 as being unpatentable over the combination of Lichty and Banks (*id.*);

15. claims 12 and 41 as being unpatentable over the combination of Lichty and Hayden (*id.*);

16. claim 39 as being unpatentable over the combination of Lichty and Roseman (*id.*); and

17. claims 1-4, 6-10, 13-15, 29-38, 42-46, 48, and 50-52 as being unpatentable over the combination of Bowen and Lichty. *Id.*

Appellant contends that the Examiner failed to adopt the proposed rejections because the cited prior art references do not disclose "maintaining a first association between a first user and corresponding addressing information of a first communication device used by the first user to log in[,]" as recited in independent claim 1, and similarly recited in independent claims 16, 29, and 43. *Id.* Appellant

argues that the Examiner's determination was erroneous because the proposed rejections based in whole or in part on Bowen, Bowen II, or Lichty inherently disclose the claimed "first association." *Id.* at 7.

Because this dispute appears to turn on the proper claim construction for the claim term "addressing information," we will begin our analysis by first determining the scope and meaning of that claim term. Next, because each of the proposed rejections is based in whole or in part on Bowen, Bowen II, or Lichty, we will address the arguments presented by Appellant for each of those cited prior art references in turn.

II. ANALYSIS

A. Claim Construction

We begin our analysis by first considering the scope and meaning of the claim term "addressing information," which must be given its broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the disclosure in the '470 patent. *See In re Trans Texas Holdings Corp.*, 498 F.3d 1290, 1298 (Fed. Cir. 2007); *see also In re Yamamoto*, 740 F.2d 1569, 1571 (Fed. Cir. 1984) (during a reexamination proceeding, claims are given their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification and limitations from the specification are not read into the claims). As support for the disputed claim term, both Appellant and Respondent direct us to column 5, line 60 through column 6, line 13; column 21, lines 15-17; and column 22, lines 13-16.[4] App. Br. at 9; Respondent Br. at 10. The relevant portions of the '470 Specification are reproduced below:

[4] Appellant notes that relevant portions of the '470 Specification do not disclose the terms "address" or "addressing information," but instead use the terminology

- The '470 Specification discloses "maintaining service records for at least the first and second logged in users, the service records including user identification information and an associated location where each user is logged in." Spec. 6:1-4.
- The '470 Specification discloses that "service records are entered into the Service Server's service database. The service database thus keeps track of the location of client programs and the types of collaborative sessions in which they can participate. This allows the Collaboration Initiator to find collaboration participants no matter where they are located." Spec. 21:11-17.
- The '470 Specification discloses that "[t]his service record identifies the location of the callee's Collaboration Initiator as well as the network ports that the callee is connected to." Spec. 22:13-16.

Upon reviewing the relevant portions of the '470 Specification, we conclude that the claim term "addressing information" may be broadly, but reasonably construed as the physical location of a communication device used by a prospective user to log in. Moreover, during the oral argument, Respondent confirmed that address information constitutes a physical location. Hearing Tr. at 30 (*see, e.g.*, Respondent analogizes the address of a house to the claimed "addressing information" of a device). That confirmation is consistent with our construction of the claim term "addressing information." With this claim

---

"service records." App. Br. at 9. Appellant acknowledges that the "service records" disclosed in the '470 Specification correspond to the claimed "addressing information." *Id.* Respondent generally accepts Appellant's summary of the claimed subject. Respondent Br. at 3.

construction in mind, we turn to the arguments presented by Appellant for Bowen, Bowen II, and Lichty.

B. *The Proposed Rejections 1-7 and 17—Based in Whole or in Part on Bowen*

First, Appellant contends that Bowen discloses that a user can log in to the CompuServe system from a computer using their User identification ("ID") and gain access to services, such as private email and private real-time text messaging, which are subsequently displayed on the screen of the computer the user used to log in. App. Br. at 8; Rebuttal Br. at 5-6 (citing pgs. 31 and 136). Appellant asserts that those features in Bowen could not function without an association "between a first user and corresponding addressing information of a first communication device used by the first user to log in," as claimed. *Id.* The Examiner finds CompuServe's User ID is information that identifies the first user, but it is not addressing information of the first communication device used by the first user. RAN at 5. Respondent contends that the CompuServe system disclosed in Bowen does not maintain associations between users and their computing devices; it just utilizes open connections from dialed-in users. Respondent Br. at 12. In response, Appellant argues that Bowen discloses that a user may connect to the CompuServe system via other networks, such as the Tymnet, SprintNet, or Canadian DataPac networks. Rebuttal Br. at 6 (citing pgs. 350-352). Therefore, contrary to Respondent's position that a user can only access the CompuServe system through a direct telephone line, Appellant asserts that Bowen discloses other connection methods. *Id.* We do not agree with Appellant.

Bowen discloses that a prospective user connects to the CompuServe system by invoking a software program that automatically dials the system using a local phone number. Pgs. 348 and 350. When the phone connection is established,

Bowen discloses that the software program automatically sends the user's ID and password to the CompuServe system, enters the system, and takes the user to a selected service or provides prompts for additional information. Pg. 348. Bowen further discloses that a user may connect to the CompuServe system via other computer networks (e.g., packet-switch networks) by dialing the phone number associated with each computer network. *See* pgs. 350-352. While Bowen discloses that a user may connect to the CompuServe system using packet-switch networks, we note that the user still accesses the packet-switch networks by directly dialing the local access number associated therewith. *See id.* Based on the cited textual portions of Bowen, we cannot say with certainty—nor has Appellant shown—that Bowen's disclosure of accessing the CompuServe system by dialing in to a packet-switch network necessarily encompasses maintaining the physical location of a communication device used by a prospective user to log in. *See In re Robertson*, 169 F.3d 743, 745 (Fed. Cir. 1999) ("Inherency . . . may not be established by probabilities or possibilities. The mere fact that a certain thing may result from a given set of circumstances is not sufficient." (citations omitted)).

Moreover, while it may be possible to rely upon the local access numbers associated with Bowen's packet-switch networks (pgs. 350-352) to ascertain the physical location of the communication device used by a prospective user to log in to the CompuServe system, those local access numbers, at best, only provides the area code where the user is located. The area code of a local access number does not identify the actual physical location of a communication device used by a prospective user to log in to the CompuServe system. This possibility essentially undermines Appellant's inherency position that accessing the CompuServe system via other connection methods—namely via packet-switch networks (Rebuttal Br. at

6)—requires maintaining the physical location of a communication device used by a prospective user to log in. As a result, Appellant has not overcome the burden of establishing that Bowen's use of other connection methods necessarily requires the disputed claim limitation.

Second, Appellant contends that Bowen's CompuServe system includes a CB Simulator that provides real-time chat capabilities, including a number of features that allow a user to join existing group conversations or initiate private chat sessions with other users. App. Br. at 10 (citing pgs. 117-18 and 123-24). In particular, Appellant argues that Bowen's CB Simulator invokes a command known as "/USErs," which displays status information about users who are logged in. *Id.* at 10-11. Appellant asserts that the values associated with the "/USErs" command—namely the User ID, Job, and Nod values—confirm the existence of an association between the user and address information of the computer used to log in. *Id.* at 11 (citing pg. 132). The Examiner finds that because Bowen does not disclose that the Nod value is used to established communication between users, the Nod value cannot constitute the claimed "corresponding addressing information." RAN at 5. Respondent agrees with the Examiner's position and further contends that the values associated with Bowen's "/USErs" command illustrate that the CompuServe system only allows for "chat" by creating inter-user connections between open connections on a mainframe. Respondent Br. at 14. Respondent argues that the User ID, Job, and Nod values associated with Bowen's "/USRs" command do not relate to the addressing information of a communication device because such information was unknown to the mainframe at the time it assigned those values to each user. *Id.* We do not agree with Appellant.

For convenience, the textual portion of Bowen relied upon by Appellant is reproduced below.

/USErs

/USErs provides a "user status" report of all the users on your current channel or in your private group, and looks something like this:

Job	User ID	Nod	Chn	Handle
32	70000,010	QAK	6	Old Fella
203	77777,375	QAK	6	Bluegrass
214	70000,1331	ALT	6	Hermit

In this display:

- The numbers on the left are *job* numbers. A job is a one-, two-, or three-digit temporary number which the host computer automatically assigns to each user at login to the CB area. You also need job numbers with some special features, which will be discussed later in this chapter. At any one time, no two job numbers are the same.
- The user ID numbers of all the talkers.
- The *node* ("NYN," "CWV," "QAK," etc.), a code for the cities from which the users are calling.
- The channel to which the users are tuned.
- The handle of each user.

As indicated by the disclosure reproduced above, Bowen discloses that the Job value is a temporary number that the host computer or server automatically generates and assigns to each user as they log in to existing group conversations or private chat sessions. Pg. 132. Bowen further discloses that the User ID is a unique identifier for each user who is available to talk, whereas the Nod value represents the city from which that user is calling. *Id.* While the Job and User ID values both identify a particular user in a group conversation or private chat, and the Nod value identifies the city from which that user is calling, we cannot say

with certainty—nor has Appellant shown—that any of those values necessarily maintain, let alone identify, the physical location of a communication device used by the user to log in. In other words, apart from establishing that the values associated with the "/USErs" command indicate that Bowen's CB Simulator can properly route communications to a particular user logged in to a group conversation or private chat session, Appellant has simply not presented sufficient evidence to warrant a finding that the CB Simulator maintains the physical location of a communication device used by the particular user to log in—a crucial requirement for inherency.

Third, Appellant contends that Bowen discloses communication software running on a user's computer that communicates with the CompuServe system using communication protocols, such as Host Micro Interface ("HMI"), CompuServe Information Manager ("CIM"), and B protocols. App. Br. at 12 (citing pgs. 5-6, 360, and 432-33). In particular, Appellant argues that those protocols confirm the ability of the CompuServe system to direct individualized communication to a user at the specific computer it used to log in, which would be impossible without the claimed "association" between the user and addressing information of the computer from which that user logged in to the CompuServe system. *Id.* at 12-13. Respondent contends the Bowen's communication protocols only enable two-way communication as long a user-initiated communication session with a mainframe is actively open. Respondent Br. at 15. Respondent argues that Bowen's communication protocols do not suggest that the CompuServe mainframe is aware of addressing information of a communication device used to log in, let alone that the CompuServe mainframe can route communications to a

user's communication device based on such addressing information. *Id.* We do not agree with Appellant.

Bowen discloses that CIM improves the quality of online communications by interacting with the CompuServe system via HMI. Pgs. 5-6. Bowen discloses that HMI transfers information to and from the CompuServe system using error-correcting B protocol. Pg. 360. Bowen further discloses that HMI is a method to speed up delivery of data from the CompuServe system to a user's screen, and ensures that programs can avoid problems caused by static on phone lines. *Id.*; *see also* pg. 432. While Bowen clearly indicates that the CompuServe system uses specific communication protocols to communicate with users at their respective communication devices, Bowen does not expressly or inherently disclose maintaining the physical location of a communication device used by the user to log in. For instance, before a prospective user communicates with Bowen's CompuServe system using the specified communication protocols (*see* pgs. 5-6, 360, and 432-33), the user first accesses the CompuServe system by directly dialing in to the CompuServe network, or directly dialing in to another computer network (e.g., packet-switch networks) (pgs. 350-52). Therefore, similar to our analysis *supra*, we cannot say with certainty—nor has Appellant shown—that Bowen's disclosure of a prospective user accessing the CompuServe system by directly dialing in to a computer network (i.e., either the CompuServe network or a packet-switch network) necessarily encompasses maintaining the physical location of a communication device used by the user to log in.

For the foregoing reasons, we do not discern error in the Examiner's determination not to adopt proposed rejections 1-7 and 17, each of which is based in whole or in part on Bowen.

C. Proposed Rejections 8-12—Based in Whole or in Part on Bowen II

Appellant relies upon essentially the same arguments presented for the Examiner's determination not to adopt the proposed rejections based in whole or in part on Bowen in order to rebut the Examiner's determination not to adopt the proposed rejections based in whole or in part on Bowen II. *See* App. Br. at 13-14; Rebuttal Br. at 7. As discussed *supra*, we find those arguments unpersuasive. Therefore, we do not discern error in the Examiner's determination not to adopt proposed rejections 8-12, each of which is based in whole or in part on Bowen II.

D. Proposed Rejections 13-16—Based in Whole or in Part on Lichty

First, Appellant contends that Lichty discloses that a user logs in to America Online ("AOL") using a computer with a unique user ID known as a "screen name," and then gains access to communication services such as instant messages that are displayed on the screen of the computer through which the user logged in. App. Br. at 14; Rebuttal Br. at 6-7 (citing pg. 363). Appellant argues that Lichty's communication features necessarily require an association between the user and the addressing information used by AOL to communicate with the computer through which the user logged in. App. Br. at 14-15; Rebuttal Br. at 7-8. Without the claimed "association," Appellant asserts that Lichty's AOL system would not function. *Id.* The Examiner finds that Lichty's disclosure of an AOL "screen name" is a name used to identify a user in the AOL system; it is not address information of the communication device used by the user to log in to the AOL system. RAN at 6. Respondent contends that Lichty discloses allowing AOL users to dial in to a central mainframe, and then enabling instant messaging by connecting the open connections on the mainframe. Respondent Br. at 16. We do not agree with Appellant.

Respondent correctly indicates that Lichty discloses that AOL is a vast network of members, each of whom uses a computer, modem, and telephone to connect with a common destination—otherwise referred to as "go online." Respondent Br. at 7-8 (citing pgs. 4-5). Lichty further reinforces that connection method by listing things a user will need before he or she can connect with AOL, one of which is a telephone line that will be out of commission when the user is engaged in an AOL session. *See* pgs. 24-25. Moreover, Lichty discloses that a prospective user dials a local access number to establish a connection with AOL. *See* pgs. 33-36. Based on the aforementioned disclosures, Lichty clearly discloses that AOL members directly dial a local access number in order to log in to the AOL system.

While Lichty discloses a glossary term for an instant message (at pg. 363), that communication feature by itself does not explain how respective AOL members access the AOL system, let alone whether the AOL system is aware of the physical location of the communication device used by a respective AOL member to log in. Instead, as discussed *supra*, Lichty discloses that AOL members directly dial a local access number in order to log in to the AOL system. *See* pgs. 5, 24-25, and 33-36. Lichty is silent with respect to what information, if any, the AOL system maintains once a user has logged in. Therefore, similar to our analysis with respect to Bowen, we cannot say with certainty—nor has Appellant shown—that Lichty's communication features (e.g., instant messaging) necessarily require maintaining the physical location of a communication device used by an AOL member to log in.

Second, Appellant contends that Lichty discloses that AOL uses a packet-switching network, which ensures that "[a] computer in New York sees all of the

incoming packets, but only receives those intended for it." App. Br. at 15; Rebuttal Br. at 8 (citing pg. 366). That is, Appellant argues that Lichty's AOL system uses a packet-switching network to route messages to and from and user's communication device. *Id*. Based on that disclosure, Appellant asserts that the packet-switching network address associated with a user's communication device constitutes "addressing information of the communication device used by the first user to log in," as claimed. App. Br. at 15. The Examiner finds that the packet-switching network discussed in Appellant's claim chart is irrelevant because such discussion does not address the disputed claim limitation. RAN at 6. Respondent rebuts Appellant's position by contending that Lichty's disclosure with respect to packet-switching networks are limited to using "a variety of [packet-switching networks] to supply local nodes (local telephone numbers) for members' access." Respondent Br. at 16-17 (citing pg. 366). Respondent argues that Lichty still discloses that users must dial in to the AOL system before using open connections to establish instant messaging sessions. Respondent Br. at 17. In response, Appellant contends that Lichty's disclosure of packet-switching networks flatly refutes Respondent's position that AOL requires direct telephone connections from users to the mainframe. Rebuttal Br. at 8. We do not agree with Appellant.

According to Lichty's glossary term for a packet-switching network, AOL supplies local access numbers with a variety of packet-switching networks, each of which allows an AOL member to access the AOL system. *See* pg. 366. That fact appears to be consistent with Lichty's disclosure that AOL members directly dial a local access number in order to log in to the AOL system. *See* pgs. 5, 24-25, and 33-36. However, contrary to Appellant's argument, Lichty does not expressly or inherently disclose allowing an AOL member to directly access the AOL system

via a packet-switching network. At best, Lichty suggests that an AOL member accesses a packet-switching network by directly dialing the local access number associated therewith. Therefore, similar to our analysis with respect to Bowen, we cannot say with certainty—nor has Appellant shown—that Lichty's disclosure of accessing the AOL system by directly dialing in to a packet-switching network necessarily encompasses maintaining the physical location of a communication device used by a prospective user to log in. As a result, Appellant has not overcome the burden of establishing that Lichty's use of packet-switching networks necessarily requires the disputed claim limitation.

For the foregoing reasons, we do not discern error in the Examiner's determination not to adopt proposed rejections 8-12, each of which is based in whole or in part on Lichty.

III. CONCLUSION

The Examiner did not err in deciding not to adopt the proposed rejections of claims 1-52 of the '470 patent that are based in whole or in part on Bowen, Bowen II, or Lichty.

IV. DECISION

The Examiner's decision not to adopt the proposed rejections of claims 1-52 of the '470 patent is affirmed.

Requests for extensions of time in *inter partes* reexamination proceedings are governed by 37 C.F.R. § 1.956. *See also* 37 C.F.R. § 41.79(e) ("The times for requesting rehearing under paragraph (a) of this section, for requesting further

rehearing under paragraph (c) of this section, and for submitting comments under paragraph (b) of this section may not be extended.").

AFFIRMED

lb

Appeal 2012-010460
Reexamination Control 95/001,715
U.S. Patent No. 7,421,470 B2

For Patent Owner:

REED SMITH LLP
1301 K STREET NW
SUITE 1100—EAST TOWER
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

For Third Party Requester:

COOLEY LLP
777 6th STREET NW, SUITE 1100
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001

US007421470B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Ludwig et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 7,421,470 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: *Sep. 2, 2008**

(54) **METHOD FOR REAL-TIME COMMUNICATION BETWEEN PLURAL USERS**

(75) Inventors: **Lester F. Ludwig**, Hillsborough, CA (US); **J. Chris Lauwers**, Menlo Park, CA (US); **Keith A. Lantz**, Los Altos, CA (US); **Gerald J. Burnett**, Atherton, CA (US); **Emmett R. Burns**, Jackson, WY (US)

(73) Assignee: **Avistar Communications Corporation**, San Mateo, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 265 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/721,905**

(22) Filed: **Nov. 26, 2003**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2004/0107254 A1 Jun. 3, 2004

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 09/702,737, filed on Nov. 1, 2000, now Pat. No. 7,185,054, which is a continuation of application No. 08/994,848, filed on Dec. 19, 1997, now Pat. No. 6,237,025, which is a continuation of application No. 08/660,461, filed on Jun. 7, 1996, now Pat. No. 5,802,294, which is a continuation of application No. 08/131,523, filed on Oct. 1, 1993, now Pat. No. 5,689,641.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06F 15/16 (2006.01)
G06F 3/00 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **709/204**; 715/753

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 709/203–207, 709/223–224, 227–299

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,721,777 A 3/1973 Thomas

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 35 07 152 8/1985

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"Automatic Query of the Recipient ID upon Sending a Distribution", IBM TDB v.36 n.09A Sep. 1993.*

(Continued)

Primary Examiner—Glenton B. Burgess
Assistant Examiner—Aaron Strange
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP; Craig P. Opperman; Carina M. Tan

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method of real-time communication between a plurality of users each with respective communication devices having associated displays, the method comprising the steps of providing each of the plurality of users with collaboration initiation software at their communication devices and allowing at least first and second users to connect to at least one communication network by logging in at their respective communication devices. Further, the method comprises maintaining service records for at least the first and second logged in users, the service records including user identification information and an associated location where each user is logged in. A display of a user identifier for at least the second user on the display of at least a first user's communication device is provided, thereby allowing the user to select the displayed second user's identifier and retrieve necessary addressing information of the second user so that a connection between the first and second users can be established, thereby enabling real-time communication including video images of at least one user and real-time text messages displayed on the display associated with at least one user.

52 Claims, 34 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,723,653 A 3/1973 Tatsuzawa
3,873,771 A 3/1975 Kleinerman et al.
3,922,488 A 11/1975 Gabr
3,974,337 A 8/1976 Tatsuzawa
4,005,265 A 1/1977 Verhoeckx et al.
4,054,908 A 10/1977 Poirier et al.
4,210,927 A 7/1980 Yumde et al.
4,400,724 A 8/1983 Fields
4,430,526 A 2/1984 Brown et al.
4,441,180 A 4/1984 Schussler et al.
4,451,705 A 5/1984 Burke et al.
4,475,193 A 10/1984 Brown
4,476,349 A 10/1984 Cottrell et al.
4,479,195 A 10/1984 Herr et al.
4,500,960 A 2/1985 Babecki et al.
4,516,156 A 5/1985 Fabris et al.
4,529,839 A 7/1985 Colton et al.
4,529,840 A 7/1985 Colton et al.
4,531,024 A 7/1985 Colton et al.
4,574,374 A 3/1986 Scordo
4,625,081 A 11/1986 Lotito et al.
4,645,872 A 2/1987 Pressman et al.
4,650,929 A 3/1987 Boerger et al.
4,653,090 A 3/1987 Hayden
4,672,442 A 6/1987 Yamaguchi et al.
4,686,698 A 8/1987 Tompkins et al.
4,691,347 A 9/1987 Stanley et al.
4,710,917 A 12/1987 Tomkins et al.
4,713,806 A 12/1987 Oberlander et al.
4,716,585 A 12/1987 Tompkins et al.
4,720,850 A 1/1988 Oberlander et al.
4,739,509 A 4/1988 Bourg
4,741,025 A 4/1988 Maruyama et al.
4,786,741 A 11/1988 Sachs
4,796,293 A 1/1989 Blinken et al.
4,800,344 A 1/1989 Graham
4,817,018 A 3/1989 Cree et al.
4,837,798 A 6/1989 Cohen et al.
4,847,829 A 7/1989 Tompkins et al.
4,849,811 A 7/1989 Kleinerman
4,850,009 A 7/1989 Zook et al.
4,885,747 A 12/1989 Foglia
4,888,795 A 12/1989 Ando et al.
4,890,320 A 12/1989 Monslow et al.
4,893,326 A 1/1990 Duran et al.
4,914,586 A 4/1990 Swinehart et al.
4,922,523 A 5/1990 Hashimoto
4,931,872 A 6/1990 Stoddard et al.
4,953,159 A 8/1990 Hayden et al.
4,961,211 A 10/1990 Tsugane et al.
4,965,819 A 10/1990 Kannes
4,977,520 A 12/1990 McGauhey et al.
4,987,492 A 1/1991 Stults et al.
4,995,071 A 2/1991 Weber et al.
4,995,078 A 2/1991 Monslow et al.
4,998,243 A 3/1991 Kao
5,003,532 A 3/1991 Ashida et al.
5,010,399 A 4/1991 Goodman et al.
5,012,509 A 4/1991 Nakamura et al.
5,014,267 A 5/1991 Tompkins et al.
5,016,976 A 5/1991 Horner et al.
5,027,400 A 6/1991 Baji et al.
5,042,062 A 8/1991 Lee et al.
5,056,136 A 10/1991 Smith
5,061,046 A 10/1991 Lee et al.
5,072,442 A 12/1991 Todd
5,073,926 A 12/1991 Suzuki et al.
5,099,510 A 3/1992 Blinken, Jr. et al.
5,109,515 A 4/1992 Laggis et al.
5,109,517 A 4/1992 Houda et al.
5,121,426 A 6/1992 Baumhauer, Jr. et al.
5,127,041 A 6/1992 O'Sullivan
5,130,399 A 7/1992 Ikeno et al.
5,130,793 A 7/1992 Bordry et al.
5,130,801 A 7/1992 Yamaguchi
5,133,079 A 7/1992 Ballantyne et al.
5,146,325 A 9/1992 Ng
5,155,761 A 10/1992 Hammond
5,157,491 A 10/1992 Kassatly
5,170,427 A 12/1992 Guichard et al.
5,190,586 A 3/1993 Mizuguchi et al.
5,191,611 A 3/1993 Lang
5,195,086 A 3/1993 Baumgartner et al. 345/329
5,195,087 A 3/1993 Bennett et al.
5,200,989 A 4/1993 Milone
5,202,828 A 4/1993 Vertelney et al. 345/326
5,202,957 A 4/1993 Serrao
5,218,627 A 6/1993 Corey et al.
5,224,094 A 6/1993 Maher et al.
5,231,492 A 7/1993 Dangi et al.
5,239,466 A 8/1993 Morgan et al.
5,249,218 A 9/1993 Sainton
5,253,362 A 10/1993 Nolan et al.
5,260,941 A 11/1993 Wilder et al.
5,262,875 A 11/1993 Mincer et al.
5,283,637 A 2/1994 Goolcharan
5,293,619 A 3/1994 Dean
5,303,343 A 4/1994 Ohya et al.
5,315,633 A 5/1994 Champa
5,319,795 A 6/1994 Hamabe et al.
5,323,472 A 6/1994 Falk
5,333,133 A 7/1994 Andrews et al.
5,333,299 A 7/1994 Kovai et al.
5,335,321 A 8/1994 Harney et al.
5,341,374 A 8/1994 Lewen et al.
5,345,258 A 9/1994 Matsubara et al.
5,351,276 A 9/1994 Doll, Jr. et al.
5,353,398 A 10/1994 Kitahara et al.
5,363,441 A 11/1994 Feiner et al.
5,363,507 A 11/1994 Nakayama et al.
5,365,265 A 11/1994 Shibata et al.
5,367,629 A 11/1994 Chu et al.
5,373,549 A 12/1994 Bales et al.
5,374,952 A 12/1994 Flohr
5,375,068 A 12/1994 Palmer et al.
5,379,374 A 1/1995 Ishizaki et al.
5,382,972 A 1/1995 Kannes
5,384,598 A 1/1995 Rodriguez et al.
5,384,772 A 1/1995 Marshall
5,386,581 A 1/1995 Suzuki et al.
5,390,316 A 2/1995 Cramer et al.
5,392,223 A 2/1995 Caci
5,392,346 A 2/1995 Hassler et al.
5,404,435 A 4/1995 Rosenbaum
5,408,526 A 4/1995 McFarland et al.
5,408,662 A 4/1995 Katsurabayashi
5,410,595 A 4/1995 Park et al.
5,416,618 A 5/1995 Juday
5,422,883 A 6/1995 Hauris et al.
5,432,525 A 7/1995 Maruo et al.
5,444,476 A 8/1995 Conway
5,467,288 A 11/1995 Fasciano et al.
5,471,318 A 11/1995 Ahuja et al.
5,473,679 A 12/1995 La Porta et al.
5,475,421 A 12/1995 Palmer et al.
5,477,546 A 12/1995 Shibata et al.
5,485,504 A 1/1996 Ohnsorge
5,491,695 A 2/1996 Meagher et al.
5,506,954 A 4/1996 Arshi et al.
5,515,491 A 5/1996 Bates et al.
5,517,652 A 5/1996 Miyamoto et al.
5,519,834 A * 5/1996 Kamerman et al. 709/225
5,526,024 A 6/1996 Gaglianello et al.
5,550,966 A 8/1996 Drake et al.

5,553,222	A		9/1996	Milne et al.
5,561,736	A		10/1996	Moore et al.
5,565,910	A		10/1996	Rowse et al.
5,581,702	A		12/1996	McArdle
5,590,128	A		12/1996	Maloney et al.
5,594,495	A		1/1997	Palmer et al.
5,602,580	A		2/1997	Tseng
5,608,653	A		3/1997	Palmer et al.
5,610,972	A		3/1997	Emery et al.
5,623,690	A		4/1997	Palmer et al.
5,659,369	A		8/1997	Imaiida
5,689,553	A		11/1997	Ahuja et al.
5,719,786	A		2/1998	Nelson et al.
5,751,336	A		5/1998	Aggarwal et al.
5,758,079	A	*	5/1998	Ludwig et al. 709/204
5,777,663	A		7/1998	Shibata et al.
5,796,727	A		8/1998	Harrison et al.
5,815,233	A		9/1998	Morokawa et al.
5,864,844	A		1/1999	James et al. 707/4
5,872,923	A		2/1999	Schwartz et al.
6,181,867	B1		1/2001	Kenner et al.
6,336,143	B1		1/2002	Diedrich et al.
6,577,324	B1		6/2003	Palmer et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0 041 902		12/1981
EP	0 190 060		8/1986
EP	0 354 370		2/1990
EP	0376588		7/1990
EP	0 403 118		12/1990
EP	0410378		1/1991
EP	0 414 222		2/1991
EP	0414222		2/1991
EP	0 436 345		7/1991
EP	0 453 128		10/1991
EP	0 497 022		8/1992
EP	0 516 371		12/1992
EP	0 523 618		1/1993
EP	0 523 626		1/1993
EP	0523618		1/1993
EP	0 535 601		4/1993
EP	0 548 597		6/1993
EP	0 561 381		9/1993
EP	0561133		9/1993
EP	0574138		12/1993
EP	0 604 053		6/1994
JP	62091045		4/1987
JP	1318136	*	12/1989
JP	2089482		3/1990
JP	2002441 A	*	8/1990
JP	2 285 759		11/1990
JP	5014525		1/1993
JP	6086276		3/1994
WO	WO 9100664		1/1991
WO	WO 9103116		3/1991
WO	WO 9120149		12/1991
WO	WO 9212583		7/1992
WO	WO 92/21211		11/1992
WO	WO 9307703		4/1993
WO	WO 94/24803		10/1994

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Michael A. Banks "America Online: A Graphics Based Success Evaluation", Computers Jan. 1, 1992. 5 pages (Retrieved Jan. 9, 2006 from www.krsaborio.net/research/1990s/92/920101.htm).*

"BeyondMail (tm) for Intelliigent Messaging(tm)/Windows—Quick Reference", date unknown. 10 pages (Retrieved Jul. 14, 2005 from www.lanecc.edu/efls/tutorial/beyond.htm).*

Videomatic Switching: Systems and Services, C. Crawford, F. Milone, D. Zoppellaro, Digital Communications, 1988 International Zurich Seminar.

Marskak, Ronni T. "Beyond Mail for Windows—epitomizing the mail-enabled application", Patricia Seybold's Office Computing Report, Sep. 1992 v15 n9. (full text copy from Computer Select 1992 CD).

Rangan et al. "Software Architecture for Integration of Video Services in the Etherphone System," IEEE Journal on Selected Area of Communications, v9 n9, Dec. 1991, pp. 1395-1404.

ACM Press, Conference on Organizational Computing Systems, SIGOIS Bulletin, vol. 12, No. 2-3, Nov. 5-8, 1991.

The American Users Forum (Niu-Forum) Aug. 6-9, 1990.

Bellcore News, "IMAL Makes Media Merging Magic," 5(20), Nov. 9, 1988.

Frontiers in computer communications technology, Sigcom '87 Workshop (Aug. 11-13, 1987).

Unix 4th Berkeley Release 1991 man pages for 'login,' 'htmp,' 'talk,' and 'who.' Online Internet: http://www.de.freebds.org.

Statement by Attorney for Applicants-Describing Product Development.

Ahuja et al., "Coordination and Control of Multimedia Conferencing," IEEE Communication Magazine, 30(5): 38-42, May 1992.

Ahuja et al., "Networking Requirements of the Rapport Multimedia Conferencing System," Infocom '88, IEEE, pp. 746-751, 1988.

Biswas et al., "Distributed Scheduling of Meetings: A Case Study in Prototyping Distributed Application," System Integration, 1992 2nd International Conference.

Cohen et al., "Audio Windows: User Interface for Manipulating Virtual Acoustic Environments," pp. 479-480.

Cohen et al., "Audio Windows for Binaural Telecommunication," EIC, Tokyo (Oct. 1991).

Cohen et al., Design and Control of Shared Conferencing Environments for Audio Telecommunication, Proceedings of the Second Int'l Symposium on Measurement and Control Robotics (ISMCR '92), Tsukuba Science City, Japan, (Nov. 15-19, 1992), pp. 405-412.

Cohen et al., "Exocentric Control of Audio Imaging in Binaural Telecommunication," IEICE Trans. Fundamentals, vol. E75-A, No. 2, (Feb. 1992).

Cohen et al., "Multidimensional Audio Window Management," Int'l Journal of Man-Machine Studie, vol. 34:319-336 (1991).

Cohen et al., "Multidimensional Audio Windows: Conference, Concerts and Cocktails," Human Factors Society Meeting, SF, CA, pp. 1-15, Jun. 12, 1991.

Ensor et al., "The Rapport Multimedia Conferencing System—Software Overview," Computer Workstation Conference, IEEE, pp. 52-58, 1988.

Gopal et al., "Directories of Networks with Causally Connected Users," IEEE, pp. 1060-1064, 1988.

Horn et al., "An ISDN Multimedia Conference Bridge," TENCON '90—1990 IEEE Region 10 Conference on Computer and Communication, pp. 853-856, 1990.

Kamel, "An Integrated Approach to Share Synchronous Groupware Workspaces," IEEE 1993.

Kendall et al., "Simulating the Cue of Spatial Hearing in Natural Environments," Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60201.

Klein, Telecommunikation von Angesichtzu Angesicht 2323 Telcom Report 9 Sep./Oct. 1986 No. 5, Erlangen, W. Germany.

Kobayashi et al., "Development and Trial Operation of Video Teleconference System," IEEE Globecom, pp. 2060-2063, 1999.

Lake et al., "A Network Environment for Studying Multimedia Network Architecture and Control," (1989 Globecom).

Lantz, An Experiment in Integrated Multimedia Conferencing, Department of Computer Science, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, Dec. 1986.

Lantz et al., Collaboration Technology Research at Olivetti Search California, Aug. 1989.

Lauwers et al., Replicated Architecture for Shared Window Systems: A Critique, (Olivetti Research California) Version of Apr. 1990.

Lauwers et al., Collaboration Awareness in Support of Collaboration Transparency: Requirements for the Next Generation of Shared Windows Systems, (Olivetti Research California) Version of Apr. 1989.

Leung et al., Optimum Connection Paths for a Class of Videoconferences, Department of Information Engineering, the Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong.

Maeno et al., Distributed Desktop Conferencing System (Mermaid) Based on Group Communicatoin Architecture, The Transactions of the Institute of Electronics, Information and Comm. Engineers E74 Sep. 1991, No. 9, Tokyo, JP.
Martens, "Principal Components Analysis and Resysnthesis of Spectral Cues to Perceived Directoin," Proceedings of the 1987 Int'l Computer Music Conference, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60201.
Masaki et al., "A Desktop Teleconferencing Terminal Based on B-ISDN: PMTC," NTT Review, 4(4) :81-85, 1992.
Ng et al., Systems Integration '90, (Apr. 23-26, 1990).
Nunokawa et al., "Teleconferencing Using Stereo Voice and Electronic OHP," IEEE, 1988.
Ohmori et al., "Distributed Cooperative Control for Sharing Applications Based on Multipaty and Multimedia Desktop Conferencing System," IEEE, 1992.
Pate, "Trends in Multimedia Applications and the Network Models to Support Them," Globecom's 90: 1990.
Perkins, "Spider: An investigation in collaborative technologies and their effects on network performance".
Ramanathan et al., Optimal Communication Architectures for Multimedia Conferencing in Distributed Systems, Multimedia Laboratory Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, University of San Deigo, La Jolla, CA.
Rangan et al., "Software architecture for integration of video services in the etherphone system," IEEE J. on Selected Areas in Comm., 9(9) :1395-1404, Dec. 1991.
Rangan et al., "A Window-Based Editor for Digital Video and Audio," System Sciences, 1992 Hawaii Int'l Conference (1992).
Sakata, "B-ISDN Multimedia Workstation Architecture," IEEE, 1993.
Sakata et al., "Development and Evaluation of an In-House Multimedia Desktop Conference System," NEC Research & Development, No. 98, pp. 107-117, Jul. 1990.
Stefik et al., "Beyond the Chalkboard: Computer Support for Collaboration and Problem Solving," Communications of the ACM, vol. 30, No. 1, Jan. 1987.
Vin et al., Hierarchical Conferencing Architectures for Inter-Group Multimedia Collaboration, Multimedia Laboratory Department of Computer Science and Engineering University of California at San Diego, La Jolla.
Vin et al., Multimedia Conferencing in the Etherphone Environment, Computer Magazine, vol. 24, Issue 10, pp. 69-79, 1991.
Watabe et al., "A Distributed Multiparty Desktop Conferencing System and Its Architecture," IEEE, 1991.
Watabe et al., "Distributed Desktop Conferencing System with Multiuser Multimedia Interface," IEEE, 1991.
Weiss, Desk Top Video Conferencing—An Important Feature of Future Visual, Siemens AG—Munich—West Germany.
Zellweger et al., "An Overview of the Etherphone System and Its Applications," Computer Workstations Conference, 1988.
Lichty, Tom, "America Online Tour Guide", MacIntosh Edition, Version 2, Title Page, Copyright Page, Foreword, xviii, pp. 5-6, 24-44, 67, 69, 70-72, 229-253, 297-298, 373, 375-376, and 388, Ventana Press, 1992.
Ludwig, Lester, "A Threaded/Flow Approach to Reconfigurable Distributed Systems and Service Primitives Architectures," Frontiers in Computer Communications Technology, Sigcom '87 Workshop (Aug. 11-13, 1987).
Ludwig et al., "Laboratory For Emulation and Study of Integrated and Coordinated Media Communication," Bell Communications Research, 1988.
Ludwig, Lester F., "Integration of CAD/CAE with Multimedia Teleconferencing and Messaging Via Broadband Networks and Shared Resource Servers," Bell Communications Research, 1990.
Maeno, K., et al., "Distributed Desktop Conferencing System (MERMAID) Based on Group Communication Architectures," IEEE, ICC '91 CH2984-3/91/0000-0520, pp. 0520-0525.
Masaki, S., et al. "Multimedia Handling Scheme in a Groupware System for B-ISDN," Globecom '92 pp. 747-750, Dec. 1992.
Miller et al., "News on Demand for Multimedia Networks", Aug. 1993, In: Proceedings of the First ACM International Conference on Multimedia.
Naganawa et al., "A Study of Audio Communication Devices for ISDN," IEEE Transactions of Consumer Electronics, IEEE Inc. New York, vol. 36, No. 3, Aug. 1, 1990, p. 753-757.
Nakamura, et al., "Personal Multimedia Teleconferencing Terminal," IEEE, 1990.
Ohkubo, M., et al., "Design and implementation of a shared workspace by integrating individual workspaces," Conferencing on Supporting Group Work Proceedings of the conference on Office information systems, pp. 142-146, 1990.
Pagani, et al., "Bringing Media Spaces into the Real World", Proceedings of the 3rd European Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work 1993.
Pascoe, R.A., "Interactive Computer Conference Server" IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, vol. 34, No. 7A, Dec. 1991.
P. V. Rangan and H. M. Vin, "Designing file systems for digital video and audio", 1991, in: Proc. of the Thirteenth ACM Symposium on Operating System Principles, pp. 81-94.
Rangan et al. "Media synchronization in distributed multimedia file systems," Multimedia Communications, 1992 pp. 315-328.
P. V. Rangan et al., "Designing an On-Demand Multimedia Service", Jul. 1992, IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 30, No. 7, pp. 56-65.
Reinhardt, Andy, "Video Conquers the Desktop," Byte, Sep. 1993, p. 64-80.
Rowe, L. and Smith, Bb, "A Continuous Media Player", Nov. 1992, In: Proc. 3rd Int. Workshop on Network and Operating System Support for Digital Audio and Video, pp. 376-386.
Sammartino et al., "Desktop Multimedia Communications—Breaking the Chains," IEEE, 1991.
Saunders, S., "Economical Video Network Uses Unshielded Twisted-Pair Wiring," Data Communications, McGraw Hill., New York, vol. 19, No. 10, p. 103-104, Aug. 1, 1990.
Schooler, Eve M., "A Distributed Architecture for Multimedia Conference Control," ISI/RR-91-289, USC/Information Sciences Institute, Nov. 1991.
Schooler, Eve M., Steven L. Casner, A Packet-switched Multimedia Conferencing System, ACM SIGOIS Bulletin, vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 12-22, Jan. 1989.
Shepherd, et al., "Strudel—An Extensible Electronic Conversation Toolkit", Proceedings of the Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work, Oct. 1990.
Stevens, A. I., "The LOTUS Open Message Interface," Dr. Dobbs Journal, Mar. 1992.
Swinehart, Daniel C. "Systems Support Requirements for Multi-Media Workstations," Xerox PARC, CSL-89-2, 1989.
Swinehart, Daniel C. "Telephone Management in the Etherphone System," Xerox PARC, CSL-89-2, May 1989.
Tanigawa, H., et al. "Personal Multimedia-Multipoint Teleconference System," Proc. IEEE Infocom '91, pp. 1127-1134, 1991.
Terry and Swinehart, "Managed Stored Voice in the Etherphone System," Xerox PARC, CSL-89-2, 1989.
The Challenges of Networking Video Applications, Starlight Networks, Inc., Feb. 1992.
Velthuijsen, Hugo, "Distributed Artificial 1-19 intelligence for runtime feature-interaction resolution," Computer, vol. 26, No. 8, Aug. 1993, p. 48-55.
Watabe, K., et al., "Distributed Multiparty Desktop Conferencing System: Mermaid," CSCW 90 Proceedings, pp. 27-38, Oct. 1990.
Zellweger, "Active Paths through Multimedia Documents," Xerox PARC, CSL-89-2, 1989.
16.2 IPv4: The Internet Protocol Version 4, date unknown.
Anderson, D. P. and Homsy, G., "A Continuous Media I/O Server and Its Synchronization Mechanism", Oct. 1991, Computer 24, 10 (Oct. 1991), 51-57.
Belville, Sharon, "Zephyr on Athena," Massachusetts Institute of Technology, ver. 3, Sep. 10, 1991.
Boll, et al., "Suppression of Acoustic Noise in Speech Using Two Microphone Adaptive Noise Cancellation," IEEE Transactions on Acoutics, Speech, and Signal Processing, vol. ASSP-28, No. 6, Dec. 1980.
Cheng, et al., "A model for secure distributed computations in a heterogeneous environment," Proc. Of the Aerospace Computer

Security Applications Conference, Orlando, Dec. 12-16, 1988, Washington, IEEE Comp., Soc. Press, US, vol. Conf. 4, p. 233-241.
Chu, P.L., PictureTel Corporation, "Audio Compression and Echo Cancellation for Low Bit Rate Video Teleconferencing", Applications of Signal Process to Audio and Acoustics, Oct. 1991.
Crowley et al., "MMConf: An Infrastructure for Building Shared Multimedia Applications," CSCW 90 Proceedings, Oct. 1990.
DellaFera, et al. "The Zephyr Notification System," Proceedings of the USENIX Winter Conference, 1988.
Edwards, W. Keith, "The Design and Implementation of the Montage Multimedia Mail System," Communication Software, IEEE Tricomm '91 Proceeding (Apr. 1991).
Elrod, S. et al. "Liveboard: A Large Interactive Display Supporting Group meetings, Presentations and Remote Collaboration" CHI '92 (May 3-7, 1992), 1992 ACM at 599-607.
Ensor, J.R., et al., "Control Issues in Multimedia Conferencing," IEEE, CH2955-3/91/0000-0133, 1991.
Fitzpatrick, et al., "Smart Icons for Address Book Detailed View Entries," IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, Dec. 1992.
FreeBSD Hypertext Man Pages: http://www.freebsd.org/cgi/man.cgi?query=rwho&sektion=1&apropos=0&manpath=freebsd, Jun. 6, 1993.
FreeBSD Hypertext Man Pages: http://www.freebsd.org/cgi/man.cig?query=rwhod&sektion=8&apropos=0&manpath=free . . . , Dec. 11, 1993.
Free BSD Hypertext Man Pages: Unix 4th Berkeley Release 1991 man pages for 'login,' 'utmp,' 'talk,' and 'who.' Online Internet: http://www.de.freebds.org.
Furner, et al., "An ISDN Multipoint Teleconferencing Environment", IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol. 9, May 1991.
Goldberg, "Active Mail: An Architecture for Groupware", Technical Report CS92-1?, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Oct. 1992.
Harris, et al., "Intelligent Network Realization and Evolution: CCITT Capability Set 1 and Beyond," Proceedings of the International Switching Symposium. Yokohama, Oct. 25-30, 1992, Tokyo, IEICE, JP, vol. 2, Symp. 14, p. 127-131, XP000337709.
Harvey et al. "Some Aspects of Stereophony Applicable to Conference Use", Journal Audio Engineering Society, Jul. 1963 v.11, pp. 212-217.
Hasebe, K. and Yamaguchi, K., "Continuous Media Network Server", Apr. 1992, in: Proceedings of SPIE, vol. 1662, pp. 291-298.
Hill, G. "Improving Audio Quality: Echo Control in VideoConferencing", Teleconference, Mar.-Apr. 1991.v.10, n.2, pp. 29-43.
Hoshi et al., "B-ISDN Multimedia Communication and Collaboration Platform Using Advanced Video Workstations to Support Cooperative Work," Dec. 1992.
IBM TDB0992, "Public Nicknames in OS/2 Office Address Book," Sep. 1992.
IBM TDB1192, "Public Search Lists in OS/2 Office Address Book," Nov. 1992.
Ishii, et al., "Beyond Videophones: TeamWorkStation-2 for Narrowband ISDN", Proceedings of the 3rd European Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work, Sep. 1993.
Ishii, H. "TeamWorkstation: Towards a Seamless Shared Workspace", CSCW 90 Proceedings, pp. 13-26, Oct. 1990.
Ishii, H., et al. "Clearboard: A seamless Medium for Shared Drawing and Conversation with Eye Contact," CHI p. 92 (May 3-7, 1992), 1992 ACM at 525-532.
Ishii, H., et al. "Toward an Open Shared Workspace: Computer and Video Fusion Approach of TeamWorkstation," Communication of the ACM, vol. 34, No. 12, pp. 37-50. Dec. 1991.
Kippenhan, et al., "Videoconferencing in the Energy Research Community," National HEPnet Management, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Aug. 1992.
Koszarek, et al., "A Multi-User Document Review Tool," Multiuser Interfaces and Applications, 1990, p. 207-214.
Leffler, Samuel J. et. al., An Advanced 4.4BSD Interprocess Communication Tutorial, 1993 The Regents of the University of California.
Andersen, Plato People: Term-talk: Plato's Instant Messaging, Dec. 19, 2002.
FreeBSD Hypertext Man Pages, Who(1), Apr. 23, 1991, 2 pgs.
FreeBSD Hypertext Man Pages, UTMP(5), 4th Berkeley Distribution, May 5, 1991, 3 Pgs.
FreeBSD Hypertext Man Pages, Login(1), 4th Berkeley Distribution, Jun. 29, 1991, 3 Pgs.
Ensor, et al.: The Rapport Multimedia Communication System (Demonstration), May 1992.
Memorandum & Order Re Claim Construction v. Polycom, Inc., No. C-02-4591 MMC, 2004.
Memorandum & Order Re Claim Construction v. Tandberg ASA, Inc., No. C-05-01940 MHP, 2006.
Miller et al.: News On Demand for Multimedia Networks, date unclear, Aug. 1993.
Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of US Patent No. 5,758,079, filed Feb. 4, 2008.
Replacement Statement and Explanation in the Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of US Patent No. 5,758,079, filed Mar. 3, 2008.
Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,237,025, filed Feb. 1, 2008.
Replacement Statement and Explanation in the Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,237,025, filed Feb. 25, 2008.
Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of US Patent No. 7,185,054, filed Feb. 1, 2008.
Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of US Patent No. 7,185,054, filed Feb. 22, 2008.
Zimmerman: The Finger User Information Protocol, RFC 1288, Dec. 1991.
Addeo, E.J, "An Experimental Multi-Media Bridging System," Bell Communications Research, pp. 236-242, date unknown.
Addeo, E.J., "Personal Multi-Media Multi-Point Communication Services," IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference and Exhibition, Bell Communications Research, pp. 53-57, date unknown.
Ahuja, S.R., "A Comparison of Application Sharing Mechanisms in Real-Time Desktop Conferencing Systems," AT&T Bell Laboratories, Holmdel, NJ, pp. 238-248, date unknown.
Ahuja, S.R., "The Rappaport Multimedia Conferencing System," AT&T Bell Laboratories, Holmdel, NJ, pp. 1-8, date unknown.
Dourish, Paul, "Culture and Control in a Media Space," Rank Xerox EuroPARC, Cambridge, UK, The Proceedings of the Third European Conference on Computer Supported Work Sep. 13-17, 1993.
Ellis, C.A., "Groupware—Some Issues and Related Experiences," Communications of the ACM, Jan. 1991, vol. 34, No. 1, pp. 39-58.
Gibbs, S.J., "LIZA: An Extensible Groupware Toolkit," MCC, Software Technology Program, Austin, TX, pp. 29-35, date unknown.
Goldberg, Yaron, "Active Mail: A Framework for Implementing Groupware," Feinberg Graduate School thesis, Nov. 1991.
Greenberg, Saul, "Issues and Experiences Designing and Implementing Two Group Drawing Tools," Proceedings of the 25th Annual Hawaii International Conference on the System Sciences, Hawaii, Jan. 1992, vol. 4, pp. 139-150.
Kirsche, T., "Communication Support for Cooperative Work," Computer Communications, Sep. 1993, vol. 16, No. 9, pp. 594-602.
Lakshamn, K., "Design and Implementation of a Multimedia Protocol Suite in a BSD Unix Kernel," 1993 Summer USENIX, Jun. 21-25, 1993, Cincinnati, OH, pp. 129-146.
Lichty, Tom, "America Online Tour Guide," Macintosh Edition, Version 2, Preface, pp. 5-6 and 70-72, Ventana Press, date unknown.
Newman-Wolfe, R.E., "A Brief Overview of the DCS Distributed Conferencing System," USENIX, Summer 1991, Nahville, TN, pp. 437-451.
Poggio, A., "CCWS: A Computer-Based, Multimedia Information System," IEEE Computer Society, Oct. 1985, pp. 92-103.
Salin, Peter, "Mobile Instant Messaging Systems—A Comparative Study and Implementation," Helsinki University of Technology, Espoo, Sep. 21, 2004.
Soares, L.F.G., "LAN Based Real Time Audio-Graphics Conferencing System," IEEE Infocom '89, Apr. 1989, pp. 617-623.
Whitmyer, Claude, "Groupware: The Software for Collaborative Computing," The Office, Jun. 1989, p. 28.

* cited by examiner



FIGURE 1


Tom Ortner
File Edit Permission
Search: By Name and Company
List of 23 matches entries
Fry's (copy)
ESS (Jerri Kramen
Chromium Systems Support Corp
Highland Digital
Milan Technology Corp. (copy)
List of 1 selected entries:
Call
Add
Mail
Share
Whiteboard
Details
Video Phone
File Edit Options Help
Call
Add
Mail
Share
Whiteboard
Messages
Telephone
Next Call
Call from Daniel I. Applebaum
Accept
Refuse
Add
Edit
Bob Lake
Calls on Hold
Detail — Chromium Systems Support Corporation (copy)
Edit
Name:
Work Phone:
Home Phone:
Company:
Work Address:
Home Address:
Remarks:
Date Updated:
Chromium Systems Support Corporation (copy)
800-876-9130
9627 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 310
Pleasanton, CA 94588
Sun maintenance
Mon Sep 26 14:00:32 1992

FIGURE 2A


Video File System: /usr/spool/ftp/pub/video/mpeg/OM.12497
File Edit
Rewind
Size: 0:54 min.
Pause
Video Phone
File Edit Options
Call Add Hold Share Whiteboard Messages Telephone
Tom Oliver
Bob Lobo
Vicar Video Enclosure
(Press Right Mouse Button for Options
Add Save Load Print Text Clear Grab Regrab Quit
BOB: LOOK AT THIS DATA!
• YES! I SEE THE PROBLEM.

FIGURE 2B


TO WAN
TO MM RESOURCES (16)
TO CORRESPONDING MLAN HARDWARE
WAN GATEWAY
CONFR. BRIDGE
35
35a
25a
25b
60c
30c
30a
35b
60a
60b
60
DATA LAN HUB
A/V SWITCH
MLAN SERVER
30
A/V I
A/V I
30b
60e
13a
13b
13a
13b
12.1
12.10
WS
WS
TO MM RESOURCES (16)

FIGURE 3


LOCATION B
WS
WS
12-1
12-8
AV SW
30
DATA
LAN HUB
25
R&C
42
WAN
GATEWAY
40
44
DATA
LAN HUB
25
WS
12-1
R&C
42
44
47
48
49
12-8
WS
AV SW
30
WAN
GATEWAY
40
LOCATION A
LOCATION D
LOCATION C

FIGURE 4



FIGURE 5



FIGURE 6



FIGURE 7



FIGURE 9



FIGURE 10



FIGURE 11


Edit
Hangup
Hold
Mute
Leave Word
Share
Whiteboard
Adjourn
Record
Calls on Hold:
Resume
Lester Ludwig, Keith Lantz
Resume
Resume

FIGURE 8A


Edit
Tom Griner
Hangup
Hold
Close Up
Adjourn
Hangup
Hold
Mute
Leave Word
Share
Whiteboard
Adjourn
Record
Calls on Hold:
Resume
Lester Ludwig, Keith Lantz
Resume
Resume

FIGURE 8B



FIGURE 8C



FIGURE 12A



FIGURE 12B



FIGURE 13A



FIGURE 13B



FIGURE 14A



FIGURE 14B



FIGURE 15A



FIGURE 15B


A = 0 UNIT DELAY
B,C,D = 1 UNIT DELAY
SITE NO. 2
PARTICIPANT B
SITE NO. 1
PARTICIPANT A
SITE NO. 4
PARTICIPANT D
SITE NO. 3
PARTICIPANT C
B = 0 UNIT DELAY
A = 1 UNIT DELAY
C,D = 2 UNITS DELAY
D = 0 UNIT DELAY
A = 1 UNIT DELAY
B,C = 2 UNITS DELAY
C = 0 UNIT DELAY
A = 1 UNIT DELAY
B,D = 2 UNITS DELAY

FIGURE 16



FIGURE 17A



FIGURE 17B


MONITOR 200
CAMERA 500
MICROPHONE 600
SPEAKER 700
CPU 100
101
104
103
102
130
VIDEO INPUT CARD
120
VIDEO DISPLAY CARD
NETWORK INTERFACE CARD
110
KEYBOARD 300
MOUSE 400
ADD-ON BOX 800
CONTROL 806
V-OUT 803
A-OUT 804
V-IN 801
A-IN 802
AV NETWORK (UTP) 901
DATA NETWORK (UTP) 902

FIGURE 18A


MONITOR
200
SIDE MOUNT
850
500
600
820
810
CONTROLS
CTL
AV I/O
700
806
805
CPU
100
101
150
103
102
120
VIDEO DISPLAY CARD
110
NETWORK INTERFACE CARD
KEYBOARD
300
MOUSE
400
AV NETWORK
UTP)
901
DATA NETWORK (UTP)
902

FIGURE 18B


ADD-ON BOX
800
813
EQ
811
PREAMP
812
HANDSET I/O
807
POWER AMP
813
EQ
811
PREAMP
808
HEADSET I/O
812
POWER AMP
803
V-OUT
POWER AMP
812
A-OUT
804
814
ECHO CANCELER
815
PREAMP
801
V-IN
EQ
A-IN
802
811
VOL
815
SELECT
LOOPBACK/AV MUTE
830
816
V 831
V 833
A 835
A 837
V 832
V 834
A 836
A 838
806
CONTROL
841
842
A/V TRANSCEIVERS
840
843
844
VIDEO OUT
VIDEO IN
AUDIO OUT
AUDIO IN
845
AUDIO/VIDEO I/O
805
AV NETWORK (UTP)
901

FIGURE 19


WORKSTATION SOFTWARE
160
163
ROLODEX
162
QUICKDIAL
169
VIDEOPHONE
OTHER STANDARD APPLICATION 170
COLLABORATION INITIATOR
161
164
SNAPSHOT SHARING
165
MAIL
166
APPLICATION SHARING
167
COMPUTER-INTEGRATED TELEPHONY
168
COMPUTER-INTEGRATED FAX
STANDARD MULTITASKING OPERATING SYSTEM 180

FIGURE 20



FIGURE 21



FIGURE 23



FIGURE 24


Collaboration Initiator
File Edit Options
Help
Griner
Lauwers
Lantz
Ludwig
Lake
Van Note
Steel
Kindon
Venner
Kennedy
guest1
guest2
guest3
guest4
guest5
AV-Call
Add
Mail
Share
Whiteboard
Messages
FAX
Phone

FIGURE 22



FIGURE 25



FIGURE 27



FIGURE 26



FIGURE 28



FIGURE 29


AUDIO/ VIDEO EDITOR
EVENT RECORDER/ PLAY BACK
512
STRUCTURE EDITOR
HYPER LINKING FACILITIES
GRAPHICS EDITOR
IMAGING/ PAINT PROGRAM
TEXT EDITOR
EDITORS
AUDIO/ VIDEO SEGMENTS
RECORDED SNAPSHOTS
518
STRUCTURE AND TIMING
LINKS AND REFERENCE
GRAPHICS
IMAGES
TEXT
MULTIMEDIA DOCUMENT COMPONENTS
REAL-TIME AUDIO/VIDEO STORAGE SERVER
502
CONVENTIONAL FILE SYSTEM
504
STORAGE FACILITIES
TIME-DEPENDENT MEDIA
TIME-INSENSITIVE MEDIA

FIGURE 30



FIGURE 31A



FIGURE 31B



FIGURE 31C



FIGURE 31D



FIGURE 32



FIGURE 33


USERS + INFORMATION SYSTEMS:
INFORMATION:
AUDIO/VIDEO OF ALL PARTIES
SHARED WINDOWS
TELEPOINTING/ANNOTATION
VENUES:
SAME TIME SAME PLACE
SAME TIME DIFFERENT PLACE
DIFFERENT TIME SAME PLACE
DIFFERENT TIME DIFFERENT PLACE
USERS + INFORMATION SYSTEMS:
INFORMATION:
AUDIO/VIDEO OF ALL PARTIES
SHARED WINDOWS
TELEPOINTING/ANNOTATION

FIGURE 34



FIGURE 35


211
210
Share: screen2
Add
Save
Load
Print
Close
Create
Playback
File Options Tools Special portfolio-composition.gif
Portfolio Composition as of 6/30/92
Fixed Income 40.2%
Reduce
Equity 30.0%
Increase
Real Estate 29.8%
Tim Gefner
201
202
203
204
205
206
Collaboration Initiator
File Edit Options
Help
Call
Add
Mail
Share
Whiteboard
Messages
Telephone
In Box

FIGURE 36


211
222
221
TODAY
Fixed Income
Real Estate
Equity
Relative Index
20
40
60
1989
1993
1995
Share: metals - graph
PRIORITY
Call from Leslie Ludwig
Accept
Add
201
202
203
204
205
206
223

FIGURE 37


Deferred Call with Tom Girner
230
234
232
PRIORITY
Call from Jennifer Street
Add
Accept
Release
Collaboration Initiator
204
205
206
203
233
231

FIGURE 38


Deferred Call with Tom Griner
230
241
243
204
205
206
203
242
233

FIGURE 39



FIGURE 40


211
222
220
Share: mobile - graph
Quit
Regrab
Grab
Chair
Print
Load
Save
Add
TODAY
Fixed Income
Real Estate
Equity
262
261
1985
PRIORITY
Call from Kim Seger
Accept
Release
Add
PRIORITY
Call from Juan Valdez
PRIORITY
Call from Emmett Burns
Tim Grimes
201
202
203
204
205
206
263
In Box

FIGURE 41



FIGURE 42

METHOD FOR REAL-TIME COMMUNICATION BETWEEN PLURAL USERS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present application is a continuation of application Ser. No. 09/702,737 filed Nov. 1, 2000 now U.S. Pat. No. 7,185,054, which is a Continuation of application Ser. No. 08/994,848, filed Dec. 19, 1997, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,237,025, which is a continuation of application Ser. No. 08/660,461, filed Jun. 7, 1996, now U.S. Pat. No. 5,802,294, which is a continuation of application Ser. No. 08/131,523, filed Oct. 1, 1993, now U.S. Pat. No. 5,689,641, the disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to computer-based systems for enhancing collaboration between and among individuals who are separated by distance and/or time (referred to herein as "distributed collaboration"). Principal among the invention's goals is to replicate in a desktop environment, to the maximum extent possible, the full range, level and intensity of interpersonal communication and information sharing which would occur if all the participants were together in the same room at the same time (referred to herein as "face-to-face collaboration").

It is well known to behavioral scientists that interpersonal communication involves a large number of subtle and complex visual cues, referred to by names like "eye contact" and "body language," which provide additional information over and above the spoken words and explicit gestures. These cues are, for the most part, processed subconsciously by the participants, and often control the course of a meeting.

In addition to spoken words, demonstrative gestures and behavioral cues, collaboration often involves the sharing of visual information—e.g., printed material such as articles, drawings, photographs, charts and graphs, as well as videotapes and computer-based animations, visualizations and other displays—in such a way that the participants can collectively and interactively examine, discuss, annotate and revise the information. This combination of spoken words, gestures, visual cues and interactive data sharing significantly enhances the effectiveness of collaboration in a variety of contexts, such as "brainstorming" sessions among professionals in a particular field, consultations between one or more experts and one or more clients, sensitive business or political negotiations, and the like. In distributed collaboration settings, then, where the participants cannot be in the same place at the same time, the beneficial effects of face-to-face collaboration will be realized only to the extent that each of the remotely located participants can be "recreated" at each site.

To illustrate the difficulties inherent in reproducing the beneficial effects of face-to-face collaboration in a distributed collaboration environment, consider the case of decision-making in the fast-moving commodities trading markets, where many thousands of dollars of profit (or loss) may depend on an expert trader making the fight decision within hours, or even minutes, of receiving a request from a distant client. The expert requires immediate access to a wide range of potentially relevant information such as financial data, historical pricing information, current price quotes, newswire services, government policies and programs, economic forecasts, weather reports, etc. Much of this information can be processed by the expert in isolation. However, before making a decision to buy or sell, he or she will frequently need to discuss the information with other experts, who may be geographically dispersed, and with the client. One or more of these other experts may be in a meeting, on another call, or otherwise temporarily unavailable. In this event, the expert must communicate "asynchronously"—to bridge time as well as distance.

As discussed below, prior art desktop videoconferencing systems provide, at best, only a partial solution to the challenges of distributed collaboration in real time, primarily because of their lack of high-quality video (which is necessary for capturing the visual cues discussed above) and their limited data sharing capabilities. Similarly, telephone answering machines, voice mail, fax machines and conventional electronic mail systems provide incomplete solutions to the problems presented by deferred (asynchronous) collaboration because they are totally incapable of communicating visual cues, gestures, etc and, like conventional videoconferencing systems, are generally limited in the richness of the data that can be exchanged.

It has been proposed to extend traditional videoconferencing capabilities from conference centers, where groups of participants must assemble in the same room, to the desktop, where individual participants may remain in their office or home. Such a system is disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,710,917 to Tompkins et al for Video Conferencing Network issued on Dec. 1, 1987. It has also been proposed to augment such video conferencing systems with limited "video mail" facilities. However, such dedicated videoconferencing systems (and extensions thereof) do not effectively leverage the investment in existing embedded information infrastructures—such as desktop personal computers and workstations, local area network (LAN) and wide area network (WAN) environments, building wiring, etc.—to facilitate interactive sharing of data in the form of text, images, charts, graphs, recorded video, screen displays and the like. That is, they attempt to add computing capabilities to a videoconferencing system, rather than adding multimedia and collaborative capabilities to the user's existing computer system. Thus, while such systems may be useful in limited contexts, they do not provide the capabilities required for maximally effective collaboration, and are not cost-effective.

Conversely, audio and video capture and processing capabilities have recently been integrated into desktop and portable personal computers and workstations (hereinafter generically referred to as "workstations"). These capabilities have been used primarily in desktop multimedia authoring systems for producing CD-ROM-based works. While such systems are capable of processing, combining, and recording audio, video and data locally (i.e., at the desktop), they do not adequately support networked collaborative environments, principally due to the substantial bandwidth requirements for real-time transmission of high-quality, digitized audio and full-motion video which preclude conventional LANs from supporting more than a few workstations. Thus, although currently available desktop multimedia computers frequently include videoconferencing and other multimedia or collaborative capabilities within their advertised feature set (see, e.g., A Reinhardt, "Video Conquers the Desktop," BYTE, September 1993, pp. 64-90), such systems have not yet solved the many problems inherent in any practical implementation of a scalable collaboration system.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. **1** is an enterprise view of a desk-top collaboration system embodiment of the present invention.

FIGS. **2**A and **2**B are photographs which attempt to illustrate, to the extent possible in a still image, the high-quality of the full-motion video and related user interface displays that appear on typical CMW screens which may be generated during operation of a preferred embodiment of the invention.

FIG. **3** is a block and schematic diagram of a preferred embodiment of a "multimedia local area network" (MLAN) in accordance with a desktop collaboration system embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. **4** is a block and schematic diagram illustrating how a plurality of geographically dispersed MLANs of the type shown in FIG. **3** can be connected via a wide area network in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. **5** is a schematic diagram illustrating how collaboration sites at distant locations L**1**-L**8** are conventionally interconnected over a wide area network by individually connecting each site to every other site.

FIG. **6** is a schematic diagram illustrating how collaboration sites at distant locations L**1**-L**8** are interconnected over a wide area network in a preferred embodiment of the invention using a multi-hopping approach.

FIG. **7** is a block diagram illustrating a preferred embodiment of video mosaicing circuitry provided in the MLAN of FIG. **3**.

FIGS. **8**A, **8**B and **8**C illustrate the video window on a typical CMW screen which may be generated during operation of a preferred embodiment of the present invention, and which contains only the callee for two-party calls (**8**A) and a video mosaic of all participants, e.g., for four-party (**8**B) or eight-party (**8**C) conference calls.

FIG. **9** is a block diagram illustrating a preferred embodiment of audio mixing circuitry provided in the MLAN of FIG. **3**.

FIG. **10** is a block diagram illustrating video cut-and-paste circuitry provided in the MLAN of FIG. **3**.

FIG. **11** is a schematic diagram illustrating typical operation of the video cut-and-paste circuitry in FIG. **10**.

FIGS. **12-17** (consisting of FIGS. **12**A, **12**B, **13**A, **13**B, **14**A, **14**B, **15**A, **15**B, **16**, **17**A and **17**B) illustrate various examples of how a preferred embodiment of the present invention provides video mosaicing, video cut-and-pasting, and audio mixing at a plurality of distant sites for transmission over a wide area network in order to provide, at the CMW of each conference participant, video images and audio captured from the other conference participants.

FIGS. **18**A and **18**B illustrate various preferred embodiments of a CMW which may be employed in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. **19** is a schematic diagram of a preferred embodiment of a CMW add-on box containing integrated audio and video L/O circuitry in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. **20** illustrates CMW software in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention, integrated with standard multitasking operating system and applications software.

FIG. **21** illustrates software modules which may be provided for running on the MLAN Server in the MLAN of FIG. **3** for controlling operation of the AV and Data Networks.

FIG. **22** illustrates an enlarged example of "speed-dial" face icons of certain collaboration participants in a Collaboration Initiator window on a typical CMW screen which may be generated during operation of a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. **23** is a diagrammatic representation of the basic operating events occurring in a preferred embodiment of the present invention during initiation of a two-party call.

FIG. **24** is a block and schematic diagram illustrating how physical connections are established in the MLAN of FIG. **3** for physically connecting first and second workstations for a two-party videoconference call.

FIG. **25** is a block and schematic diagram illustrating how physical connections are preferably established in MLANs such as illustrated in FIG. **3**, for a two-party call between a first CMW located at one site and a second CMW located at a remote site.

FIGS. **26** and **27** are block and schematic diagrams illustrating how conference bridging is preferably provided in the MLAN of FIG. **3**.

FIG. **28** diagrammatically illustrates how a snapshot with annotations may be stored in a plurality of bitmaps during data sharing.

FIG. **29** is a schematic and diagrammatic illustration of the interaction among multimedia mail (MMM), multimedia call/conference recording (MMCR) and multimedia document management (MMDM) facilities.

FIG. **30** is a schematic and diagrammatic illustration of the multimedia document architecture employed in a preferred embodiment of the invention.

FIG. **31**A illustrates a centralized Audio/Video Storage Server.

FIG. **31**B is a schematic and diagrammatic illustration of the interactions between the Audio/Video Storage Server and the remainder of the CMW System.

FIG. **31**C illustrates an alternative embodiment of the interactions illustrated in FIG. **31**B.

FIG. **31**D is a schematic and diagrammatic illustration of the integration of MMM MMCR and MMDM facilities in a preferred embodiment of the invention.

FIG. **32** illustrates a generalized hardware implementation of a scalable Audio/Video Storage Server.

FIG. **33** illustrates a higher throughput version of the server illustrated in FIG. **32**, using SCSI-based crosspoint switching to increase the number of possible simultaneous file transfers.

FIG. **34** illustrates the resulting multimedia collaboration environment achieved by the integration of audio/video/data teleconferencing and MMCR, MMM and MMDM.

FIGS. **35-42** illustrate a series of CMW screens which may be generated during operation of a preferred embodiment of the present invention for a typical scenario involving a remote expert who takes advantage of many of the features provided by the present invention.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with the present invention, computer hardware, software and communications technologies are combined in novel ways to produce a multimedia collaboration system that greatly facilitates distributed collaboration, in part by replicating the benefits of face-to-face collaboration. The system tightly integrates a carefully selected set of multimedia and collaborative capabilities, principal among which are desktop teleconferencing and multimedia mail.

As used herein, desk-top teleconferencing includes real-time audio and/or video teleconferencing, as well as data conferencing Data conferencing, in turn, includes snapshot sharing (sharing of "snapshots" of selected regions of the user's screen), application sharing (shared control of running applications), shared whiteboard (equivalent to sharing a "blank" window), and associated telepointing and annotation

capabilities. Teleconferences may be recorded and stored for later playback, including both audio/video and all data interactions.

While desktop teleconferencing supports real-time interactions, multimedia mail permits the asynchronous exchange of arbitrary multimedia documents, including previously recorded teleconferences. Indeed, it is to be understood that the multimedia capabilities underlying desktop teleconferencing and multimedia mail also greatly facilitate the creation, viewing, and manipulation of high-quality multimedia documents in general, including animations and visualizations that might be developed, for example, in the course of information analysis and modeling. Further, these animations and visualizations may be generated for individual rather than collaborative use, such that the present invention has utility beyond a collaboration context.

The preferred embodiment of the invention is a collaborative multimedia workstation (CMW) system wherein very high-quality audio and video capabilities can be readily superimposed onto an enterprise's existing computing and network infrastructure, including workstations, LANs, WANs, and building wiring.

In a preferred embodiment, the system architecture employs separate real-time and asynchronous networks—the former for real-time audio and video, and the latter for non-real-time audio and video, text, graphics and other data, as well as control signals. These networks are interoperable across different computers (e.g., Macintosh, Intel-based PCs, and Sun workstations), operating systems (e.g., Apple System 7, DOS/Windows, and UNIX) and network operating systems (e.g., Novell Netware and Sun ONC+). In many cases, both networks can actually share the same cabling and wall jack connector.

The system architecture also accommodates the situation in which the user's desktop computing and/or communications equipment provides varying levels of media-handling capability. For example, a collaboration session—whether real-time or asynchronous—may include participants whose equipment provides capabilities ranging from audio only (a telephone) or data only (a personal computer with a modem) to a full complement of real-time, high-fidelity audio and full-motion video, and high-speed data network facilities.

The CMW system architecture is readily, scalable to very large enterprise-wide network environments accommodating thousands of users. Further, it is an open architecture that can accommodate appropriate standards. Finally, the CMW system incorporates an intuitive, yet powerful, user interface, making the system easy to learn and use.

The present invention thus provides a distributed multimedia collaboration environment that achieves the benefits of face-to-face collaboration as nearly as possible, leverages ("snaps on to") existing computing and network infrastructure to the maximum extent possible, scales to very large networks consisting of thousand of workstations, accommodates emerging standards, and is easy to learn and use. The specific nature of the invention, as well as its objects, features, advantages and uses, will become more readily apparent from the following detailed description and examples, and from the accompanying drawings.

More specifically, the present invention provides a method of real-time communication between a plurality of users each with respective communication devices having associated displays, the method comprising the steps of providing each of the plurality of users with collaboration initiation software at their communication devices and allowing at least first and second users to connect to at least one communication network by logging in at their respective communication devices. Further, the method comprises maintaining service records for at least the first and second logged in users, the service records including user identification information and an associated location where each user is logged in. A display of a user identifier for at least the second user on the display of at least a first user's communication device is provided, thereby allowing the user to select the displayed second user's identifier and retrieve necessary addressing information of the second user so that a connection between the first and second users can be established, thereby enabling real-time communication including video images of at least one user and real-time text messages displayed on the display associated with at least one user.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Overall System Architecture

Referring initially to FIG. **1**, illustrated therein is an overall diagrammatic view of a multimedia collaboration system in accordance with the present invention. As shown, each of a plurality of "multimedia local area networks" (MLANs) **10** connects, via lines **13**, a plurality of CMWs **12-1** to **12-10** and provides audio/video/data networking for supporting collaboration among CMW users WAN **15** in turn connects multiple MLANs **10**, and typically includes appropriate combinations of common carrier analog and digital transmission networks Multiple MLANs **10** on the same physical premises may be connected via bridges/routes **11**, as shown, to WANs and one another.

In accordance with the present invention, the system of FIG. **1** accommodates both "real time" delay and jitter-sensitive signals (e.g., real-time audio and video teleconferencing) and classical asynchronous data (e.g., data control signals as well as shared textual, graphics and other media) communication among multiple CMWs **12** regardless of their location. Although only ten CMWs **12** are illustrated in FIG. **1**, it will be understood that many more could be provided. As also indicated in FIG. **1**, various other multimedia resources **16** (e.g., VCRs, laserdiscs, TV feeds, etc.) are connected to MLANs **10** and are thereby accessible by individual CMWs **12**.

CMW **12** in FIG. **1** may use any of a variety of types of operating systems, such as Apple System 7, UNIX, DOS/Windows and OS/2. The CMWs can also have different types of window systems. Specific preferred embodiments of a CMW **12** are described hereinafter in connection with FIGS. **18**A and **18**B. Note that this invention allows for a mix of operating systems and window systems across individual CMWs.

In the preferred embodiment, CMW **12** in FIG. **1** provides real-time audio/video/data capabilities along with the usual data processing capabilities provided by its operating system CMW **12** also provides for bidirectional communication, via lines **13**, within MLAN **10**, for audio/video signals as well as data signals Audio/video signals transmitted from a CMW **12** typically comprise a high-quality live video image and audio of the CMW operator. These signals are obtained from a video camera and microphone provided at the CMW (via an add-on unit or partially or totally integrated into the CMW), processed, and then made available to low-cost network transmission subsystems.

Audio/video signals received by a CMW **12** from MLAN **10** may typically include: video images of one or more conference participants and associated audio, video and data from multimedia mail, previously recorded audio/video from

previous calls and conferences, and standard broadcast television (e.g., CNN). Received video signals are displayed on the CMW screen or on an adjacent monitor, and the accompanying audio is reproduced by a speaker provided in or near the CMW. In general, the required transducers and signal processing hardware could be integrated into the CMW, or be provided via a CMW add-on unit, as appropriate.

In the preferred embodiment, it has been found particularly advantageous to provide the above-described video at standard NTSC-quality TV performance (i.e., 30 frames per second at 640×480 pixels per frame and the equivalent of 24 bits of color per pixel) with accompanying high-fidelity audio (typically between 7 and 15 KHz). For example, FIG. **2**A illustrates a CMW screen containing live, full-motion video of three conference participants, while FIG. **2**B illustrates data shared and annotated by those conferees (lower left window).

Multimedia Local Area Network

Referring next to FIG. **3**, illustrated therein is a preferred embodiment of MLAN **10** having ten CMWs (**12-1**, **12-2**-**12-10**), coupled therein via lines **13***a* and **13***b* MLAN **10** typically extends over a distance from a few hundred feet to a few miles, and is usually located within a building or a group of proximate buildings.

Given the current state of networking technologies, it is useful (for the sake of maintaining quality and minimizing costs) to provide separate signal paths for real-time audio/video and classical asynchronous data communications (including digitized audio and video enclosures of multimedia mail messages that are free from real-time delivery constraints). At the moment, analog methods for carrying real-time audio/video are preferred. In the future, digital methods may be used. Eventually, digital audio and video signal paths may be multiplexed with the data signal path as a common digital stream. Another alternative is to multiplex real-time and asynchronous data paths together using analog multiplexing methods. For the purposes of the present application, however, we will treat these two signal paths as using physically separate wires. Further, as the current preferred embodiment uses analog networking for audio and video, it also physically separates the real-time and asynchronous switching vehicles and, in particular, assumes an analog audio/video switch. In the future, a common switching vehicle (e.g., ATM) could be used.

The MLAN **10** thus can be implemented in the preferred embodiment using conventional technology, such as typical Data LAN hubs **25** and A/V Switching Circuitry **30** (as used in television studios and other closed-circuit television networks), linked to the CMWs **12** via appropriate transceivers and unshielded twisted pair (UTP) wiring. Note in FIG. **1** that lines **13**, which interconnect each CMW **12** within its respective MLAN **10**, comprise two sets of lines **13***a* and **13***b* Lines **13***a* provide bidirectional communication of audio/video within MLAN **10**, while lines **13***b* provide for the bidirectional communication of data. This separation permits conventional LANs to be used for data communications and a supplemental network to be used for audio/video communications. Although this separation is advantageous in the preferred embodiment, it is again to be understood that audio/video/data networking can also be implemented using a single pair of lines for both audio/video and data communications via a very wide variety of analog and digital multiplexing schemes.

While lines **13***a* and **13***b* may be implemented in various ways, it is currently preferred to use commonly installed 4-pair UTP telephone wires, wherein one pair is used for incoming video with accompanying audio (mono or stereo) multiplexed in, wherein another pair is used for outgoing multiplexed audio/video, and wherein the remaining two pairs are used for carrying incoming and outgoing data in ways consistent with existing LANs. For example, 10BaseT Ethernet uses RJ-45 pins 1, 2, 4, and 6, leaving pins 3, 5, 7, and 8 available for the two A/V twisted pairs. The resulting system is compatible with standard (AT&T 258A, EIA/TIA 568, 8P8C, 10BaseT, ISDN, 6P6C, etc.) telephone wiring found commonly throughout telephone and LAN cable plants in most office buildings throughout the world. These UTP wires are used in a hierarchy or peer arrangements of star topologies to create MLAN **10**, described below. Note that the distance range of the data wires often must match that of the video and audio Various UTP-compatible data. LAN networks may be used, such as Ethernet, token ring, FDDI, ATM etc. For distances longer than the maximum distance specified by the data LAN protocol, data signals can be additionally processed for proper UTP operations.

As shown in FIG. **3**, lines **13***a* from each CMW **12** are coupled to a conventional Data LAN hub **25**, which facilitates the communication of data (including control signals) among such CMWs Lines **13***b* in FIG. **3** are connected to AN Switching Circuitry **30**. One or more conference bridges **35** are coupled to A/V Switching Circuitry **30** and possibly (if needed) the Data LAN hub **25**, via lines **35***b* and **35***a*, respectively, for providing multi-party Conferencing in a particularly advantageous manner, as will hereinafter be described in detail A WAN gateway **40** provides for bidirectional communication between MLAN **10** and WAN **15** in FIG. **1**. For this purpose, Data LAN hub **25** and A/V Switching Circuitry **30** are coupled to WAN gateway **40** via outputs **25***a* and **30***a*, respectively. Other devices connect to the A/V Switching Circuitry **30** and Data LAN hub **25** to add additional features, such as multimedia mail, conference recording, etc.) as discussed below.

Control of A/V Switching Circuitry **30**, conference bridges **35** and WAN gateway **40** in FIG. **3** is provided by MLAN Server **60** via lines **60***b*, **60***c*, and **60***d*, respectively. In a preferred embodiment, MLAN Server **60** supports the TCP/IP network protocol suite. Accordingly, software processes on CMWs **12** communicate with one another and MLAN Server **60** via MLAN **10** using these protocols. Other network protocols could also be used, such as IPX. The manner in which software running on MLAN Server **60** controls the operation of MLAN **10** will be described in detail hereinafter.

Note in FIG. **3** that Data LAN hub **25**, A/V Switching Circuitry **30** and MLAN Server **60** also provide respective lines **25***b*, **30***b*, and **60***e* for coupling to additional multimedia resources **16** (FIG. **1**), such as multimedia document management, multimedia databases, radio/TV channel setc Data LAN hub **25** (via bridges/routers **11** in FIG. **1**) and A/V Switching Circuitry **30** additionally provide lines **25***c* and **30***c* for coupling to one or more other MLANs **10** which may be in the same locality (i.e., not far enough away to require use of WAN technology) Where WANs are required, WAN gateways **40** are used to provide highest quality compression methods and standards in a shared resource fashion, thus minimizing costs at the workstation for a given WAN quality level, as discussed below.

The basic operation of the preferred embodiment of the resulting collaboration system shown in FIGS. **1** and **3** will next be considered. Important features of the present invention reside in providing not only multi-party real-time desktop audio/video/data teleconferencing among geographically distributed CMWs, but also in providing from the same desk-

top audio/video/data/text/graphics mail capabilities, as well as access to other resources, such as databases, audio and video files, overview cameras, standard TV channels, etc FIG. **2**B illustrates a CMW screen showing a multimedia EMAIL mailbox (top left window) containing references to a number of received messages along with a video enclosure (top right window) to the selected message.

A/V Switching Circuitry **30** (whether digital or analog as in the preferred embodiment) provides common audio/video switching for CMWs **12**, conference bridges **35**, WAN gateway **40** and multimedia resources **16**, as determined by MLAN Server **60**, which in turn controls conference bridges **35** and WAN gateway **44**). Similarly, asynchronous data is communicated within MLAN **10** utilizing common data communications formats where possible (e.g., for snapshot sharing) so that the system can handle such data in a common manner, regardless of origin, thereby facilitating multimedia mail and data sharing as well as audio/video communications.

For example, to provide multi-party teleconferencing, an initiating CMW **12** signals MLAN Server **60** via Data LAN hub **25** identifying the desired conference participants. After determining which of these conferees will accept the call, MLAN Server **60** controls A/V Switching Circuitry **30** (and CMW software via the data network) to set up the required audio/video and data paths to conferees at the same location as the initiating CMW.

When one or more conferees are at distant locations, the respective MLAN Servers **60** of the involved MLANs **10**, on a peer-to-peer basis, control their respective A/V Switching Circuitry **30**, conference bridges **35**, and WAN gateways **40** to set up appropriate communication paths (via WAN **15** in FIG. **1**) as required for interconnecting the conferees MLAN Servers **60** also communicate with one another via data paths so that each MLAN **10** contains updated information as to the capabilities of all of the system CMWs **12**, and also the current locations of all parties available for teleconferencing.

The data conferencing component of the above-described system supports the sharing of visual information at one or more CMWs (as described in greater detail below). This encompasses both "snapshot sharing" (sharing "snapshots" of complete or partial screens, or of one or more selected windows) and "application sharing" (sharing both the control and display of running applications) When transferring images, lossless or slightly lossy image compression can be used to reduce network bandwidth requirements and user-perceived delay while maintaining high image quality.

In all cases, any participant can point at or annotate the shared data. These associated telepointers and annotations appear on every participant's CMW screen as they are drawn (i.e., effectively in real time). For example, note FIG. **2**B which illustrates a typical CMW screen during a multi-party teleconferencing session, wherein the screen contains annotated shared data as well as video images of the conferees. As described in greater detail below, all or portions of the audio/video and data of the teleconference can be recorded at a CMW (or within MLAN **10**), complete with all the data interactions.

In the above-described preferred embodiment, audio/video file services can be implemented either at the individual CMWs **12** or by employing a centralized audio/video storage server. This is one example of the many types of additional servers that can be added to the basic system of MLANs **10**. A similar approach is used for incorporating other multimedia services, such as commercial TV channels, multimedia mail, multimedia document management, multimedia conference recording, visualization servers, etc. (as described in greater detail below) Certainly, applications that run self-contained on a CMW can be readily added, but the invention extends this capability greatly in the way that MLAN **10**, storage and other functions are implemented and leveraged.

In particular, standard signal formats, network interfaces, user interface messages, and call models can allow virtually any multimedia resource to be smoothly integrated into the system. Factors facilitating such smooth integration include: (i) a common mechanism for user access across the network; (ii) a common metaphor (e.g., placing a call) for the user to initiate use of such resource; (iii) the ability for one function (e.g., a multimedia conference or multimedia database) to access and exchange information with another function (e.g., multimedia mail); and (iv) the ability to extend such access of one networked function by another networked function to relatively complex nestings of simpler functions (for example, record a multimedia conference in which a group of users has accessed multimedia mail messages and transferred them to a multimedia database, and then send part of the conference recording just created as a new multimedia mail message, utilizing a multimedia mail editor if necessary).

A simple example of the smooth integration of functions made possible by the above-described approach is that the GUI and software used for snapshot sharing (described below) can also be used as an input/output interface for multimedia mail and more general forms of multimedia documents. This can be accomplished by structuring the interprocess communication protocols to be uniform across all these applications. More complicated examples—specifically multimedia conference recording, multimedia mail and multimedia document management—will be presented in detail below.

Wide Area Network

Next to be described in connection with FIG. **4** is the advantageous manner in which the present invention provides for real-time audio/video/data communication among geographically dispersed MLANs **10** via WAN **15** (FIG. **1**), whereby communication delays, cost and degradation of video quality are significantly minimized from what would otherwise be expected.

Four MLANs **10** are illustrated at locations A, B, C and D CMWs **12-1** to **12-10**, A/V Switching Circuitry **30**, Data LAN hub **25**, and WAN gateway **40** at each location correspond to those shown in FIGS. **1** and **3** WAN gateway **40** in FIG. **4** will be seen to comprise a router/codec (R&C) bank **42** coupled to WAN **15** via WAN switching multiplexer **44**. The router is used for data interconnection and the codec is used for audio/video interconnection (for multimedia mail and document transmission, as well as videoconferencing). Codecs from multiple vendors, or supporting various compression algorithms may be employed. In the preferred embodiment, the router and codec are combined with the switching multiplexer to form a single integrated unit.

Typically, WAN **15** is comprised of T1 or ISDN common-carrier-provided digital links (switched or dedicated), in which case WAN switching multiplexers **44** are of the appropriate type (T1, ISDN, fractional T1, T3, switched 56 Kbps, etc.). Note that the WAN switching multiplexer **44** typically creates subchannels whose bandwidth is a multiple of 64 Kbps (i.e., 256 Kbps, 384, 768, etc.) among the T1, T3 or ISDN carriers Inverse multiplexers may be required when using 56 Kbps dedicated or switched services from these carriers.

In the MLAN **10** to WAN **15** direction, router/codec bank **42** in FIG. **4** provides conventional analog-to-digital conversion and compression of audio/video signals received from

A/V Switching Circuitry **30** for transmission to WAN **15** via WAN switching multiplexer **44**, along with transmission and routing of data signals received from Data LAN hub **25**. In the WAN **15** to MLAN **10** direction, each router/codec bank **42** in FIG. **4** provides digital-to-analog conversion and decompression of audio/video digital signals received from WAN **15** via WAN switching multiplexer **44** for transmission to A/V Switching Circuitry **30**, along with the transmission to Data LAN hub **25** of data signals received from WAN **15**.

The system also provides optimal routes for audio/video signals through the WAN. For example, in FIG. **4**, location. A can take either a direct route to location D via path **47**, or a two-hop route through location C via paths **48** and **49**. If the direct path **47** linking location A and location D is unavailable, the multipath route via location C and paths **48** and **49** could be used.

In a more complex network, several multi-hop routes are typically available, in which case the routing system handles the decision making, which for example can be based on network loading considerations. Note the resulting two-level network hierarchy: a MLAN **10** to MLAN **10** (i.e., site-to-site) service connecting codecs with one another only at connection endpoints.

The cost savings made possible by providing the above-described multi-hop capability (with intermediate codec bypassing) are very significant as will become evident by noting the examples of FIGS. **5** and **6**. FIG. **5** shows that using the conventional "fully connected mesh" location-to-location approach, thirty-six WAN links are required for interconnecting the nine locations L**1** to L**8**. On the other hand, using the above multi-hop capabilities, only nine WAN links are required, as shown in FIG. **6**. As the number of locations increase, the difference in cost becomes even greater, growing as the square of the number of sites. For example, for 100 locations, the conventional approach would require about 5,000 WAN links, while the multi-hop approach of the present invention would typically require 300 or fewer (possibly considerably fewer) WAN links. Although specific WAN links for the multi-hop approach of the invention would require higher bandwidth to carry the additional traffic, the cost involved is very much smaller as compared to the cost for the very much larger number of WAN links required by the conventional approach.

At the endpoints of a wide-area call, the WAN switching multiplexer routes audio/video signals directly from the WAN network interface through an available codec to MLAN **10** and vice versa. At intermediate hops in the network, however, video signals are routed from one network interface on the WAN switching multiplexer to another network interface. Although A/V Switching Circuitry **30** could be used for this purpose, the preferred embodiment provides switching functionality inside the WAN switching multiplexer. By doing so, it avoids having to route audio/video signals through codecs to the analog switching circuitry, thereby avoiding additional codec delays at the intermediate locations.

A product capable of performing the basic switching functions described above for WAN switching multiplexer **44** is available from Teleos Corporation, Eatontown, N.J. This product is not known to have been used for providing audio/video multi-hopping and dynamic switching among various WAN links as described above.

In addition to the above-described multiple-hop approach, the preferred embodiment of the present invention provides a particularly advantageous way of minimizing delay, cost and degradation of video quality in a multi-party video teleconference involving geographically dispersed sites, while still delivering full conference views of all participants. Normally, in order for the CMWs at all sites to be provided with live audio/video of every participant in a teleconference simultaneously, each site has to allocate (in router/codec bank **42** in FIG. **4**) a separate codec for each participant, as well as a like number of WAN trunks (via WAN switching multiplexer **44** in FIG. **4**).

As will next be described, however, the preferred embodiment of the invention advantageously permits each wide area audio/video teleconference to use only one codec at each site, and a minimum number of WAN digital trunks. Basically, the preferred embodiment achieves this most important result by employing "distributed" video mosaicing via a video "cut-and-paste" technology along with distributed audio mixing.

Distributed Video Mosaicing

FIG. **7** illustrates a preferred way of providing video mosaicing in the MLAN of FIG. **3**—i.e., by combining the individual analog video pictures from the individuals participating in a teleconference into a single analog mosaic picture. As shown in FIG. **7**, analog video signals **112-1** to **112**-n from the participants of a teleconference are applied to video mosaicing circuitry **36**, which in the preferred embodiment is provided as part of conference bridge **35** in FIG. **3**. These analog video inputs **112-1** to **112**-n are obtained from the A/V Switching Circuitry **30** (FIG. **3**) and may include video signals from CMWs at one or more distant sites (received via WAN gateway **40**) as well as from other CMWs at the local site.

In the preferred embodiment, video mosaicing circuitry **36** is capable of receiving N individual analog video picture signals (where N is a squared integer, i.e., 4, 9, 16, etc.). Circuitry **36** first reduces the size of the N input video signals by reducing the resolutions of each by a factor of M (where M is the square root of N (i.e., 2, 3, 4, etc.), and then arranging them in an M-by-M mosaic of N images. The resulting single analog mosaic **36***a* obtained from video mosaicing circuitry **36** is then transmitted to the individual CMWs for display on the screens thereof.

As will become evident hereinafter, it may be preferable to send a different mosaic to distant sites, in which case video mosaicing circuitry **36** would provide an additional mosaic **36***b* for this purpose. A typical displayed mosaic picture (N=4, M=2) showing three participants is illustrated in FIG. **2**A. A mosaic containing four participants is shown in FIG. **8**B. It will be appreciated that, since a mosaic (**36***a* or **36***b*) can be transmitted as a single video picture to another site, via WAN **15** (FIGS. **1** and **4**), only one codec and digital trunk are required. Of course, if only a single individual video picture is required to be sent from a site, it may be sent directly without being included in a mosaic. Note that for large conferences it is possible to employ multiple video mosaics, one for each video window supported by the CMWs (see, e.g., FIG. **8**C). In very large conferences, it is also possible to display video only from a select focus group whose members are selected by a dynamic "floor control" mechanism. Also note that, with additional mosaic hardware, it is possible to give each CMW its own mosaic. This can be used in small conferences to raise the maximum number of participants (from M sup 2 to M sup 2+1—i.e., 5, 10, 17, etc.) or to give everyone in a large conference their own "focus group" view.

Also note that the entire video mosaicing approach described thus far and continued below applies should digital video transmission be used in lieu of analog transmission, particularly since both mosaic and video window implementations use digital formats internally and in current products are transformed to and from analog for external interfacing.

In particular, note that mosaicing can be done digitally without decompression with many existing compression schemes. Further, with an all-digital approach, mosaicing can be done as needed directly on the CMW.

FIG. **9** illustrates preferred audio mixing circuitry **38** for use in conjunction with the video mosaicing circuitry **36** in FIG. **7**, both of which may be part of conference bridges **35** in FIG. **3**. As shown in FIG. **9**, audio signals **114-1** to **114**-n are applied to audio summing circuitry **38** for combination. These input audio signals **114-1** to **114**-n may include audio signals from local participants as well as audio sums from participants at distant sites. Audio mixing circuitry **38** provides a respective "minus−1" sum output **38***a*-**1**, **38***a*-**2**, etc., for each participant. Thus, each participant hears every conference participant's audio except his/her own.

In the preferred embodiment, sums are decomposed and formed in a distributed fashion, creating partial sums at one site which are completed at other sites by appropriate signal insertion. Accordingly, audio mixing circuitry **38** is able to provide one or more additional sums, such as indicated by output **38**, for sending to other sites having conference participants.

Next to be considered is the manner in which video cut-and-paste techniques are advantageously employed in the preferred embodiment. It will be understood that, since video mosaics and/or individual video pictures may be sent from one or more other sites, the problem arises as to how these situations are handled. In the preferred embodiment, video cut-and-paste circuitry **39**, as illustrated in FIG. **10**, is provided for this purpose, and may also be incorporated in the conference bridges **35** in FIG. **3**.

Referring to FIG. **10**, video cut-and-paste circuitry receives analog video inputs **116**, which may be comprised of one or more mosaics or single video pictures received from one or more distant sites and a mosaic or single video picture produced by the local site. It is assumed that the local video mosaicing circuitry **36** (FIG. **7**) and the video cut-and-paste circuitry **39** have the capability of handling all of the applied individual video pictures, or at least are able to choose which ones are to be displayed based on existing available signals.

The video cut-and-paste circuitry **39** digitizes the incoming analog video inputs **116**, selectively rearranges the digital signals on a region-by-region basis to produce a single digital M-by-M mosaic, having individual pictures in selected regions, and then converts the resulting digital mosaic back to analog form to provide a single analog mosaic picture **39***a* for sending to local participants (and other sites where required) having the individual input video pictures in appropriate regions. This resulting cut-and-paste analog mosaic **39***a* will provide the same type of display as illustrated in FIG. **8**B. As will become evident hereinafter, it is sometimes beneficial to send different cut-and-paste mosaics to different sites, in which case video cut-and-paste circuitry **39** will provide additional cut-and-paste mosaics **39***b*-**1**, **39***b*-**2**, etc. for this purpose.

FIG. **11** diagrammatically illustrates an example of how video cut-and-paste circuitry may operate to provide the cut-and-paste analog mosaic **39***a*. As shown in FIG. **11**, four digitized individual signals **116***a*, **116***b*, **116***c* and **116***d* derived from the input video signals are "pasted" into selected regions of a digital frame buffer **17** to form a digital 2×2 mosaic, which is converted into an output analog video mosaic **39***a* or **39***b* in FIG. **10**. The required audio partial sums may be provided by audio mixing circuitry **39** in FIG. **9** in the same manner, replacing each cut-and-paste video operation with a partial sum operation.

Having described in connection with FIGS. **7-11** how video mosaicing, audio mixing, video cut-and-pasting, and distributed audio mixing may be performed, the following description of FIGS. **12-17** will illustrate how these capabilities may advantageously be used in combination in the context of wide-area videoconferencing. For these examples, the teleconference is assumed to have four participants, designated as A, B, C and D, in which case 2×2 (quad) mosaics are employed. It is to be understood that greater numbers of participants could be provided. Also, two or more simultaneously occurring teleconferences could also be handled, in which case additional mosaicing, cut-and-paste and audio mixing circuitry would be provided at the various sites along with additional WAN paths For each example, the "A" figure illustrates the video mosaicing and cut-and-pasting provided, and the corresponding "B" figure (having the same figure number) illustrates the associated audio mixing provided. Note that these figures indicate typical delays that might be encountered for each example (with a single "UNIT" delay ranging from 0-450 milliseconds, depending upon available compression technology).

FIGS. **12**A and **12**B illustrate a 2-site example having two participants A and B at Site #**1** and two participants C and D at Site #**2** Note that this example requires mosaicing and cut-and-paste at both sites.

FIGS. **13**A and **13**B illustrate another 2-site example, but having three participants A, B and C at Site #**1** and one participant D at Site #**2**. Note that this example requires mosaicing at both sites, but cut-and-paste only at Site #**2**.

FIGS. **14**A and **14**B illustrate a 3-site example having participants A and B at Site #**1**, participant C at Site #**2**, and participant D at Site #**3**. At Site #**1**, the two local videos A and B are put into a mosaic which is sent to both Site #**2** and Site #**3**. At Site #**2** and Site #**3**, cut-and-paste is used to insert the single video (C or D) at that site into the empty region in the imported A, B, and D or C mosaic, as shown. Accordingly, mosaicing is required at all three sites, and cut-and-paste is required for only Site #**2** and Site #**3**.

FIGS. **15**A and **15**B illustrate another 3-site example having participant A at Site #**1**, participant B at Site #**2**, and participants C and D at Site #**3**. Note that mosaicing and cut-and-paste are required at all sites Site #**2** additionally has the capability to send different cut-and-paste mosaics to Sites #**1** and Site #**3**. Further note with respect to FIG. **15**B that Site #**2** creates minus−1 audio mixes for Site #**1** and Site #**2**, but only provides a partial audio mix (A&B) for Site #**3**. These partial mixes are completed at Site #**3** by mixing in C's signal to complete D's mix (A+B+C) and D's signal to complete C's mix (A+B+D).

FIG. **16** illustrates a 4-site example employing a star topology, having one participant at each site; that is, participant A is at Site #**1**, participant B is at Site #**2**, participant C is at Site #**3**, and participant D is at Site #**4**. An audio implementation is not illustrated for this example, since standard minus−1 mixing can be performed at Site #**1**, and the appropriate sums transmitted to the other sites.

FIGS. **17**A and **17**B illustrate a 4-site example that also has only one participant at each site, but uses a line topology rather than a star topology as in the example of FIG. **16**. Note that this example requires mosaicing and cut-and-paste at all sites. Also note that Site #**2** and Site #**3** are each required to transmit two different types of cut-and-paste mosaics.

The preferred embodiment also provides the capability of allowing a conference participant to select a close-up of a participant displayed on a mosaic. This capability is provided whenever a full individual video picture is available at that user's site. In such case, the A/V Switching Circuitry **30** (FIG.

3) switches the selected full video picture (whether obtained locally or from another site) to the CMW that requests the close-up.

Next to be described in connection with FIGS. **18**A, **18**B, **19** and **20** are various preferred embodiments of a CMW in accordance with the invention.

Collaborative Multimedia Workstation Hardware

One embodiment of a CMW **12** of the present invention is illustrated in FIG. **18**A Currently available personal computers (e.g., an Apple Macintosh or an IBM-compatible PC, desktop or laptop) and workstations (e.g., a Sun SPARC station) can be adapted to work with the present invention to provide such features as real-time videoconferencing, data conferencing, multimedia mail, etc. In business situations, it can be advantageous to set up a laptop to operate with reduced functionality via cellular telephone links and removable storage media (e.g., CD-ROM, video tape with timecode support etc.), but take on full capability back in the office via a docking station connected to the MLAN **10**. This requires a voice and data modem as yet another function server attached to the MLAN.

The currently available personal computers and workstations serve as a base workstation platform. The addition of certain audio and video I/O devices to the standard components of the base platform **100** (where standard components include the display monitor **200**, keyboard **300** and mouse or tablet (or other pointing device) **400**), all of which connect with the base platform box through standard peripheral ports **101**, **102** and **103**, enables the CMW to generate and receive real-time audio and video signals. These devices include a video camera **500** for capturing the user's image, gestures and surroundings (particularly the user's face and upper body), a microphone **600** for capturing the user's spoken words (and any other sounds generated at the CMW), a speaker **700** for presenting incoming audio signals (such as the spoken words of another participant to a videoconference or audio annotations to a document), a video input card **130** in the base platform **100** for capturing incoming video signals (e.g., the image of another participant to a videoconference, or videomail), and a video display card **120** for displaying video and graphical output on monitor **200** (where video is typically displayed in a separate window).

These peripheral audio and video I/O devices are readily available from a variety of vendors and are just beginning to become standard features in (and often physically integrated into the monitor and/or base platform of) certain personal computers and workstations. See, e.g., the aforementioned BYTE article ("Video Conquers the Desktop"), which describes current models of Apple's Macintosh AV series personal computers and Silicon Graphics' Indy workstations.

Add-on box **800** (shown in FIG. **18**A and illustrated in greater detail in FIG. **19**) integrates these audio and video I/O devices with additional functions (such as adaptive echo canceling and signal switching) and interfaces with AV Network **901** Network **901** is the part of the MLAN **10** which carries bidirectional audio and video signals among the CMWs and A/V Switching Circuitry **30**—e.g., utilizing existing UTP wiring to carry audio and video signals (digital or analog, as in the present embodiment).

In the present embodiment, the AV network **901** is separate and distract from the Data Network **902** portion of the MLAN **10**, which carries bidirectional data signals among the CMWs and the Data LAN hub (e.g., an Ethernet network that also utilizes UTP wiring in the present embodiment with a network interface card **110** in each CMW). Note that each CMW will typically be a node on both the AV and the Data Networks.

There are several approaches to implementing Add-on box **800**. In a typical videoconference, video camera **500** and microphone **600** capture and transmit outgoing video and audio signals into ports **801** and **802**, respectively, of Add-on box **800**. These signals are transmitted via Audio/Video I/O port **805** across AV Network **901**. Incoming video and audio signals (from another videoconference participant) are received across AV network **901** through Audio/Video I/O port **805**. The video signals are sent out of V-OUT port **803** of CMW add-on box **800** to video input card **130** of base platform **100**, where they are displayed (typically in a separate video window) on monitor **200** utilizing the standard base platform video display card **120**. The audio signals are sent out of A-OUT port **804** of CMW add-on box **800** and played through speaker **700** while the video signals are displayed on monitor **200**. The same signal flow occurs for other non-teleconferencing applications of audio and video.

Add-on box **800** can be controlled by CMW software (illustrated in FIG. **20**) executed by base platform **100** Control signals can be communicated between base platform port **104** and Add-on box Control port **806** (e.g., an RS-232, Centronics, SCSI or other standard communications port).

Many other embodiments of the CMW illustrated in FIG. **18**A will work in accordance with the present invention. For example, Add-on box **800** itself can be implemented as an add-in card to the base platform **100** Connections to the audio and video I/O devices need not change, though the connection for base platform control can be implemented internally (e.g., via the system bus) rather than through an external RS-232 or SCSI peripheral port. Various additional levels of integration can also be achieved as will be evident to those skilled in the art. For example, microphones, speakers, video cameras and UTP transceivers can be integrated into the base platform **100** itself, and all media handling technology and communications can be integrated onto a single card.

A handset/headset jack enables the use of an integrated audio I/O device as an alternate to the separate microphone and speaker. A telephone interface could be integrated into add-on box **800** as a local implementation of computer-integrated telephony. A "hold" (i.e., audio and video mute) switch and/or a separate audio mute switch could be added to Add-on box **800** if such an implementation were deemed preferable to a software-based interface.

The internals of Add-on box **800** of FIG. **18**A are illustrated in FIG. **19**. Video signals generated at the CMW (e.g., captured by camera **500** of FIG. **18**A) are sent to CMW add-on box **800** via V-IN port **801**. They then typically pass unaffected through Loopback/AV Mute circuitry **830** via video ports **833** (input) and **834** (output) and into A/V Transceivers **840** (via Video In port **842**) where they are transformed from standard video cable signals to UTP signals and sent out via port **845** and Audio/Video I/O port **805** onto AV Network **901**.

The Loopback/AV Mute circuitry **830** can, however, be placed in various modes under software control via Control port **806** (implemented, for example, as a standard UART). If in loopback mode (e.g., for testing incoming and outgoing signals at the CMW), the video signals would be routed back out V-OUT port **803** via video port **831**. If in a mute mode (e.g., muting audio, video or both), video signals might, for example, be disconnected and no video signal would be sent out video port **834** Loop back and muting switching functionality is also provided for audio in a similar way. Note: that computer control of loopback is very useful for remote testing and diagnostics while manual override of computer control

on mute is effective for assured privacy from use of the workstation for electronic spying.

Video input (e.g., captured by the video camera at the CMW of another videoconference participant) is handled in a similar fashion. It is received along AV Network **901** through Audio/Video I/O port **805** and port **845** of A/V Transceivers **840**, where it is sent out Video Out port **841** to video port **832** of Loopback/AV Mute circuitry **830**, which typically passes such signals out video port **831** to V-OUT port **803** (for receipt by a video input card or other display mechanism, such as LCD display **810** of CMW Side Mount unit **850** in FIG. **18**B, to be discussed).

Audio input and output (e.g., for playback through speaker **700** and capture by microphone **600** of FIG. **18**A) passes through A/V transceivers **840** (via Audio In port **844** and Audio Out port **843**) and Loopback/AV Mute circuitry **830** (through audio ports **837**/**838** and **836**/**835**) in a similar manner. The audio input and output ports of Add-on box **800** interface with standard amplifier and equalization circuitry, as well as an adaptive room echo canceler **814** to eliminate echo, minimize feedback and provide enhanced audio performance when using a separate microphone and speaker. In particular, use of adaptive room echo cancelers provides high-quality audio interactions in wide area conferences. Because adaptive room echo canceling requires training periods (typically involving an objectionable blast of high-amplitude white noise or tone sequences) for alignment with each acoustic environment, it is preferred that separate echo canceling be dedicated to each workstation rather than sharing a smaller group of echo cancelers across a larger group of workstations.

Audio inputs passing through audio port **835** of Loopback/AV Mute circuitry **830** provide audio signals to a speaker (via standard Echo Canceler circuitry **814** and A-OUT port **804** and/or to a handset or headset (via I/O ports **807** and **808**, respectively, under volume control circuitry **815** controlled by software through Control port **806**). In all cases, incoming audio signals pass through power amplifier circuitry **812** before being sent out of Add-on box **80**) to the appropriate audio-emitting transducer.

Outgoing audio signals generated at the CMW (e.g., by microphone **600** of FIG. **18**A or the mouthpiece of a handset or headset) enter Add-on box **800** via A-IN port **802** (for a microphone) or Handset or Headset I/O ports **807** and **808**, respectively. In all cases, outgoing audio signals pass through standard preamplifier (**811**) and equalization (**813**) circuitry, whereupon the desired signal is selected by standard "Select" switching circuitry **816** (under software control through Control port **806**) and passed to audio port **837** of Loopback/AV Mute circuitry, **830**.

It is to be understood that A/V Transceivers **840** may include muxing/demuxing facilities so as to enable the transmission of audio/video signals on a single pair of wires, e.g., by encoding audio signals digitally in the vertical retrace interval of the analog video signal. Implementation of other audio and video enhancements, such as stereo audio and external audio/video I/O ports (e.g., for recording signals generated at the CMW), are also well within the capabilities of one skilled in the art. If stereo audio is used in teleconferencing (i.e., to create useful spatial metaphors for users), a second echo canceler may be recommended.

Another embodiment of the CMW of this invention, illustrated in FIG. **18**B, utilizes a separate (fully self-contained) "Side Mount" approach which includes its own dedicated video display. This embodiment is advantageous in a variety of situations, such as instances in which additional screen display area is desired (e.g., in a laptop computer or desktop system with a small monitor) or where it is impossible or undesirable to retrofit older, existing or specialized desktop computers for audio/video support. In this embodiment, video camera **500**, microphone **600** and speaker **700** of FIG. **18**A are integrated together with the functionality of Add-on box **80**). Side Mount **850** eliminates the necessity of external connections to these integrated audio and video I/O devices, and includes an LCD display **810** for displaying the incoming video signal (which thus eliminates the need for a base platform video input card **130**).

Given the proximity of Side Mount device **850** to the user, and the direct access to audio/video I/O within that device, various additional controls **820** can be provided at the user's touch (all well within the capabilities of those skilled in the art). Note that, with enough additions, Side Mount unit **850** can become virtually a standalone device that does not require a separate computer for services using only audio and video. This also provides a way of supplementing a network of full-feature workstations with a few low-cost additional "audio video intercoms" for certain sectors of an enterprise (such as clerical, reception, factory floor, etc.).

A portable laptop implementation can be made to deliver multimedia mail with video, audio and synchronized annotations via CD-ROM or an add-on videotape unit with separate video, audio and time code tracks (a stereo videotape player can use the second audio channel for time code signals). Videotapes or CD-ROMs can be created in main offices and express mailed, thus avoiding the need for high-bandwidth networking when on the road. Cellular phone links can be used to obtain both voice and data communications (via modems) Modem-based data communications are sufficient to support remote control of mail or presentation playback, annotation, file transfer and fax features. The laptop can then be brought into the office and attached to a docking station where the available MLAN **10** and additional functions adapted from Add-on box **800** can be supplied, providing full CMW capability.

Collaborative Multimedia Workstation Software

CMW software modules **160** are illustrated generally in FIG. **20** and discussed in greater detail below in conjunction with the software running on MLAN Server **60** of FIG. **3** Software **160** allows the user to initiate and manage (in conjunction with the server software) videoconferencing, data conferencing, multimedia mail and other collaborative sessions with other users across the network.

Also present on the CMW in this embodiment are standard multitasking operating system/GUI software **180** (e.g., Apple Macintosh System 7, Microsoft Windows 3.1, or UNIX with the "X Window System" and Motif or other GUI "window manager" software) as well as other applications **170**, such as word processing and spreadsheet programs Software modules **161**-**168** communicate with operating system/GUI software **180** and other applications **170** utilizing standard function calls and interapplication protocols.

The central component of the Collaborative Multimedia Workstation software is the Collaboration Initiator **161**. All collaborative functions can be accessed through this module When the Collaboration Initiator is started, it exchanges initial configuration information with the Audio Video Network Manager (AVNM) **60** (shown in FIG. **3**) through Data Network **902**. Information is also sent from the Collaboration Initiator to the AVNM indicating the location of the user, the types of services available on that workstation (e.g., videoconferencing, data conferencing, telephony, etc.) and other relevant initialization information.

The Collaboration Initiator presents a user interface that allows the user to initiate collaborative sessions (both real-time and asynchronous). In the preferred embodiment, session participants can be selected from a graphical rolodex **163** that contains a scrollable list of user names or from a list of quick-dial buttons **162** Quick-dial buttons show the face icons for the users they represent. In the preferred embodiment, the icon representing the user is retrieved by the Collaboration Initiator from the Directory Server **66** on MLAN Server **60** when it starts up Users can dynamically add new quick-dial buttons by dragging the corresponding entries from the graphical rolodex onto the quick-dial panel.

Once the user elects to initiate a collaborative session, he or she selects one or more desired participants by, for example, clicking on that name to select the desired participant from the system rolodex or a personal rolodex, or by clicking on the quick-dial button for that participant (see, e.g., FIG. **2**A). In either case, the user then selects the desired session type—e.g., by clicking on a CALL button to initiate a videoconference call, a SHARE button to initiate the sharing of a snapshot image or blank whiteboard, or a MAIL button to send mail. Alternatively, the user can double-click on the rolodex name or a face icon to initiate the default session type—e.g., an audio/video conference call.

The system also allows sessions to be invoked from the keyboard. It provides a graphical editor to bind combinations of participants and session types to certain hot keys. Pressing this hot key (possibly in conjunction with a modifier key, e.g., <Shift> or <Ctrl>) will cause the Collaboration Initiator to start a session of the specified type with the given participants.

Once the user selects the desired participant and session type, Collaboration Initiator module **161** retrieves necessary addressing information from Directory Service **66** (see FIG. **21**). In the case of a videoconference call, the Collaboration Initiator then communicates with the AVNM (as described in greater detail below) to set up the necessary data structures and manage the various states of that call, and to control A/V Switching Circuitry **30**, which selects the appropriate audio and video signals to be transmitted to/from each participant's CMW. In the case of a data conferencing session, the Collaboration Initiator locates, via the AVNM, the Collaboration Initiator modules at the CMWs of the chosen recipients, and sends a message causing the Collaboration Initiator modules to invoke the Snapshot Sharing modules **164** at each participant's CMW. Subsequent videoconferencing and data conferencing functionality is discussed in greater detail below in the context of particular usage scenarios.

As indicated previously, additional collaborative services—such as Mail **165**, Application Sharing **166**, Computer-Integrated Telephony **167** and Computer Integrated Fax **168**—are also available from the CMW by utilizing Collaboration Initiator module **161** to initiate the session (i.e., to contact the participants) and to invoke the appropriate application necessary to manage the collaborative session When initiating asynchronous collaboration (e.g., mail, fax, etc.), the Collaboration Initiator contacts Directory Service **66** for address information (e.g., EMAIL address, fax number, etc.) for the selected participants and invokes the appropriate collaboration tools with the obtained address information. For real-time sessions, the Collaboration Initiator queries the Service Server module **69** inside AVNM **63** for the current location of the specified participants. Using this location information, it communicates (via the AVNM) with the Collaboration Initiators of the other session participants to coordinate session setup. As a result the various Collaboration Initiators will invoke modules **166**, **167** or **168** (including activating any necessary devices such as the connection between the telephone and the CMW's audio I/O port). Further details on multimedia mail are provided below.

MLAN Server Software

FIG. **21** diagrammatically illustrates software **62** comprised of various modules (as discussed above) provided for running on MLAN Server **60** (FIG. **3**) in the preferred embodiment. It is to be understood that additional software modules could also be provided. It is also to be understood that, although the software illustrated in FIG. **21** offers various significant advantages, as will become evident hereinafter, different forms and arrangements of software may also be employed within the scope of the invention. The software can also be implemented in various sub-parts running as separate processes.

In the preferred embodiment, clients (e.g., software-controlling workstations, VCRs, laserdisks, multimedia resources, etc.) communicate with the MLAN Server Software Modules **62** using the TCP/IP network protocols. Generally, the AVNM **63** cooperates with the Service Server **69**, Conference Bridge Manager (CBM **64** in FIG. **21**) and the WAN Network Manager (WNM **65** in FIG. **21**) to manage communications within and among both MLANs **10** and WANs **15** (FIGS. **1** and **3**).

The AVNM additionally cooperates with Audio/Video Storage Server **67** and other multimedia services **68** in FIG. **21** to support various types of collaborative interactions as described herein CBM **64** in FIG. **21** operates as a client of the AVNM **63** to manage conferencing by controlling the operation of conference bridges **35**. This includes management of the video mosaicing circuitry **37**, audio mixing circuitry **38** and cut-and-paste circuitry **39** preferably incorporated therein WNM **65** manages the allocation of paths (codecs and trunks) provided by WAN gateway **40** for accomplishing the communications to other sites called for by the AVNM.

Audio Video Network Manager

The AVNM **63** manages A/V Switching Circuitry **30** in FIG. **3** for selectively routing audio/video signals to and from CMWs **12**, and also to and from WAN gateway **40**, as called for by clients Audio/video devices (e.g., CMWs **12**, conference bridges **35**, multimedia resources **16** and WAN gateway **40** in FIG. **3**) connected to A/V Switching Circuitry **30** in FIG. **3**, have physical connections for audio in, audio out, video in and video out. For each device on the network, the AVNM combines these four connections into a port abstraction, wherein each port represents an addressable bidirectional audio/video channel. Each device connected to the network has at least one port Different ports may share the same physical connections on the switch. For example, a conference bridge may typically have four ports (for 2×2 mosaicing) that share the same video-out connection. Not all devices need both video and audio connections at a port. For example, a TV tuner port needs only incoming audio/video connections.

In response to client program requests, the AVNM provides connectivity between audio/video devices by connecting their ports Connecting ports is achieved by switching one port's physical input connections to the other port's physical output connections (for both audio and video) and vice-versa Client programs can specify which of the 4 physical connections on its ports should be switched. This allows client programs to establish unidirectional calls (e.g., by specifying that only the port's input connections should be switched and not

the port's output connections) and audio-only or video-only calls (by specifying audio connections only or video connections only).

Service Server

Before client programs can access audio/video resources through the AVNM, they must register the collaborative services they provide with the Service Server **69**. Examples of these services indicate "video call", "snapshot sharing", "conference" and "video file sharing." These service records are entered into the Service Server's service database. The service database thus keeps track of the location of client programs and the types of collaborative sessions in which they can participate. This allows the Collaboration Initiator to find collaboration participants no matter where they are located. The service database is replicated by all Service Servers: Service Servers communicate with other Service Servers in other MLANs throughout the system to exchange their service records.

Clients may create a plurality of services, depending on the collaborative capabilities desired. When creating a service, a client can specify the network resources (e.g ports) that will be used by this service. In particular, service information is used to associate a user with the audio/video ports physically connected to the particular CMW into which the user is logged in Clients that want to receive requests do so by putting their services in listening mode. If clients want to accept incoming data shares, but want to block incoming video calls, they must create different services.

A client can create an exclusive service on a set of ports to prevent other clients from creating services on these ports. This is useful, for example, to prevent multiple conference bridges from managing the same set of conference bridge ports.,

Next to be considered is the preferred manner in which the AVNM **63** (FIG. **21**), in cooperation with the Service Server **69**, CBM **64** and participating CMWs provide for managing. A/V Switching Circuitry **30** and conference bridges **35** in FIG. **3** during audio/video/data teleconferencing. The participating CMWs may include workstations located at both local and remote sites.

Basic Two-Party Videoconferencing

As previously described, a CMW includes a Collaboration Initiator software module **161** (see FIG. **20**) which is used to establish person-to-person and multiparty calls. The corresponding collaboration initiator window advantageously provides quick-dial face icons of frequently dialed persons, as illustrated, for example, in FIG. **22**, which is an enlarged view of typical face icons along with various initiating buttons (described in greater detail below in connection with FIGS. **35**-**42**).

Videoconference calls can be initiated, for example, merely by double-clicking on these icons. When a call is initiated, the CMW typically provides a screen display that includes a live video picture of the remote conference participant, as illustrated for example in FIG. **8**A. In the preferred embodiment, this display also includes control buttons/menu items that can be used to place the remote participant on hold, to resume a call on hold, to add one or more participants to the call, to initiate data sharing and to hang up the call.

The basic underlying software-controlled operations occurring for a two-party call are diagrammatically illustrated in FIG. **23** When a caller initiates a call (e.g., by selecting a user from the graphical rolodex and clicking the call button or by double-clicking the face icon of the callee on the quick-dial panel), the caller's Collaboration Initiator responds by identifying the selected user and requesting that user's address from Directory Service **66**, as indicated by (**2**) in FIG. **23** Directory Service **66** looks up the callee's address in the directory database, as indicated by (**3**) in FIG. **23**, and then returns it to the caller's Collaboration Initiator, as illustrated by (**4**) in FIG. **23**.

The caller's Collaboration Initiator sends a request to the AVNM to place a video call to caller with the specified address, as indicated by (**5**) in FIG. **23**. The AVNM queries the Service Server to find the service instance of type "video call" whose name corresponds to the callee's address. This service record identifies the location of the callee's Collaboration Initiator as well as the network ports that the callee is connected to. If no service instance is found for the callee, the AVNM notifies the caller that the callee is not logged in. If the callee is local, the AVNM sends a call event to the callee's Collaboration Initiator, as indicated by (**6**) in FIG. **23**. If the callee is at a remote site, the AVNM forwards the call request (**5**) through the WAN gateway **40** for transmission, via WAN **15** (FIG. **1**) to the Collaboration Initiator of the callee's CMW at the remote site.

The callee's Collaboration Initiator can respond to the call event in a variety of ways. In the preferred embodiment, a user-selectable sound is generated to announce the incoming call. The Collaboration Initiator can then act in one of two modes. In "Telephone Mode," the Collaboration Initiator displays an invitation message on the CMW screen that contains the name of the caller and buttons to accept or refuse the call. The Collaboration Initiator will then accept or refuse the call, depending on which button is pressed by the callee In "Intercom Mode," the Collaboration Initiator accepts all incoming calls automatically, unless there is already another call active on the callee's CMW, in which case behavior reverts to Telephone Mode.

The callee's Collaboration Initiator then notifies the AVNM as to whether the call will be accepted or refused. If the call is accepted, (**7**), the AVNM sets up the necessary communication paths between the caller and the callee required to establish the call. The AVNM then notifies the caller's Collaboration Initiator that the call has been established by sending it an accept event (**8**). If the caller and callee are at different sites, their AVNMs will coordinate in setting up the communication paths at both sites, as required by the call.

The AVNM may provide for managing connections among CMWs and other multimedia resources for audio/video/data communications in various ways. The manner employed in the preferred embodiment will next be described.

As has been described previously, the AVNM manages the switches in the A/V Switching Circuitry **30** in FIG. **3** to provide port-to-port connections in response to connection requests from clients. The primary data structure used by the AVNM for managing these connections will be referred to as a callhandle, which is comprised of a plurality of bits, including state bits.

Each port-to-port connection managed by the AVNM comprises two callhandles, one associated with each end of the connection. The callhandle at the client port of the connection permits the client to manage the client's end of the connection. The callhandle mode bits determine the current state of the callhandle and which of a port's four switch connections (video in, video out, audio in, audio out) are involved in a call.

AVNM clients send call requests to the AVNM whenever they want to initiate a call. As part of a call request, the client specifies the local service in which the call will be involved,

the name of the specific port to use for the call, identifying information as to the callee, and the call mode. In response, the AVNM creates a callhandle on the caller's port.

All callhandles are created in the "idle" state. The AVNM then puts the caller's callhandle in the "active" state. The AVNM next creates a callhandle for the callee and sends it a call event, which places the callee's callhandle in the "ringing" state. When the callee accepts the call, its callhandle is placed in the "active" state, which results in a physical connection between the caller and the callee. Each port can have an arbitrary number of callhandles bound to it, but typically only one of these callhandles can be active at the same time.

After a call has been set up, AVNM clients can send requests to the AVNM to change the state of the call, which can advantageously be accomplished by controlling the callhandle states. For example, during a call, a call request from another party could arrive. This arrival could be signaled to the user by providing an alert indication in a dialogue box on the user's CMW screen. The user could refuse the call by clicking on a refuse button in the dialogue box, or by clicking on a "hold" button on the active call window to put the current call on hold and allow the incoming call to be accepted.

The placing of the currently active call on hold can advantageously be accomplished by changing the caller's callhandle from the active state to a "hold" state, which permits the caller to answer incoming calls or initiate new calls, without releasing the previous call. Since the connection setup to the callee will be retained, a call on hold can conveniently be resumed by the caller clicking on a resume button on the active call window, which returns the corresponding callhandle back to the active state. Typically, multiple calls can be put on hold in this manner. As an aid in managing calls that are on hold, the CMW advantageously provides a hold list display, identifying these on-hold calls and (optionally) the length of time that each party is on hold. A corresponding face icon could be used to identify each on-hold call. In addition, buttons could be provided in this hold display which would allow the user to send a preprogrammed message to a party on hold. For example, this message could advise the callee when the call will be resumed, or could state that the call is being terminated and will be reinitiated at a later time.

Reference is now directed to FIG. **24** which diagrammatically illustrates how two-party calls are connected for CMWs WS-**1** and WS-**2**, located at the same MLAN **10**. As shown in FIG. **24**, CMWs WS-**1** and WS-**2** are coupled to the local A/V Switching Circuitry **30** via ports **81** and **82**, respectively. As previously described, when CMW WS-**1** calls CMW WS-**2**, a callhandle is created for each port. If CMW WS-**2** accepts the call, these two callhandles become active and in response thereto, the AVNM causes the A/V Switching Circuitry **30** to set up the appropriate connections between ports **81** and **82**, as indicated by the dashed line **83**.

FIG. **25** diagrammatically illustrates how two-party calls are connected for CMWs WS-**1** and WS-**2** when located in different MLANs **10***a* and **10***b*. As illustrated in FIG. **25**, CMW WS-**1** of MLAN **10***a* is connected to a port **91***a* of A/V Switching Circuitry **30***a* of MLAN **10***a*, while CMW WS-**2** is connected to a port **91***b* of the A/V Switching Circuitry **30***b* of MLAN **10***b*. It will be assumed that MLANs **10***a* and **10***b* can communicate with each other via ports **92***a* and **92***b* (through respective WAN gateways **40***a* and **40***b* and WAN **15**). A call between CMWs WS-**1** and WS-**2** can then be established by AVNM of MLAN **10***a* in response to the creation of callhandles at ports **91***a* and **92***a*, setting up appropriate connections between these ports as indicated by dashed line **93***a*, and by AVNM of MLAN **10***b*, in response to callhandles created at ports **91***b* and **92***b*, setting up appropriate connections between these ports as indicated by dashed line **93***b*. Appropriate paths **94***a* and **94***b* in WAN gateways **40***a* and **40***b*, respectively, are set up by the WAN network manager **65** (FIG. **21**) in each network.

Conference Calls

Next to be described is the specific manner in which the preferred embodiment provides for multi-party conference calls (involving more than two participants) When a multiparty conference call is initiated, the CMW provides a screen that is similar to the screen for two-party calls, which displays a live video picture of the callee's image in a video window. However, for multi-party calls, the screen includes a video mosaic containing a live video picture of each of the conference participants (including the CMW user's own picture), as shown, for example, in FIG. **8**B. Of course, other embodiments could show only the remote conference participants (and not the local CMW user) in the conference mosaic (or show a mosaic containing both participants in a two-party call). In addition to the controls shown in FIG. **8**B, the multiparty conference screen also includes buttons/menu items that can be used to place individual conference participants on hold, to remove individual participants from the conference, to adjourn the entire conference, or to provide a "close-up" image of a single individual (in place of the video mosaic).

Multi-party conferencing requires all the mechanisms employed for 2-party calls. In addition, it requires the conference bridge manager CBM **64** (FIG. **21**) and the conference bridges **36** (FIG. **3**). The CBM acts as a client of the AVNM in managing the operation of the conference bridges **36**. The CBM also acts a server to other clients on the network. The CBM makes conferencing services available by creating service records of type "conference" in the AVNM service database and associating these services with the ports on A/V Switching Circuitry **30** for connection to conference bridges **36**.

The preferred embodiment provides two ways for initiating a conference call. The first way is to add one or more parties to an existing two-party call. For this purpose, an ADD button is provided by both the Collaboration Initiator and the Rolodex, as illustrated in FIGS. **2**A and **22**. To add a new party, a user selects the party to be added (by clicking on the user's rolodex name or face icon as described above) and clicks on the ADD button to invite that new party. Additional parties can be invited in a similar manner. The second way to initiate a conference call is to select the parties in a similar manner and then click on the CALL button (also provided in the Collaboration Initiator and Rolodex windows on the user's CMW screen).

Another alternative embodiment is to initiate a conference call from the beginning by clicking on a CONFERENCE/MOSAIC icon/button/menu item on the CMW screen. This could initiate a conference call with the call initiator as the sole participant (i.e., causing a conference bridge to be allocated such that the caller's image also appears on his/her own screen in a video mosaic, which will also include images of subsequently added participants). New participants could be invited, for example, by selecting each new party's face icon and then clicking on the ADD button.

Next to be considered with reference to FIGS. **26** and **27** is the manner in which conference calls are handled in the preferred embodiment. For the purposes of this description it will be assumed that up to four parties may participate in a conference call. Each conference uses four bridge ports **136-1**, **136-2**, **136-3** and **136-4** provided on A/V Switching Circuitry **30***a*, which are respectively coupled to bidirectional

audio/video lines **36-1**, **36-2**, **36-3** and **36-4** connected to conference bridge **36**. However, from this description it will be apparent how a conference call may be provided for additional parties, as well as simultaneously occurring conference calls.

Once the Collaboration Initiator determines that a conference is to be initiated, it queries the AVNM for a conference service. If such a service is available, the Collaboration Initiator requests the associated CBM to allocate a conference bridge. The Collaboration Initiator then places an audio/video call to the CBM to initiate the conference When the CBM accepts the call, the AVNM couples port **101** of CMW WS-**1** to lines **36-1** of conference bridge **36** by a connection **137** produced in response to callhandles created for port **101** of WS-**1** and bridge port **136-1**.

When the user of WS-**1** selects the appropriate face icon and clicks the ADD button to invite a new participant to the conference, which will be assumed to be CMW WS-**3**, the Collaboration initiator on WS-**1** sends an add request to the CBM. In response, the CBM calls WS-**3** via WS-**3** port **103** When CBM initiates the call, the AVNM creates callhandles for WS-**3** port **103** and bridge port **136-2** When WS-**3** accepts the call, its callhandle is made "active," resulting in connection **138** being provided to connect WS-**3** and lines **136-2** of conference bridge **36**. Assuming CMW WS-**1** next adds CMW WS-**5** and then CMW WS-**8**, callhandles for their respective ports and bridge ports **136-3** and **136-4** are created, in turn, as described above for WS-**1** and WS-**3**, resulting in connections **139** and **140** being provided to connect WS-**5** and WS-**9** to conference bridge lines **36-3** and **36-4**, respectively. The

conferees WS-**1**, WS-**3**, WS-**5** and WS-**8** are thus coupled to conference bridge lines **136-1**,**136-2**, **136-3** and **136-4**, respectively as shown in FIG. **26**.

It will be understood that the video mosaicing circuitry **36** and audio mixing circuitry **38** incorporated in conference bridge **36** operate as previously described, to form a resulting four-picture mosaic (FIG. **8**B) that is sent to all of the conference participants, which in this example are CMWs WS-**1**, WS-**2**, WS-**5** and WS-**8** Users may leave a conference by just hanging up, which causes the AVNM to delete the associated callhandles and to send a hangup notification to CBM When CBM receives the notification, it notifies all other conference participants that the participant has exited. In the preferred embodiment, this results in a blackened portion of that participant's video mosaic image being displayed on the screen of all remaining participants.

The manner in which the CBM and the conference bridge **36** operate when conference participants are located at different sites will be evident from the previously described operation of the cut-and-paste circuitry **39** (FIG. **10**) with the video mosaicing circuitry **36** (FIG. **7**) and audio mixing circuitry **38** (FIG. **9**). In such case, each incoming single video picture or mosaic from another site is connected to a respective one of the conference bridge lines **36-1** to **36-4** via WAN gateway **40**.

The situation in which a two-party call is converted to a conference call will next be considered in connection with FIG. **27** and the previously considered 2-party call illustrated in FIG. **24** Converting this 2-party call to a conference requires that this two-party call (such as illustrated between WS-**1** and WS-**2** in FIG. **24**) be rerouted dynamically so as to be coupled through conference bridge **36** When the user of WS-**1** clicks on the ADD button to add a new party (for example WS-**5**), the Collaboration Initiator of WS-**1** sends a redirect request to the AVNM, which cooperates with the CBM to break the two-party connection **83** in FIG. **24**, and then redirect the callhandles created for ports **81** and **83** to callhandles created for bridge ports **136-1** and **136-2**, respectively.

As shown in FIG. **27**, this results in producing a connection **86** between WS-**1** and bridge port **136-1**, and a connection **87** between WS-**2** and bridge port **136-2**, thereby creating a conference set-up between WS-**1** and WS-**2**. Additional conference participants can then be added as described above for the situations described above in which the conference is initiated by the user of WS-**1** either selecting multiple participants initially or merely selecting a "conference" and then adding subsequent participants.

Having described the preferred manner in which two-party calls and conference calls are set up in the preferred embodiment, the preferred manner in which data conferencing is provided between CMWs will next be described.

Data Conferencing

Data conferencing is implemented in the preferred embodiment by certain Snapshot Sharing software provided at the CMW (see FIG. **20**). This software permits a "snapshot" of a selected portion of a participant's CMW screen (such as a window) to be displayed on the CMW screens of other selected participants (whether or not those participants are also involved in a videoconference). Any number of snapshots may be shared simultaneously. Once displayed, any participant can then telepoint on or annotate the snapshot, which animated actions and results will appear (virtually simultaneously) on the screens of all other participants. The annotation capabilities provided include lines of several different widths and text of several different sizes. Also, to facilitate participant identification, these annotations may be provided in a different color for each participant. Any annotation may also be erased by any participant FIG. **2**B (lower left window) illustrates a CMW screen having a shared graph on which participants have drawn and typed to call attention to or supplement specific portions of the shared image.

A participant may initiate data conferencing with selected participants (selected and added as described above for videoconference calls) by clicking on a SHARE button on the screen (available in the Rolodex or Collaboration Initiator windows, shown in FIG. **2**A, as are CALL and ADD buttons), followed by selection of the window to be shared When a participant clicks on his SHARE button, his Collaboration Initiator module **161** (FIG. **20**) queries the AVNM to locate the Collaboration Initiators of the selected participants, resulting in invocation of their respective Snapshot Sharing modules **164**. The Snapshot Sharing software modules at the CMWs of each of the selected participants query their local operating system **180** to determine available graphic formats, and then send this information to the initiating Snapshot Sharing module, which determines the format that will produce the most advantageous display quality and performance for each selected participant

After the snapshot to be shared is displayed on all CMWs, each participant may telepoint on or annotate the snapshot, which actions and results are displayed on the CMW screens of all participants. This is preferably accomplished by monitoring the actions made at the CMW (e.g., by tracking mouse movements) and sending these "operating system commands" to the CMWs of the other participants, rather than continuously exchanging bitmaps, as would be the case with traditional "remote control" products.

As illustrated in FIG. **28**, the original unchanged snapshot is stored in a first bitmap **210***a*. A second bitmap **210***b* stores the combination of the original snapshot and any annotations.

Thus, when desired (e.g., by clicking on a CLEAR button located in each participant's Share window, as illustrated in FIG. **2**B), the original unchanged snapshot can be restored (i.e., erasing all annotations) using bitmap **210***a* Selective erasures can be accomplished by copying into (i.e., restoring) the desired erased area of bitmap **210***b* with the corresponding portion from bitmap **210***a*.

Rather than causing a new Share window to be created whenever a snapshot is shared, it is possible to replace the contents of an existing Share window with a new image. This can be achieved in either of two ways. First, the user can click on the GRAB button and then select a new window whose contents should replace the contents of the existing Share window. Second, the user can click on the REGRAB button to cause a (presumably modified) version of the original source window to replace the contents of the existing Share window. This is particularly useful when one participant desires to share a long document that cannot be displayed on the screen in its entirety. or example, the user might display the first page of a spreadsheet on his screen, use the SHARE button to share that page, discuss and perhaps annotate it, then return to the spreadsheet application to position to the next page, use the REGRAB button to share the new page, and so on. This mechanism represents a simple, effective step toward application sharing.

Further, instead of sharing a snapshot of data on his current screen, a user may instead choose to share a snapshot that had previously been saved as a file This is achieved via the LOAD button, which causes a dialogue box to appear, prompting the user to select a file Conversely, via the SAVE button, any snapshot may be saved, with all current annotations.

The capabilities described above were carefully selected to be particularly effective in environments where the principal goal is to share existing information, rather than to create new information. In particular, user interfaces are designed to make snapshot capture, telepointing and annotation extremely easy to use. Nevertheless, it is also to be understood that, instead of sharing snapshots, a blank "whiteboard" can also be shared (via the WHITEBOARD button provided by the Rolodex, Collaboration Initiator, and active call windows), and that more complex paintbox capabilities could easily be added for application areas that require such capabilities.

As pointed out previously herein, important features of the present invention reside in the manner in which the capabilities and advantages of multimedia mail (MMM), multimedia conference recording (MMCR), and multimedia document management (MMDM) are tightly integrated with audio/video/data teleconferencing to provide a multimedia collaboration system that facilitates an unusually higher level of communication and collaboration between geographically dispersed users than has heretofore been achievable by known prior an systems FIG. **29** is a schematic and diagrammatic view illustrating how multimedia calls/conferences, MMCR, MMM and MMDM work together to provide the above-described features. In the preferred embodiment MM Editing Utilities shown supplementing MMM and MMDM may be identical.

Having already described various preferred embodiments and examples of audio/video/data teleconferencing, next to be considered are various preferred ways of integrating MMCR, MMM and MMDM with audio/video/data teleconferencing in accordance with the invention, or this purpose, basic preferred approaches and features of each will be considered along with preferred associated hardware and software.

Multimedia Documents

In the preferred embodiment, the creation, storage, retrieval and editing of multimedia documents serve as the basic element common to MMCR, MMM and MMDM. Accordingly, the preferred embodiment advantageously provides a universal format for multimedia documents. This format defines multimedia documents as a collection of individual components in multiple media combined with an overall structure and timing component that captures the identities, detailed dependencies, references to, and relationships among the various other components. The information provided by this structuring component forms the basis for spatial layout, order of presentation, hyperlinks, temporal synchronization, etc.,

with respect to the composition of a multimedia document FIG. **30** shows the structure of such documents as well as their relationship with editing and storage facilities.

Each of the components of a multimedia document uses its own editors for creating, editing, and viewing. In addition, each component may use dedicated storage facilities. In the preferred embodiment, multimedia documents are advantageously structured for authoring, storage, playback and editing by storing some data under conventional file systems and some data in special-purpose storage servers as will be discussed later. The Conventional File System **504** can be used to store all non-time-sensitive portions of a multimedia document. In particular, the following are examples of non-time-sensitive data that can be stored in a conventional type of computer file system:

1. structured and unstructured text
2. raster images
3. structured graphics and vector graphics (e.g., PostScript)
4. references to files in other file systems (video, hi-fidelity audio, etc.) via pointers
5. restricted forms of executables
6. structure and timing information for all of the above (spatial layout, order of presentation, hyperlinks, temporal synchronization, etc.)

Of particular importance in multimedia documents is support for time-sensitive media and media that have synchronization requirements with other media components. Some of these time-sensitive media can be stored on conventional file systems while others may require special-purpose storage facilities.

Examples of time-sensitive media that can be stored on conventional file systems are small audio files and short or low-quality video clips (e.g as might be produced using QuickTime or Video for Windows). Other examples include window event lists as supported by the Window-Event Record and Play system **512** shown in FIG. **30**. This component allows for storing and replaying a user's interactions with application programs by capturing the requests and events exchanged between the client program and the window system in a time-stamped sequence. After this "record" phase, the resulting information is stored in a conventional file that can later be retrieved and "played" back. During playback the same sequence of window system requests and events reoccurs with the same relative timing as when they were recorded. In prior-art systems, this capability has been used for creating automated demonstrations. In the present invention it can be used, for example, to reproduce annotated snapshots as they occurred at recording

As described above in connection with collaborative workstation software, Snapshot Share **514** shown in FIG. **30** is a utility used in multimedia calls and conferencing for capturing window or screen snapshots, sharing with one or more call

or conference participants, and permitting group annotation, telepointing, and re-grabs. Here, this utility is adapted so that its captured images and window events can be recorded by the Window-Event Record and Play system **512** while being used by only one person. By synchronizing events associated with a video or audio stream to specific frame numbers or time codes, a multimedia call or conference can be recorded and reproduced in its entirety. Similarly, the same functionality is preferably used to create multimedia mail whose authoring steps are virtually identical to participating in a multimedia call or conference (though other forms of MMM are not precluded).

Some time-sensitive media require dedicated storage servers in order to satisfy real-time requirements. High-quality audio/video segments, for example, require dedicated real-time audio/video storage servers. A preferred embodiment of such a server will be described later. Next to be considered is how the current invention guarantees synchronization between different media components.

Media Synchronization

A preferred manner for providing multimedia synchronization in the preferred embodiment will next be considered. Only multimedia documents with real-time material need include synchronization functions and information Synchronization for such situations may be provided as described below.

Audio or video segments can exist without being accompanied by the other. If audio and video are recorded simultaneously ("co-recorded"), the preferred embodiment allows the case where their streams are recorded and played back with automatic synchronization—as would result from conventional VCRs, laserdisks, or time-division multiplexed ("interleaved") audio/video streams. This excludes the need to tightly synchronize (i.e., "lip-sync") separate audio and video sequences. Rather, reliance is on the co-recording capability of the Real-Time Audio/Video Storage Server **502** to deliver all closely synchronized audio and video directly at its signal outputs.

Each recorded video sequence is tagged with time codes (e.g. SMPTE at 1/30 second intervals) or video frame numbers. Each recorded audio sequence is tagged with time codes (e.g., SMPTE or MIDI) or, if co-recorded with video, video frame numbers. The preferred embodiment also provides synchronization between window events and audio and/or video streams. The following functions are supported:

1. Media-time-driven Synchronization: synchronization of window events to an audio, video, or audio/video stream, using the real-time media as the timing source.

2. Machine-time-driven-Synchronization: asynchronization of window events to the system clock

b synchronization of the start of an audio, video, or audio/video

segment to the system clock

If no audio or video is involved, machine-time-driven synchronization is used throughout the document Whenever audio and/or video is playing, media-time-synchronization is used. The system supports transition between machine-time and media-time synchronization whenever an audio/video segment is started or stopped.

As an example, viewing a multimedia document might proceed as follows:

Document starts with an annotated share (machine-time-driven synchronization).

Next, start audio only (a "voice annotation") as text and graphical annotations on the share continue (audio is timing source for window events).

Audio ends, but annotations continue (machine-time-driven synchronization).

Next, start co-recorded audio/video continuing with further annotations on same share (audio is timing source for window events).

Next, start a new share during the continuing audio/video recording; annotations happen on both shares (audio is timing source for window events).

Audio/video stops, annotations on both shares continue (machine-time-driven synchronization).

Document ends.

Audio/Video Storage

As described above, the present invention can include many special-purpose servers that provide storage of time-sensitive media (e.g. audio/video streams) and support coordination with other media. This section describes the preferred embodiment for audio/video storage and recording services.

Although storage and recording services could be provided at each CMW, it is preferable to employ a centralized server **502** coupled to MLAN **10**, as illustrated in FIG. **31**. A centralized server **502**, as shown in FIG. **31**, provides the following advantages:

1. The total amount of storage hardware required can be far less (due to better utilization resulting from statistical averaging).

2. Bulky and expensive compression/decompression hardware can be pooled on the storage servers and shared by multiple clients. As a result, fewer compression/decompression engines of higher performance are required than if each workstation were equipped with its own compression/decompression hardware.

3. Also, more costly centralized codecs can be used to transfer mail wide area among campuses at far lower costs than attempting to use data WAN technologies.

4. File system administration (e.g. backups and file system replication, etc.) are far less costly and higher performance.

The Real-Time Audio/Video Storage Server **502** shown in FIG. **31**A structures and manages the audio/video files recorded and stored on its storage devices Storage devices may typically include computer-controlled VCRs, as well as rewritable magnetic or optical disks. For example, server **502** in FIG. **31**A includes disks **60***e* for recording and playback. Analog information is transferred between disks **60***e* and the. A/V Switching Circuitry **30** via analog I/O **62** Control is provided by control **64** coupled to Data LAN hub **25**.

At a high level, the centralized audio/video storage and playback server **502** in FIG. **31**A performs the following functions:

File Management

It provides mechanisms for creating, naming, time-stamping, storing, retrieving copying, deleting, and playing back some or all portions of an audio/video file.

File Transfer and Replication

The audio/video file server supports replication of files on different disks managed by the same file server to facilitate simultaneous access to the same files. Moreover, file transfer facilities are provided to support transmission of audio/video files between itself and other audio/video storage and playback engines. File transfer can also be achieved by using the underlying audio/video network facilities: servers establish a real-time audio/video network connection between them-

selves so one server can "play back" a file while the second server simultaneously records it.

Disk Management

The storage facilities support specific disk allocation, garbage collection and defragmentation facilities. They also support mapping disks with other disks (for replication and staging modes, as appropriate) and mapping disks, via I/O equipment, with the appropriate Video/Audio network port.

Synchronization Support

Synchronization between audio and video is ensured by the multiplexing scheme used by the storage media, typically by interleaving the audio and video streams in a time-division-multiplexed fashion. Further, if synchronization is required with other stored media (such as window system graphics), then frame numbers, time codes, or other timing events are generated by the storage server. An advantageous way of providing this synchronization in the preferred embodiment is to synchronize record and playback to received frame number or time code events.

Searching

To support intra-file searching, at least start, stop, pause, fast forward, reverse, and fast reverse operations are provided. To support inter-file searching, audio/video tagging, or more generalized "go-to" operations and mechanisms, such as frame numbers or time code, are supported at a search-function level.

Connection Management

The server handles requests for audio/video network connections from client programs (such as video viewers and editors running on client workstations) for real-time recording and real-time playback of audio/video files.

Next to be considered is how centralized audio/video storage servers provide for real-time recording and playback of video streams.

Real-Time Disk Delivery

To support real-time audio/video recording and playback, the storage server needs to provide a real-time transmission path between the storage medium and the appropriate audio/video network port for each simultaneous client accessing the server. For example, if one user is viewing a video file at the same time several other people are creating and storing new video files on the same disk, multiple simultaneous paths to the storage media are required. Similarly, video mail sent to large distribution groups, video databases, and similar functions may also require simultaneous access to the same video files, again imposing multiple access requirements on the video storage capabilities.

For storage servers that are based on computer-controlled VCRs or rewritable laserdisks, a real-time transmission path is readily available through the direct analog connection between the disk or tape and the network port. However, because of this single direct connection, each VCR or laserdisk can only be accessed by one client program at the same time (multi-head laserdisks are an exception). Therefore, storage servers based on VCRs and laserdisks are difficult to scale for multiple access usage. In the preferred embodiment, multiple access to the same material is provided by fie replication and staging, which greatly increases storage requirements and the need for moving information quickly among storage media units serving different users.

Video systems based on magnetic disks are more readily scalable for simultaneous use by multiple people. A generalized hardware implementation of such a scalable storage and playback system-**502** is illustrated in FIG. **32**. Individual I/O cards **530** supporting digital and analog I/O are linked by intra-chassis digital networking (e.g. buses) for fie transfer within chassis **532** holding some number of these cards Multiple chassis **532** are linked by inter-chassis networking. The Digital Video Storage System available from Parallax Graphics is an example of such a system implementation.

The bandwidth available for the transfer of files among disks is ultimately limited by the bandwidth of these intra-chassis and inter-chassis networking. or systems that use sufficiently powerful video compression schemes, real-time delivery requirements for a small number of users can be met by existing file system software (such as the Unix file system), provided that the block-size of the storage system is optimized for video storage and that sufficient buffering is provided by the operating system software to guarantee continuous flow of the audio/video data.

Special-purpose software/hardware solutions can be provided to guarantee higher performance under heavier usage or higher bandwidth conditions, for example, a higher throughput version of FIG. **32** is illustrated in FIG. **33**, which uses crosspoint switching, such as provided by SCSI Crossbar **540**, which increases the total bandwidth of the inter-chassis and intra-chassis network, thereby increasing the number of possible simultaneous file transfers.

Real-Time Network Delivery

By using the same audio/video format as used for audio/video teleconferencing, the audio/video storage system can leverage the previously described network facilities: the MLANs **10** can be used to establish a multimedia network connection between client workstations and the audio/video storage servers Audio/Video editors and viewers running on the client workstation use the same software interfaces as the multimedia teleconferencing system to establish these network connections.

The resulting architecture is shown in FIG. **31**B Client workstations use the existing audio/video network to connect to the storage server's network ports. These network ports are connected to compression/decompression engines that plug into the server bus. These engines compress the audio/video streams that come in over the network and store them on the local disk. Similarly, for playback, the server reads stored video segments from its local disk and routes them through the decompression engines back to client workstations for local display.

The present invention allows for alternative delivery strategies. or example, some compression algorithms are asymmetric, meaning that decompression requires much less compute power than compression. In some cases, real-time decompression can even be done in software, without requiring any special-purpose decompression hardware. As a result, there is no need to decompress stored audio and video on the storage server and play it back in realtime over the network. Instead, it can be more efficient to transfer an entire audio/video file from the storage server to the client workstation, cache it on the workstation's disk, and play it back locally. These observations lead to a modified architecture as presented in FIG. **31**C. In this architecture, clients interact with the storage server as follows:

To record video, clients set up real-time audio/video network connections to the storage server as before (this connection could make use of an analog line).

In response to a connection request, the storage server allocates a compression module to the new client.

As soon as the client starts recording, the storage server routes the output from the compression hardware to an audio/video file allocated on its local storage devices.

For playback, this audio/video file gets transferred over the data network to the client workstation and pre-staged on the workstation's local disk. The client uses local decompression software and/or hardware to play back the audio/video on its local audio and video hardware.

This approach frees up audio/video network ports and compression/decompression engines on the server. As a result, the server is scaled to support a higher number of simultaneous recording sessions, thereby further reducing the cost of the system. Note that such an architecture can be part of a preferred embodiment for reasons other than compression/decompression asymmetry (such as the economics of the technology of the day, existing embedded base in the enterprise, etc.).

Multimedia Conference Recording

Multimedia conference recording (MMCR) will next be considered. For full-feature multimedia desktop calls and conferencing (e.g. audio/video calls or conferences with snapshot share), recording (storage) capabilities are preferably provided for audio and video of all parties, and also for all shared windows, including any telepointing and annotations provided during the teleconference. Using the multimedia synchronization facilities described above, these capabilities are provided in a way such that they can be replayed with accurate correspondence in time to the recorded audio and video, such as by synchronizing to frame numbers or time code events.

A preferred way of capturing audio and video from calls would be to record all calls and conferences as if they were multi-party conferences (even for two-party calls), using video mosaicing, audio mixing and cut-and-pasting, as previously described in connection with FIGS. **7-11**. It will be appreciated that MMCR as described will advantageously permit users at their desktop to review real-time collaboration as it previously occurred, including during a later teleconference. The output of a MMCR session is a multimedia document that can be stored, viewed, and edited using the multimedia document facilities described earlier.

FIG. **31**D shows how conference recording relates to the various system components described earlier. The Multimedia Conference Record/Play system **522** provides the user with the additional GUIs (graphical user interfaces) and other functions required to provide the previously described MMCR functionality.

The Conference Invoker **518** shown in FIG. **31**D is a utility that coordinates the audio/video calls that must be made to connect the audio/video storage server **502** with special recording outputs on conference bridge hardware (**35** in FIG. **3**). The resulting recording is linked to information identifying the conference, a function also performed by this utility.

Multimedia Mail

Now considering multimedia mail (MMM), it will be understood that MMM adds to the above-described MMCR the capability of delivering delayed collaboration, as well as the additional ability to review the information multiple times and, as described hereinafter, to edit, re-send, and archive it. The captured information is preferably a superset of that captured during MMCR, except that no other user is involved and the user is given a chance to review and edit before sending the message.

The Multimedia Mail system **524** in FIG. **31**D provides the user with the additional GUIs and other functions required to provide the previously described MMM functionality Multimedia Mail relies on a conventional Email system **506** shown in FIG. **31**D for creating, transporting, and browsing messages. However, multimedia document editors and viewers are used for creating and viewing message bodies. Multimedia documents (as described above) consist of time-insensitive components and time sensitive components. The Conventional Email system **506** relies on the Conventional File system **504** and Real-Time Audio/Video Storage Server **502** for storage support. The time insensitive components are transported within the Conventional Email system **506**, while the real-time components may be separately transported through the audio/video network using file transfer utilities associated with the Real-Time Audio/Video Storage Server **502**.

Multimedia Document Management

Multimedia document management (MMDM) provides long-term, high-volume storage for MMCR and MMM. The MMDM system assists in providing the following capabilities to a CMW user:

1. Multimedia documents can be authored as mail in the MMM system or as call/conference recordings in the MMCR system and then passed on to the MMDM system.
2. To the degree supported by external compatible multimedia editing and authoring systems, multimedia documents can also be authored by means other than MMM and MMCR.
3. Multimedia documents stored within the MMDM system can be reviewed and searched.
4. Multimedia documents stored within the MMDM system can be used as material in the creation of subsequent MMM.
5. Multimedia documents stored within the MMDM system can be edited to create other multimedia documents.

The Multimedia Document Management system **526** in FIG. **31**D provides the user with the additional GUIs and other functions required to provide the previously described MMDM functionality. The MMDM includes sophisticated searching and editing capabilities in connection with the MMDM multimedia document such that a user can rapidly access desired selected portions of a stored multimedia document. The Specialized Search system **520** in FIG. **31**D comprises utilities that allow users to do more sophisticated searches across and within multimedia documents. This includes context-based and content-based searches (employing operations such as speech and image recognition, information filters, etc.), time-based searches, and event-based searches (window events, call management events, speech/audio events, etc.).

Classes of Collaboration

The resulting multimedia collaboration environment achieved by the above-described integration of audio/video/data teleconferencing, MMCR, MMM and MMDM is illustrated in FIG. **34**. It will be evident that each user can collaborate with other users in real-time despite separations in space and time. In addition, collaborating users can access information already available within their computing and information systems, including information captured from previous collaborations. Note in FIG. **34** that space and time separations are supported in the following ways:

1. Same time, different place
Multimedia calls and conferences
2. Different time, same place
MMDM access to stored MMCR and MMM information, or use of MMM directly (i.e., copying mail to oneself)
3. Different time, different place
MMM
4. Same time, same place
Collaborative, face-to-face, multimedia document creation

By use of the same user interfaces and network functions, the present invention smoothly spans these three venus.

Remote Access to Expertise

In order to illustrate how the present invention may be implemented and operated, an exemplary preferred embodiment will be described having features applicable to the aforementioned scenario involving remote access to expertise. It is to be understood that this exemplary embodiment is merely illustrative, and is not to be considered as limiting the scope of the invention, since the invention may be adapted for other applications (such as in engineering and manufacturing) or uses having more or less hardware, software and operating features and combined in various ways.

Consider the following scenario involving access from remote sites to an in-house corporate "expert" in the trading of financial instruments such as in the securities market:

The focus of the scenario revolves around the activities of a trader who is a specialist in securities. The setting is the start of his day at his desk in a major financial center (NYC) at a major U.S. investment bank.

The Expert has been actively watching a particular security over the past week and upon his arrival into the office, he notices it is on the rise. Before going home last night, he previously set up his system to filter overnight news on a particular family of securities and a security within that family. He scans the filtered news and sees a story that may have a long-term impact on this security in question. He believes he needs to act now in order to get a good price on the security. Also, through filtered mail, he sees that his counterpart in London, who has also been watching this security, is interested in getting our Expert's opinion once he arrives at work.

The Expert issues a multimedia mail message on the security to the head of sales worldwide for use in working with their client base. Also among the recipients is an analyst in the research department and his counterpart in London. The Expert, in preparation for his previously established "on-call" office hours, consults with others within the corporation (using the videoconferencing and other collaborative techniques described above), accesses company records from his CMW, and analyzes such information, employing software-assisted analytic techniques. His office hours are now at hand, so he enters "intercom" mode, which enables incoming calls to appear automatically (without requiring the Expert to "answer his phone" and elect to accept or reject the call).

The Expert's computer beeps, indicating an incoming call, and the image of a field representative **201** and his client **202** who are located at a bank branch somewhere in the U.S. appears in video window **203** of the Expert's screen (shown in FIG. **35**). Note that, unless the call is convened to a "conference" call (whether explicitly via a menu selection or implicitly by calling two or more other participants or adding a third participant to a call), the callers will see only each other in the video window and will not see themselves as part of a video mosaic.

Also illustrated on the Expert's screen in FIG. **35** is the Collaboration Initiator window **204** from which the Expert can (utilizing Collaboration Initiator software module **161** shown in FIG. **20**) initiate and control various collaborative sessions. For example, the user can initiate with a selected participant a video call (CALL button) or the addition of that selected participant to an existing video call (ADD button), as well as a share session (SHARE button) using a selected window or region on the screen (or a blank region via the WHITEBOARD button for subsequent annotation). The user can also invoke his MAIL software (MAIL button) and prepare outgoing or check incoming Email messages (the presence of which is indicated by a picture of an envelope in the dog's mouth in In Box icon **205**), as well as check for "I called" messages from other callers (MESSAGES button) left via the LEAVE WORD button in video window **203** Video window **203** also contains buttons from which many of these and certain additional features can be invoked, such as hanging up a video call (HANGUP button), putting a call on hold (HOLD button), resuming a call previously put on hold (RESUME button) or muting the audio portion of a call (MUTE button). In addition, the user can invoke the recording of a conference by the conference RECORD button. Also present on the Expert's screen is a standard desktop window **206** containing icons from which other programs (whether or not part of this invention) can be launched.

Returning to the example, the Expert is now engaged in a videoconference with field representative **201** and his client **202**. In the course of this videoconference, as illustrated in FIG. **36**, the field representative shares with the Expert a graphical image **210** (pie chart of client portfolio holdings) of his client's portfolio holdings (by clicking on his SHARE button, corresponding to the SHARE button in video window **203** of the Expert's screen, and selecting that image from his screen, resulting in the shared image appearing in the Share window **211** of the screen of all participants to the share) and begins to discuss the client's investment dilemma. The field representative also invokes a command to secretly bring up the client profile on the Expert's screen.

After considering this information, reviewing the shared portfolio and asking clarifying questions, the Expert illustrates his advice by creating (using his own modeling software) and sharing a new graphical image **220** (FIG. **37**) with the field representative and his client. Either party to the share can annotate that image using the drawing tools **221** (and the TEXT button, which permits typed characters to be displayed) provided within Share window **211**, or "regrab" a modified version of the original image (by using the REGRAB button), or remove all such annotations (by using the CLEAR button of Share window **211**), or "grab" a new image to share (by clicking on the GRAB button of Share window **211** and selecting that new image from the screen). In addition, any participant to a shared session can add a new participant by selecting that participant from the rolodex or quick-dial list (as described above for video calls and for data conferencing) and clicking the ADD button of Share window **211**. One can also save the shared image (SAVE button), load a previously saved image to be shared (LOAD button), or print an image (PRINT button).

While discussing the Expert's advice, field representative **201** makes annotations **222** to image **220** in order to illustrate his concerns. While responding to the concerns of field representative **201**, the Expert hears a beep and receives a visual notice (New Call window **223**) on his screen (not visible to the field representative and his client), indicating the existence of a new incoming call and identifying the caller. At this point, the Expert can accept the new call (ACCEPT button), refuse the new call (REFUSE button, which will result in a message being displayed on the caller's screen indicating that the

Expert is unavailable) or add the new caller to the Expert's existing call (ADD button). In this case, the Expert elects yet another option (not shown)—to defer the call and leave the caller a standard message that the Expert will call back in X minutes (in this case 1 minute). The Expert then elects also to defer his existing call, telling the field representative and his client that he will call them back in 5 minutes, and then elects to return the initial deferred call.

It should be noted that the Expert's act of deferring a call results not only in a message being sent to the caller, but also in the caller's name (and perhaps other information associated with the call, such as the time the call was deferred or is to be resumed) being displayed in a list **230** (see FIG. **38**) on the Expert's screen from which the call can be reinitiated. Moreover, the "state" of the call (e.g., the information being shared) is retained so that it can be recreated when the call is reinitiated Unlike a "hold" (described above), deferring a call actually breaks the logical and physical connections, requiring that the entire call be reinitiated by the Collaboration initiator and the AVNM as described above.

Upon returning to the initial deferred call, the Expert engages in a videoconference with caller **231**, a research analyst who is located 10 floors up from the Expert with a complex question regarding a particular security Caller **231** decides to add London expert **232** to the videoconference (via the ADD button in Collaboration Initiator window **204**) to provide additional information regarding the factual history of the security Upon selecting the ADD button, video window **203** now displays, as illustrated in FIG. **38**, a video mosaic consisting of three smaller images (instead of a single large image displaying only caller **231**) of the Expert **233**, caller **231** and London expert **232**.

During this videoconference, an urgent PRIORITY request (New Call window **234**) is received from the Expert's boss (who is engaged in a three-party videoconference call with two members of the bank's operations department and is attempting to add the Expert to that call to answer a quick question). The Expert puts his three-party videoconference on hold (merely by clicking the HOLD button in video window **203**) and accepts (via the ACCEPT button of New Call window **234**) the urgent call from his boss, which results in the Expert being added to the boss' three-party videoconference call.

As illustrated in FIG. **39**, video window **203** is now replaced with a four-person video mosaic representing a four-party conference call consisting of the Expert **233**, his boss **241** and the two members **242** and **243** of the bank's operations department. The Expert quickly answers the boss' question and, by clicking on the RESUME button (of video window **203**) adjacent to the names of the other participants to the call on hold, simultaneously hangs up on the conference call with his boss and resumes his three-party conference call involving the securities issue, as illustrated in video window **203** of FIG. **40**.

While that call was on hold, however, analyst **231** and London expert **232** were still engaged in a two-way videoconference (with a blackened portion of the video mosaic on their screens indicating that the Expert was on hold) and had shared and annotated a graphical image **250** (see annotations **251** to image **250** of FIG. **40**) illustrating certain financial concerns. Once the Expert resumed the call, analyst **231** added the Expert to the share session, causing Share window **211** containing annotated image **250** to appear on the Expert's screen Optionally, snapshot sharing could progress while the video was on hold.

Before concluding his conference regarding the securities, the Expert receives notification of an incoming multimedia mail message—e.g., a beep accompanied by the appearance of an envelope **252** in the dog's mouth in In Box icon **205** shown in FIG. **40**. Once he concludes his call, he quickly scans his incoming multimedia mail message by clicking on In Box icon **205**, which invokes his mail software, and then selecting the incoming message for a quick scan, as generally illustrated in the top two windows of FIG. **2**B. He decides it can wait for further review as the sender is an analyst other than the one helping on his security question.

He then reinitiates (by selecting deferred call indicator **230**, shown in FIG. **40**) his deferred call with field representative **201** and his client **202**, as shown in FIG. **41**. Note that the full state of the call is also recreated, including restoration of previously shared image **220** with annotations **222** as they existed when the call was deferred (see FIG. **37**). Note also in FIG. **41** that, having reviewed his only unread incoming multimedia mail message, In Box icon **205** no longer shows an envelope in the dog's mouth, indicating that the Expert currently has no unread incoming messages.

As the Expert continues to provide advice and pricing information to field representative **201**, he receives notification of three priority calls **261**-**263** in short succession Call **261** is the Head of Sales for the Chicago office Working at home, she had instructed her CMW to alert her of all urgent news or messages, and was subsequently alerted to the arrival of the Expert's earlier multimedia mail message Call **262** is an urgent international call Call **263** is from the Head of Sales in Los Angeles. The Expert quickly winds down and then concludes his call with field representative **201**.

The Expert notes from call indicator **262** that this call is not only an international call (shown in the top portion of the New Call window), but he realizes it is from a laptop user in the field in Central Mexico. The Expert elects to prioritize his calls in the following manner: **262**, **261** and **263**. He therefore quickly answers call **261** (by clicking on its ACCEPT button) and puts that call on hold while deferring call **263** in the manner discussed above. He then proceeds to accept the call identified by international call indicator **262**.

Note in FIG. **42** deferred call indicator **271** and the indicator for the call placed on hold (next to the highlighted RESUME button in video window **203**), as well as the image of caller **272** from the laptop in the field in Central Mexico. Although Mexican caller **272** is outdoors and has no direct access to any wired telephone connection, his laptop has two wireless modems permitting dial-up access to two data connections in the nearest field office (through which his calls were routed). The system automatically (based upon the laptop's registered service capabilities) allocated one connection for an analog telephone voice call (using his laptop's built-in microphone and speaker and the Expert's computer-integrated telephony capabilities) to provide audio teleconferencing. The other connection provides control, data conferencing and one-way digital video (i.e., the laptop user cannot see the image of the Expert) from the laptop's built-in camera, albeit at a very slow frame rate (e.g., 3-10 small frames per second) due to the relatively slow dial-up phone connection.

It is important to note that, despite the limited capabilities of the wireless laptop equipment, the present invention accommodates such capabilities, supplementing an audio telephone connection with limited (i.e., relatively slow) one-way video and data conferencing functionality. As telephony and video compression technologies improve, the present invention will accommodate such improvements automatically. Moreover, even with one participant to a teleconference having limited capabilities, other participants need not be reduced to this "lowest common denominator." For example, additional participants could be added to the call illustrated in

FIG. **42** as described above, and such participants could have full videoconferencing, data conferencing and other collaborative functionality vis-a-vis one another, while having limited functionality only with caller **272**.

As his day evolved, the off-site salesperson **272** in Mexico was notified by his manager through the laptop about a new security and became convinced that his client would have particular interest in this issue. The salesperson therefore decided to contact the Expert as shown in FIG. **42**. While discussing the security issues, the Expert again shares all captured graphs, charts, etc.

The salesperson **272** also needs the Experts help on another issue. He has hard copy only of a client's portfolio and needs some advice on its composition before he meets with the client tomorrow. He says he will fax it to the Expert for analysis Upon receiving the fax—on his CMW, via computer-integrated fax—the Expert asks if he should either send the Mexican caller a "QuickTime" movie (a lower quality compressed video standard from Apple Computer) on his laptop tonight or send a higher-quality CD via FedX tomorrow—the notion being that the Expert can produce an actual video presentation with models and annotations in video form. The salesperson can then play it to his client tomorrow afternoon and it will be as if the Expert is in the room. The Mexican caller decides he would prefer the CD.

Continuing with this scenario, the Expert learns, in the course of his call with remote laptop caller **272**, that he missed an important issue during his previous quick scan of his incoming multimedia mail message. The Expert is upset that the sender of the message did not utilize the "video highlight" feature to highlight this aspect of the message. This feature permits the composer of the message to define "tags" (e.g., by clicking a TAG button, not shown) during record time which are stored with the message along with a "time stamp," and which cause a predefined or selectable audio and/or visual indicator to be played/displayed at that precise point in the message during playback.

Because this issue relates to the caller that the Expert has on hold, the Expert decides to merge the two calls together by adding the call on hold to his existing call. As noted above, both the Expert and the previously held caller will have full video capabilities vis-a-vis one another and will see a three-way mosaic image (with the image of caller **272** at a slower frame rate), whereas caller **272** will have access only to the audio portion of this three-way conference call, though he will have data conferencing functionality with both of the other participants.

The Expert forwards the multimedia mail message to both caller **272** and the other participant, and all three of them review the video enclosure in greater detail and discuss the concern raised by caller **272**. They share certain relevant data as described above and realize that they need to ask a quick question of another remote expert. They add that expert to the call (resulting in the addition of a fourth image to the video mosaic, also not shown) for less than a minute while they obtain a quick answer to their question. They then continue their three-way call until the Expert provides his advice and then adjourns the call.

The Expert composes a new multimedia mail message, recording his image and audio synchronized (as described above) to the screen displays resulting from his simultaneous interaction with his CMW (e.g., running a program that performs certain calculations and displays a graph while the Expert illustrates certain points by telepointing on the screen, during which time his image and spoken words are also captured). He sends this message to a number of salesforce recipients whose identities are determined automatically by an outgoing mail filter that utilizes a database of information on each potential recipient (e.g., selecting only those whose clients have investment policies which allow this type of investment).

The Expert then receives an audio and visual reminder (not shown) that a particular video feed (e.g., a short segment of a financial cable television show featuring new financial instruments) will be triggered automatically in a few minutes. He uses this time to search his local securities database, which is dynamically updated from financial information feeds (e.g., prepared from a broadcast textual stream of current financial events with indexed headers that automatically applies data filters to select incoming events relating to certain securities). The video feed is then displayed on the Expert's screen and he watches this short video segment.

After analyzing this extremely up-to-date information, the Expert then reinitiates his previously deferred call, from indicator **271** shown in FIG. **42**, which he knows is from the Head of Sales in Los Angeles, who is seeking to provide his prime clients with securities advice on another securities transaction based upon the most recent available information. The Expert's call is not answered directly, though he receives a short prerecorded video message (left by the caller who had to leave his home for a meeting across town soon after his priority message was deferred) asking that the Expert leave him a multimedia mail reply message with advice for a particular client, and explaining that he will access this message remotely from his laptop as soon as his meeting is concluded. The Expert complies with this request and composes and sends this mail message.

The Expert then receives an audio and visual reminder on his screen indicating that his office hours will end in two minutes. He switches from "intercom" mode to "telephone" mode so that he will no longer be disturbed without an opportunity to reject incoming calls via the New Call window described above. He then receives and accepts a final call concerning an issue from an electronic meeting several months ago, which was recorded in its entirety.

The Expert accesses this recorded meeting from his "corporate memory." He searches the recorded meeting (which appears in a second video window on his screen as would a live meeting, along with standard controls for stop/play/rewind/fast forward/etc.) for an event that will trigger his memory using his fast forward controls, but cannot locate the desired portion of the meeting. He then elects to search the ASCII text log (which was automatically extracted in the background after the meeting had been recorded, using the latest voice recognition techniques), but still cannot locate the desired portion of the meeting. Finally, he applies an information filter to perform a content-oriented (rather than literal) search and finds the portion of the meeting he was seeking. After quickly reviewing this short portion of the previously recorded meeting, the Expert responds to the caller's question, adjourns the call and concludes his office hours.

It should be noted that the above scenario involves many state-of-the-art desktop tools (e.g., video and information feeds, information filtering and voice recognition) that can be leveraged by our Expert during videoconferencing, data conferencing and other collaborative activities provided by the present invention—because this invention, instead of providing a dedicated videoconferencing system, provides a desktop multimedia collaboration system that integrates into the Expert's existing workstation/LAN/WAN environment.

It should also be noted that all of the preceding collaborative activities in this scenario took place during a relatively short portion of the expert's day (e.g., less than an hour of cumulative time) while the Expert remained in his office and

continued to utilize the tools and information available from his desktop. Prior to this invention, such a scenario would not have been possible because many of these activities could have taken place only with face-to-face collaboration, which in many circumstances is not feasible or economical and which thus may well have resulted in a loss of the associated business opportunities.

Although the present invention has been described in connection with particular preferred embodiments and examples, it is to be understood that many modifications and variations can be made in hardware, software, operation, uses, protocols and data formats without departing from the scope to which the inventions disclosed herein are entitled. For example, for certain applications, it will be useful to provide some or all of the audio/video signals in digital form. Accordingly, the present invention is to be considered as including all apparatus and methods encompassed by the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A method comprising:

maintaining a first association between a first user and corresponding addressing information of a first communication device used by the first user to log in;

maintaining a second association between a second user and corresponding addressing information of a second communication device used by the second user to log in, wherein the second communication device is separated from the first communication device by a wide area network;

wherein the first and second associations are dynamically changeable by keeping track of client programs at the respective communication devices so that the first and second users, if logged in, can be found no matter where they are located;

presenting a user interface on a display associated with the first communication device, the user interface including at least one of a scrollable list of identifiers of a plurality of users and a dial panel of identifiers for at least a subset of users from the scrollable list, wherein at least one of the scrollable list and the dial panel includes an identifier for the second user;

if the second user is not logged in, indicating to the first user that the second user is not logged in;

allowing the first user to select from the user interface the identifier of the second user;

in response to the first user selecting the identifier of the second user and if the second user is logged in, using the addressing information of the second communication device to allow communication between the first and second users, the communication being established using either a communication type selected by the first user or a default communication type;

detecting an incoming request for communication, from at least a third user, at the first communication device of the first user during an active communication with the second user;

indicating to the first user the third user; and

providing the first user with an option of accepting the incoming request for communication with the third user.

2. The method of claim **1**, wherein maintaining a first association is done by at least one server.

3. The method of claim **1**, wherein selecting the identifier of the second user by the first user invokes the default communication type.

4. The method of claim **1**, wherein at least one communication device is a computer.

5. The method of claim **1**, wherein the first communication device is a wireless device.

6. The method of claim **1**, wherein the communication between the first and second users includes at least one of a set consisting of audio communications, video communications, snapshot sharing, and data conferencing.

7. The method of claim **6**, wherein the data conferencing includes text communications.

8. The method of claim **1**, wherein the communications between the first and second users includes at least audio communication.

9. The method of claim **1**, wherein the dial panel includes at least a graphical icon representing the second user.

10. The method of claim **1**, further comprising allowing the first user to disconnect any one of the second and third users during an active communication between the first, second and third users.

11. The method of claim **1**, wherein the second communication device is a wireless device.

12. The method of claim **1**, wherein at least one of the identifiers in the scrollable list includes at least one graphical icon representing a user of the plurality of users.

13. The method of claim **1**, further comprising allowing the first user to:

select one or more users from among the plurality of users by selecting corresponding identifiers associated with the selected one or more users; and

add the selected one or more users to an existing communication.

14. The method of claim **1**, wherein the communication appears automatically on a display of the second communication device.

15. The method of claim **1**, further comprising allowing the first user to notify the third user of postponing the requested communication instead of accepting the requested communication.

16. A method comprising:

maintaining a first association between a first user and corresponding addressing information of a first communication device used by the first user to log in, wherein the first communication device is a wireless device;

maintaining a second association between a second user and corresponding addressing information of a second communication device used by the second user to log in, wherein the second communication device is separated from the first communication device by a wide area network;

wherein the first and second associations are dynamically changeable by keeping track of client programs at the respective communication devices so that the first and second users, if logged in, can be found no matter where they are located;

presenting a user interface on a display associated with the first communication device, the user interface including identifiers of a plurality of users including an identifier for the second user, wherein information associated with at least the second user is retrieved from at least one server;

allowing the first user to request communication with the second user by selecting the identifier of the second user from the user interface;

if the second user is not logged in, indicating to the first user that the second user is not logged in;

if the second user is logged in, indicating to the second user that the first user requested communication with the second user including revealing a corresponding identifier for the first user;

in response to the first user selecting the identifier of the second user and if the second user is logged in, using the

addressing information of the second communication device to allow communication between the first and second users;

allowing the first user to select a communication type for the communication between the first and second users;

establishing communication between the first and second user using either the communication type selected by the first user or a default communication type;

detecting an incoming request for communication, from at least a third user, at the first communication device of the first user during an active communication with the second user;

indicating to the first user a corresponding identifier of the third user; and

providing the first user with an option of accepting the incoming request for communication with the third user.

17. The method of claim **16**, wherein maintaining a first association is done by at least one server.

18. The method of claim **16**, wherein the default communication type is invoked if the first user does not select a communication type.

19. The method of claim **16**, wherein at least one communication device is a computer.

20. The method of claim **16**, wherein the communication between the first and second users includes at least audio communication.

21. The method of claim **16**, wherein the communication includes text.

22. The method of claim **16**, wherein at least one of the identifiers includes at least one graphical icon representing a user of the plurality of users.

23. The method of claim **16**, wherein the communication device includes at least audio.

24. The method of claim **16**, further comprising allowing the first user to disconnect any one of the second and third users during an active communication between the first, second and third users.

25. The method of claim **16**, further comprising allowing the first user to send an e-mail to the third user.

26. The method of claim **16**, further comprising allowing the first user to notify the third user of postponing the requested communication instead of accepting the requested communication.

27. The method of claim **16**, further comprising allowing the first user to:

select one or more users from among the plurality of users by selecting corresponding identifiers associated with the selected one or more users; and

add the selected one or more users to an existing communication.

28. The method of claim **16**, wherein the communication appears automatically on a display of the second communication device.

29. A method comprising:

maintaining a first association between a first user and corresponding addressing information of a first communication device used by the first user to log in;

maintaining a second association between a second user and corresponding addressing information of a second communication device used by the second user to log in, wherein the second communication device is separated from the first communication device by a wide area network;

wherein the first and second associations are dynamically changeable by keeping track of client programs at the respective communication devices so that the first and second users, if logged in, can be found no matter where they are located;

presenting a user interface on a display associated with the first communication device, the user interface including identifiers of a plurality of users including an identifier for the second user, wherein information associated with at least the second user is retrieved from at least one server;

allowing the first user to request communication with the second user by selecting the identifier of the second user;

indicating to the second user if the second user is logged in that the first user requested communication with the second user including revealing a corresponding identifier associated with the first user;

in response to the first user selecting the identifier of the second user and if the second user is logged in, using the addressing information of the second communication device to allow communication between the first and second users, the communication being established using either a communication type selected by the first user or a default communication type;

detecting an incoming request for communication, from at least a third user, at the first communication device of the first user during an active communication with the second user;

indicating to the first user a corresponding identifier of the third user; and

providing the first user with an option of accepting the incoming request for communication.

30. The method of claim **29**, wherein maintaining a first association is done by the at least one server.

31. The method of claim **29**, wherein the default communication type is invoked if the first user does not select a communication type.

32. The method of claim **29**, wherein at least one communication device is a computer.

33. The method of claim **29**, wherein the communication between the first and second users includes at least audio communication.

34. The method of claim **29**, wherein the communication includes real-time text.

35. The method of claim **29**, wherein the communication appears automatically on a display of the second communication device.

36. The method of claim **29**, further comprising allowing the first user to:

select one or more users from among the plurality of users by selecting corresponding identifiers associated with the selected one or more users; and

add the selected one or more users to an existing communication.

37. The method of claim **29**, further comprising allowing the first user to disconnect any one of the second and third users during an active communication between the first, second and third users.

38. The method of claim **29**, further comprising allowing the first user to notify the third user of postponing the requested communication instead of accepting the requested communication.

39. The method of claim **29**, wherein the communication includes video.

40. The method of claim **29**, wherein at least one communication device is a wireless device.

41. The method of claim **29**, wherein at least one of the identifiers includes a graphical icon representing at least one user.

42. The method of claim **29**, wherein the communication between the first and second users includes at least one of a set

consisting of audio communications, video communications, snapshot sharing, and data conferencing.

43. A method comprising:

maintaining a first association between a first user and corresponding addressing information of a first communication device used by the first user to log in;

maintaining a second association between the first user and corresponding addressing information of a second communication device used by the first user to log in;

maintaining a third association between a second user and corresponding addressing information of a third communication device used by the second user to log in, wherein the third communication device separated from the at least one of the communication devices used by the first user to log in by a wide area network;

wherein the first, second and third associations are dynamically changeable by keeping track of client programs at the respective communication devices so that the first and second users, if logged in, can be found no matter where they are located;

presenting a user interface on a display associated with at least one of the communication devices used by the first user to log in, the user interface including at least one of a scrollable list of identifiers of a plurality of users and a dial panel of identifiers for at least a subset of users from the scrollable list, wherein at least one of the scrollable list and the dial panel includes an identifier for the second user;

allowing the first user to select the identifier of the second user;

if the second user is not logged in, indicating to the first user that the second user is not logged in; and

in response to the first user selecting the identifier of the second user and if the second user is logged in, using the addressing information of the third communication device to allow communication between the first and second users, the communication being established using either a communication type selected by the first user or a default communication type;

detecting an incoming request for communication, from at least a third user, at the at least one of the communication devices used by the first user to log in, during an active communication with the second user;

indicating to the first user a corresponding identifier of the third user; and

providing the first user with an option of accepting the incoming request for communication.

44. The method of claim **43**, wherein maintaining a first association is done by at least one server.

45. The method of claim **43**, wherein selecting the identifier of the second user by the first user invokes a default communication type.

46. The method of claim **43**, wherein at least one communication device is a computer.

47. The method of claim **43**, wherein the at least one of the communication devices used by the first user to log in is a wireless device.

48. The method of claim **43**, further comprising allowing the first user to:

select one or more users from among the plurality of users by selecting corresponding identifiers associated with the selected one or more users; and

add the selected one or more users to an existing communication.

49. The method of claim **43**, wherein the communication includes at least audio.

50. The method of claim **43**, wherein the communication includes text.

51. The method of claim **43**, further comprising allowing the first user to notify the third user of postponing the requested communication instead of accepting the requested communication.

52. The method of claim **43**, wherein the communication automatically appears on a display of the second communication device.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 7,421,470 B2
APPLICATION NO. : 10/721905
DATED : September 2, 2008
INVENTOR(S) : Ludwig et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Title Page
The priority claim as shown in item (63) on page 1 of the subject patent reads as follows, "Continuation of application Ser. No. 09/702,737 filed Nov. 1, 2000 now U.S. Pat. No. 7,185,054, which is a Continuation of application Ser. No. 08/994,848, filed Dec. 19, 1997, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,237,025, which is a continuation of application Ser. No. 08/660,461, filed Jun. 7, 1996, now U.S. Pat. No. 5,802,294, which is a continuation of application Ser. No. 08/131,523, filed Oct. 1, 1993, now U.S. Pat. No. 5,689,641.", should read --Continuation of application Ser. No. 09/702,737 filed Nov. 1, 2000 now U.S. Pat. No. 7,185,054, which is a Division of application Ser. No. 08/994,848, filed Dec. 19, 1997, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,237,025, which is a continuation of application Ser. No. 08/660,461, filed Jun. 7, 1996, now U.S. Pat. No. 5,802,294, which is a continuation of application Ser. No. 08/131,523, filed Oct. 1, 1993, now U.S. Pat. No. 5,689,641.--.

Column 1, line 10 reads "054, which is a Continuation of application Ser. No. 08/994,", should read --054, which is a Division of application Ser. No. 08/994,--.

Signed and Sealed this

Sixteenth Day of March, 2010

David J. Kappos

David J. Kappos
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

US007433921B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Ludwig et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 7,433,921 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: *Oct. 7, 2008**

(54) **SYSTEM FOR REAL-TIME COMMUNICATION BETWEEN PLURAL USERS**

(75) Inventors: **Lester F. Ludwig**, Hillsborough, CA (US); **J. Chris Lauwers**, Menlo Park, CA (US); **Keith A. Lantz**, Los Altos, CA (US); **Gerald J. Burnett**, Atherton, CA (US); **Emmett R. Burns**, Jackson, WY (US)

(73) Assignee: **Avistar Communications Corporation**, San Mateo, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 263 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/722,051**

(22) Filed: **Nov. 26, 2003**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2004/0107255 A1 Jun. 3, 2004

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 09/702,737, filed on Nov. 1, 2000, now Pat. No. 7,185,054, which is a continuation of application No. 08/994,848, filed on Dec. 19, 1997, now Pat. No. 6,237,025, which is a continuation of application No. 08/660,461, filed on Jun. 7, 1996, now Pat. No. 5,802,294, which is a continuation of application No. 08/131,523, filed on Oct. 1, 1993, now Pat. No. 5,689,641.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06F 15/16 (2006.01)
G06F 3/00 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **709/204**; 715/753

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 709/203–207, 709/223–224, 227–299
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,721,777 A 3/1973 Thomas

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 35 07 152 8/1985

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"Automatic Query of the Recipient ID upon Sending a Distribution", IBM TDB v.36 n.09A Sep. 1993.*

(Continued)

Primary Examiner—Glenton B. Burgess
Assistant Examiner—Aaron Strange
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP; Craig P. Opperman; Carina M. Tan

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A system for real-time communication between a plurality of separated users, comprising at least one communication device for use by each of the plurality of users and having an associated display and at least one communication network to which at least first and second users can connect by logging in at their respective communication devices. Further included are at least one service record for the first and second logged in users, the at least one service record including user identification information and an associated location where each user is logged in no matter where they are located. Computer software also is provided for causing display of a user identifier for at least the second user on the display of at least the first user's communication device, and generating a signal in response to a user selecting the displayed second user's identifier Further, there is collaboration initiation software that functions to cause the retrieving of necessary addressing information of the second user, and to cause the establishing of a connection between the first and second users, and thereby to enable real-time communication displayed on the display of the first and second users.

34 Claims, 34 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,723,653 A 3/1973 Tatsuzawa
3,873,771 A 3/1975 Kleinerman et al.
3,922,488 A 11/1975 Gabr
3,974,337 A 8/1976 Tatsuzawa
4,005,265 A 1/1977 Verhoeckx et al.
4,054,908 A 10/1977 Poirier et al.
4,210,927 A 7/1980 Yumde et al.
4,400,724 A 8/1983 Fields
4,430,526 A 2/1984 Brown et al.
4,441,180 A 4/1984 Schussler et al.
4,451,705 A 5/1984 Burke et al.
4,475,193 A 10/1984 Brown
4,476,349 A 10/1984 Cottrell et al.
4,479,195 A 10/1984 Herr et al.
4,500,960 A 2/1985 Babecki et al.
4,516,156 A 5/1985 Fabris et al.
4,529,839 A 7/1985 Colton et al.
4,529,840 A 7/1985 Colton et al.
4,531,024 A 7/1985 Colton et al.
4,574,374 A 3/1986 Scordo
4,577,065 A 3/1986 Frey et al.
4,599,611 A 7/1986 Bowker et al.
4,625,081 A 11/1986 Lotito et al.
4,645,872 A 2/1987 Pressman et al.
4,650,929 A 3/1987 Boerger et al.
4,653,090 A 3/1987 Hayden
4,672,442 A 6/1987 Yamaguchi et al.
4,686,698 A 8/1987 Tompkins et al.
4,691,347 A 9/1987 Stanley et al.
4,710,917 A 12/1987 Tomkins et al.
4,713,806 A 12/1987 Oberlander et al.
4,716,585 A 12/1987 Tompkins et al.
4,720,850 A 1/1988 Oberlander et al.
4,739,509 A 4/1988 Bourg
4,741,025 A 4/1988 Maruyama et al.
4,786,741 A 11/1988 Sachs
4,796,293 A 1/1989 Blinken et al.
4,800,344 A 1/1989 Graham
4,817,018 A 3/1989 Cree et al.
4,837,798 A 6/1989 Cohen et al.
4,847,829 A 7/1989 Tompkins et al.
4,849,811 A 7/1989 Kleinerman
4,850,009 A 7/1989 Zook et al.
4,885,747 A 12/1989 Foglia
4,888,795 A 12/1989 Ando et al.
4,890,320 A 12/1989 Monslow et al.
4,893,326 A 1/1990 Duran et al.
4,914,586 A 4/1990 Swinehart et al.
4,922,523 A 5/1990 Hashimoto
4,924,496 A 5/1990 Figa et al.
4,931,872 A 6/1990 Stoddard et al.
4,953,159 A 8/1990 Hayden et al.
4,961,211 A 10/1990 Tsugane et al.
4,965,819 A 10/1990 Kannes
4,977,520 A 12/1990 McGauhey et al.
4,987,492 A 1/1991 Stults et al.
4,995,071 A 2/1991 Weber et al.
4,995,078 A 2/1991 Monslow et al.
4,998,243 A 3/1991 Kao
5,003,532 A 3/1991 Ashida et al.
5,010,399 A 4/1991 Goodman et al.
5,012,509 A 4/1991 Nakamura et al.
5,014,267 A 5/1991 Tompkins et al.
5,016,976 A 5/1991 Horner et al.
5,027,400 A 6/1991 Baji et al.
5,042,062 A 8/1991 Lee et al.
5,056,136 A 10/1991 Smith
5,061,046 A 10/1991 Lee et al.
5,072,442 A 12/1991 Todd
5,073,926 A 12/1991 Suzuki et al.
5,099,510 A 3/1992 Blinken, Jr. et al.
5,109,515 A 4/1992 Laggis et al.
5,109,517 A 4/1992 Houda et al.
5,121,426 A 6/1992 Baumhauer, Jr. et al.
5,127,041 A 6/1992 O'Sullivan
5,130,399 A 7/1992 Ikeno et al.
5,130,793 A 7/1992 Bordry et al.
5,130,801 A 7/1992 Yamaguchi
5,133,079 A 7/1992 Ballantyne et al.
5,146,325 A 9/1992 Ng
5,155,761 A 10/1992 Hammond
5,157,491 A 10/1992 Kassatly
5,170,427 A 12/1992 Guichard et al.
5,190,586 A 3/1993 Mizuguchi et al.
5,191,611 A 3/1993 Lang
5,195,086 A 3/1993 Baumgartner et al. 345/329
5,195,087 A 3/1993 Bennett et al.
5,200,989 A 4/1993 Milone
5,202,828 A 4/1993 Vertelney et al. 345/326
5,202,957 A 4/1993 Serrao
5,218,627 A 6/1993 Corey et al.
5,224,094 A 6/1993 Maher et al.
5,231,492 A 7/1993 Dangi et al.
5,239,466 A 8/1993 Morgan et al.
5,249,218 A 9/1993 Sainton
5,253,362 A 10/1993 Nolan et al.
5,260,941 A 11/1993 Wilder et al.
5,262,875 A 11/1993 Mincer et al.
5,283,637 A 2/1994 Goolcharan
5,293,619 A 3/1994 Dean
5,303,343 A 4/1994 Ohya et al.
5,315,633 A 5/1994 Champa
5,319,795 A 6/1994 Hamabe et al.
5,323,472 A 6/1994 Falk
5,325,423 A 6/1994 Lewis
5,333,133 A 7/1994 Andrews et al.
5,333,299 A 7/1994 Kovai et al.
5,335,321 A 8/1994 Harney et al.
5,341,374 A 8/1994 Lewen et al.
5,345,258 A 9/1994 Matsubara et al.
5,351,276 A 9/1994 Doll, Jr. et al.
5,353,398 A 10/1994 Kitahara et al.
5,363,441 A 11/1994 Feiner et al.
5,363,507 A 11/1994 Nakayama et al.
5,365,265 A 11/1994 Shibata et al.
5,367,629 A 11/1994 Chu et al.
5,373,549 A 12/1994 Bales et al.
5,374,952 A 12/1994 Flohr
5,375,068 A 12/1994 Palmer et al.
5,379,374 A 1/1995 Ishizaki et al.
5,382,972 A 1/1995 Kannes
5,384,598 A 1/1995 Rodriguez et al.
5,384,772 A 1/1995 Marshall
5,386,581 A 1/1995 Suzuki et al.
5,390,316 A 2/1995 Cramer et al.
5,392,223 A 2/1995 Caci
5,392,277 A 2/1995 Bernstein
5,392,346 A 2/1995 Hassler et al.
5,404,316 A 4/1995 Klingler et al.
5,404,435 A 4/1995 Rosenbaum
5,408,526 A 4/1995 McFarland et al.
5,408,662 A 4/1995 Katsurabayashi
5,410,595 A 4/1995 Park et al.
5,416,618 A 5/1995 Juday
5,422,883 A 6/1995 Hauris et al.
5,432,525 A 7/1995 Maruo et al.
5,444,476 A 8/1995 Conway
5,467,288 A 11/1995 Fasciano et al.
5,471,318 A 11/1995 Ahuja et al.
5,473,679 A 12/1995 La Porta et al.
5,475,421 A 12/1995 Palmer et al.
5,477,546 A 12/1995 Shibata et al.
5,485,504 A 1/1996 Ohnsorge
5,491,695 A 2/1996 Meagher et al.

5,506,954	A		4/1996	Arshi et al.
5,515,491	A		5/1996	Bates et al.
5,517,652	A		5/1996	Miyamoto et al.
5,519,834	A	*	5/1996	Kamerman et al. 709/225
5,526,024	A		6/1996	Gaglianello et al.
5,550,966	A		8/1996	Drake et al.
5,553,222	A		9/1996	Milne et al.
5,561,736	A		10/1996	Moore et al.
5,565,910	A		10/1996	Rowse et al.
5,581,702	A		12/1996	McArdle
5,590,128	A		12/1996	Maloney et al.
5,594,495	A		1/1997	Palmer et al.
5,602,580	A		2/1997	Tseng
5,608,653	A		3/1997	Palmer et al.
5,610,972	A		3/1997	Emery et al.
5,623,690	A		4/1997	Palmer et al.
5,659,369	A		8/1997	Imaiida
5,689,553	A		11/1997	Ahuja et al.
5,719,786	A		2/1998	Nelson et al.
5,751,336	A		5/1998	Aggarwal et al.
5,758,079	A	*	5/1998	Ludwig et al. 709/204
5,777,663	A		7/1998	Shibata et al.
5,796,727	A		8/1998	Harrison et al.
5,815,233	A		9/1998	Morokawa et al.
5,864,844	A		1/1999	James et al. 707/4
5,872,923	A		2/1999	Schwartz et al.
6,181,867	B1		1/2001	Kenner et al.
6,237,025	B1	*	5/2001	Ludwig et al. 709/204
6,336,143	B1		1/2002	Diedrich et al.
6,577,324	B1		6/2003	Palmer et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0 041 902		12/1981
EP	0 190 060		8/1986
EP	0 354 370		2/1990
EP	0376588		7/1990
EP	0 403 118		12/1990
EP	0410378		1/1991
EP	0 414 222		2/1991
EP	0414222		2/1991
EP	0 436 345		7/1991
EP	0 453 128		10/1991
EP	0 497 022		8/1992
EP	0 516 371		12/1992
EP	0 523 618		1/1993
EP	0 523 626		1/1993
EP	0523618		1/1993
EP	0 535 601		4/1993
EP	0 548 597		6/1993
EP	0 561 381		9/1993
EP	0561133		9/1993
EP	0574138		12/1993
EP	0 604 053		6/1994
JP	62091045		4/1987
JP	1318136	*	12/1989
JP	2089482		3/1990
JP	2002441	A *	8/1990
JP	2 285 759		11/1990
JP	5014525		1/1993
JP	6086276		3/1994
WO	WO 9100664		1/1991
WO	WO 9103116		3/1991
WO	WO 9120149		12/1991
WO	WO 9212583		7/1992
WO	WO 92/21211		11/1992
WO	WO 9307703		4/1993
WO	WO 94/24803		10/1994

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Michael A. Banks "America Online: A Graphics Based Success Evaluation", Computers Jan. 1, 1992. 5 pages (Retrieved Jan. 9, 2006 from www.krsaborio.net/research/1990s/92/920101.htm).*

"BeyondMail (tm) for Intelliigent Messaging(tm)/Windows—Quick Reference", date unknown. 10 pages (Retrieved Jul. 14, 2005 from www.lanecc.edu/efls/tutorial/beyond.htm).*

Videomatic Switching: Systems and Services, C. Crawford, F. Milone, D. Zoppellaro, Digital Communications, 1988 International Zurich Seminar.

Marskak, Ronni T. "Beyond Mail for Windows—epitomizing the mail-enabled application", Patricia Seybold's Office Computing Report, Sep. 1992 v15 n9. (full text copy from Computer Select 1992 CD).

Rangan et al. "Software Architecture for Integration of Video Services in the Etherphone System," IEEE Journal on Selected Area of Communications, v9 n9, Dec. 1991, pp. 1395-1404.

ACM Press, Conference on Organizational Computing Systems, SIGOIS Bulletin, vol. 12, No. 2-3, Nov. 5-8, 1991.

The American Users Forum (Niu-Forum) Aug. 6-9, 1990.

Bellcore News, "IMAL Makes Media Merging Magic," 5(20), Nov. 9, 1988.

Frontiers in computer communications technology, Sigcom '87 Workshop (Aug. 11-13, 1987).

Unix 4th Berkeley Release 1991 man pages for 'login,' 'talk,' and 'who.' Online Internet: http://www.de.freebds.org.

Statement by Attorney for Applicants-Describing Product Development.

Ahuja et al., "Coordination and Control of Multimedia Conferencing," IEEE Communication Magazine, 30(5): 38-42, May 1992.

Ahuja et al., "Networking Requirements of the Rapport Multimedia Conferencing System," Infocom '88, IEEE, pp. 746-751, 1988.

Biswas et al., "Distributed Scheduling of Meetings: A Case Study in Prototyping Distributed Application," System Integration, 1992 2nd International Conference.

Cohen et al., "Audio Windows: User Interface for Manipulating Virtual Acoustic Environments," pp. 479-480.

Cohen et al., "Audio Windows for Binaural Telecommunication," EIC, Tokyo (Oct. 1991).

Cohen et al., Design and Control of Shared Conferencing Environments for Audio Telecommunication, Proceedings of the Second Int'l Symposium on Measurement and Control Robotics (ISMCR '92), Tsukuba Science City, Japan, (Nov. 15-19, 1992), pp. 405-412.

Cohen et al., "Exocentric Control of Audio Imaging in Binaural Telecommunication," IEICE Trans. Fundamentals, vol. E75-A, No. 2, (Feb. 1992).

Cohen et al., "Multidimensional Audio Window Management," Int'l Journal of Man-Machine Studie, vol. 34:319-336 (1991).

Cohen et al., "Multidimensional Audio Windows: Conference, Concerts and Cocktails," Human Factors Society Meeting, SF, CA, pp. 1-15, Jun. 12, 1991.

Ensor et al., "The Rapport Multimedia Conferencing System—Software Overview," Computer Workstation Conference, IEEE, pp. 52-58, 1988.

Gopal et al., "Directories of Networks with Causally Connected Users," IEEE, pp. 1060-1064, 1988.

Horn et al., "An ISDN Multimedia Conference Bridge," Tencon'90—1990 IEEE Region 10 Conference on Computer and Communication, pp. 853-856, 1990.

Kamel, "An Integrated Approach to Share Synchronous Groupware Workspaces," IEEE 1993.

Kendall et al., "Simulating the Cue of Spatial Hearing in Natural Environments," Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60201.
Klein, Telecommunikation von Angesichtzu Angesicht 2323 Telcom Report 9 (1986) Sep./Okt., No. 5, Erlangen, W. Germany.
Kobayashi et al., "Development and Trial Operation of Video Teleconference System," IEEE Globecom, pp. 2060-2063, 1999.
Lake et al., "A Network Environment for Studying Multimedia Network Architecture and Control," (1989 Globecom).
Lantz, An Experiment in Integrated Multimedia Conferencing, Department of Computer Science, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, Dec. 1986.
Lantz et al., Collaboration Technology Research at Olivetti Search California, Aug. 1989.
Lauwers et al., Replicated Architecture for Shared Window Systems: A Critique, (Olivetti Research California) Version of Apr. 1990.
Lauwers et al., Collaboration Awareness in Support of Collaboration Transparency: Requirements for the Next Generation of Shared Windows Systems, (Olivetti Research California) Version of Apr. 1989.
Leung et al., Optimum Connection Paths for a Class of Videoconferences, Department of Information Engineering, the Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong.
Maeno et al., Distributed Desktop Conferencing System (Mermaid) Based on Group Communication Architecture, The Transactions of the Institute of Electronics, Information and Comm. Engineers E74 (1991) Sept., No. 9, Tokyo, JP.
Martens, "Principal Components Analysis and Resysnthesis of Spectral Cues to Perceived Direction," Proceedings of the 1987 Int'l Computer Music Conference, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60201.
Masaki et al., "A Desktop Teleconferencing Terminal Based on B-ISDN: PMTC," NTT Review, 4(4) :81-85, 1992.
Ng et al., Systems Integration '90, (Apr. 23-26, 1990).
Nunokawa et al., "Teleconferencing Using Stereo Voice and Electronic OHP," IEEE, 1988.
Ohmori et al., "Distributed Cooperative Control for Sharing Applications Based on Multipaty and Multimedia Desktop Conferencing System," IEEE, 1992.
Pate, "Trends in Multimedia Applications and the Network Models to Support Them," Globecom's 90: 1990.
Perkins, "Spider: An investigation in collaborative technologies and their effects on network performance".
Ramanathan et al., Optimal Communication Architectures for Multimedia Conferencing in Distributed Systems, Multimedia Laboratory Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, University of San Deigo, La Jolla, CA.
Rangan et al., "Software architecture for integration of video services in the etherphone system," IEEE J. on Selected Areas in Comm., 9(9) :1395-1404, Dec. 1991.
Rangan et al., "A Window-Based Editor for Digital Video and Audio," System Sciences, 1992 Hawaii Int'l Conference (1992).
Sakata, "B-ISDN Multimedia Workstation Architecture," IEEE, 1993.
Sakata et al., "Development and Evaluation of an In-House Multimedia Desktop Conference System," NEC Research & Development, No. 98, pp. 107-117, Jul. 1990.
Stefik et al., "Beyond the Chalkboard: Computer Support for Collaboration and Problem Solving," Communications of the ACM, vol. 30, No. 1, Jan. 1987.
Vin et al., Hierarchical Conferencing Architectures for Inter-Group Multimedia Collaboration, Multimedia Laboratory Department of Computer Science and Engineering University of California at San Diego, La Jolla.
Vin et al., Multimedia Conferencing in the Etherphone Environment, Computer Magazine, vol. 24, Issue 10, pp. 69-79, 1991.
Watabe et al., "A Distributed Multiparty Desktop Conferencing System and Its Architecture," IEEE, 1991.
Watabe et al., "Distributed Desktop Conferencing System with Multiuser Multimedia Interface," IEEE, 1991.
Weiss, Desk Top Video Conferencing—An Important Feature of Future Visual, SIEMENS AG—Munich—West Germany.
Zellweger et al., "An Overview of the Etherphone System and Its Applications," Computer Workstations Conference, 1988.
Lichty, Tom, "America Online Tour Guide", MacIntosh Edition, Version 2, Title Page, Copyright Page, Foreword, xviii, pp. 5-6, 24-44, 67, 69, 70-72, 229-253, 297-298, 373, 375-376, and 388, Ventana Press, 1992.
Ludwig, Lester, "A Threaded/Flow Approach to Reconfigurable Distributed Systems and Service Primitives Architectures," Frontiers in Computer Communications Technology, Sigcom '87 Workshop (Aug. 11-13, 1987).
Ludwig et al., "Laboratory For Emulation and Study of Integrated and Coordinated Media Communication," Bell Communications Research, 1988.
Ludwig, Lester F., "Integration of CAD/CAE with Multimedia Teleconferencing and Messaging Via Broadband Networks and Shared Resource Servers," Bell Communications Research, 1990.
Maeno, K., et al., "Distributed Desktop Conferencing System (Mermaid) Based on Group Communication Architectures," IEEE, ICC '91 CH2984-3/91/0000-0520, pp. 0520-0525.
Masaki, S., et al. "Multimedia Handling Scheme in a Groupware System for B-ISDN," Globecom '92 pp. 747-750, Dec. 1992.
Miller et al., "News on Demand for Multimedia Networks", Aug. 1993, In: Proceedings of the First ACM International Conference on Multimedia.
Naganawa et al., "A Study of Audio Communication Devices for ISDN," IEEE Transactions of Consumer Electronics, IEEE Inc. New York, vol. 36, No. 3, Aug. 1, 1990, p. 753-757.
Nakamura, et al., "Personal Multimedia Teleconferencing Terminal," IEEE, 1990.
Ohkubo, M., et al., "Design and implementation of a shared workspace by integrating individual workspaces," Conferencing on Supporting Group Work Proceedings of the conference on Office information systems, pp. 142-146, 1990.
Pagani, et al., "Bringing Media Spaces into the Real World", Proceedings of the 3rd European Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work 1993.
Pascoe, R.A., "Interactive Computer Conference Server" IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, vol. 34, No. 7A, Dec. 1991.
P. V. Rangan and H. M. Vin, "Designing file systems for digital video and audio", 1991, in: Proc. of the Thirteenth ACM Symposium on Operating System Principles, pp. 81-94.
Rangan et al. "Media synchronization in distributed multimedia file systems," Multimedia Communications, 1992 pp. 315-328.

P. V. Rangan et al., "Designing an On-Demand Multimedia Service", Jul. 1992, IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 30, No. pp. 56-65.
Reinhardt, Andy, "Video Conquers the Desktop," Byte, Sep. 1993, p. 64-80.
Rowe, L. and Smith, Bb, "A Continuous Media Player", Nov. 1992, In: Proc. 3rd Int. Workshop on Network and Operating System Support for Digital Audio and Video, pp. 376-386.
Sammartino et al., "Desktop Multimedia Communications—Breaking the Chains," IEEE, 1991.
Saunders, S., "Economical Video Network Uses Unshielded Twisted-Pair Wiring," Data Communications, McGraw Hill., New York, vol. 19, No. 10, pg. 103-104, Aug. 1, 1990.
Schooler, Eve M., "A Distributed Architecture for Multimedia Conference Control," ISI/RR-91-289, USC/Information Sciences Institute, Nov. 1991.
Schooler, Eve M., Steven L. Casner, A Packet-switched Multimedia Conferencing System, ACM SIGOIS Bulletin, vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 12-22, Jan. 1989.
Shepherd, et al., "Strudel-An Extensible Electronic Conversation Toolkit", Proceedings of the Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work, Oct. 1990.
Stevens, A. I., "The LOTUS Open Message Interface," Dr. Dobbs Journal, Mar. 1992.
Swinehart, Daniel C. "Systems Support Requirements for Multi-Media Workstations," Xerox PARC, CSL-89-2, 1989.
Swinehart, Daniel C. "Telephone Management in the Etherphone System," Xerox PARC, CSL-89-2, May 1989.
Tanigawa, H., et al. "Personal Multimedia-Multipoint Teleconference System," Proc. IEEE INFOCOM '91, pp. 1127-1134, 1991.
Terry and Swinehart, "Managed Stored Voice in the Etherphone System," Xerox PARC, CSL-89-2, 1989.
The Challenges of Networking Video Applications, Starlight Networks, Inc., Feb. 1992.
Velthuijsen, Hugo, "Distributed Artificial 1-19 intelligence for runtime feature-interaction resolution," Computer, vol. 26, No. 8, Aug. 1993, p. 48-55.
Watabe, K., et al., "Distributed Multiparty Desktop Conferencing System: Mermaid," CSCW 90 Proceedings, pp. 27-38, Oct. 1990.
Zellweger, "Active Paths through Multimedia Documents," Xerox PARC, CSL-89-2, 1989.
16.2 IPv4: The Internet Protocol Version 4, Date unknown.
Anderson, D. P. and Homsy, G., "A Continuous Media I/O Server and Its Synchronization Mechanism", Oct. 1991, Computer 24, 10 (Oct. 1991), 51-57.
Belville, Sharon, "Zephyr on Athena," Massachusetts Institute of Technology, ver. 3, Sep. 10, 1991.
Boll, et al., "Suppression of Acoustic Noise in Speech Using Two Microphone Adaptive Noise Cancellation," IEEE Transactions on Acoutics, Speech, and Signal Processing, vol. ASSP-28, No. 6, Dec. 1980.
Cheng, et al., "A model for secure distributed computations in a heterogeneous environment," Proc. Of the Aerospace Computer Security Applications Conference, Orlando, Dec. 12-16, 1988, Washington, IEEE Comp., Soc. Press, US, vol. Conf. 4, p. 233-241.
Chu, P.L., PictureTel Corporation, "Audio Compression and Echo Cancellation for Low Bit Rate Video Teleconferencing", Applications of Signal Process to Audio and Acoustics, Oct. 1991.
Crowley et al., "MMConf: An Infrastructure for Building Shared Multimedia Applications," CSCW 90 Proceedings, Oct. 1990.
DellaFera, et al. "The Zephyr Notification System," Proceedings of the Usenix Winter Conference, 1988.
Edwards, W. Keith, "The Design and Implementation of the Montage Multimedia Mail System," Communication Software, IEEE TRICOMM '91 Proceeding (Apr. 1991).
Elrod, S. et al. "Liveboard: A Large Interactive Display Supporting Group meetings, Presentations and Remote Collaboration" CHI '92 (May 3-7, 1992), 1992 ACM at 599-607.
Ensor, J.R., et al., "Control Issues in Multimedia Conferencing," IEEE, CH2955-3/91/0000-0133, 1991.
Fitzpatrick, et al., "Smart Icons for Address Book Detailed View Entries," IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, Dec. 1992.
FreeBSD Hypertext Man Pages: http://www.freebsd.org/cgi/man.cgi?query=rwho&sektion=1&manpath=freebsd, Jun. 6, 1993.
FreeBSD Hypertext Man Pages: http://www.freebsd.org/cgi/man.cig?query=rwhod&sektion=8&apropos=0 &manpath=free..., Dec. 11, 1993.
Free BSD Hypertext Man Pages: Unix 4th Berkeley Release 1991 man pages for 'login,' 'utmp,' 'talk,' and 'who.' Online Internet: http://www.de.freebds.org.
Furner, et al., "An ISDN Multipoint Teleconferencing Environment", IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol. 9, May 1991.
Goldberg, "Active Mail: An Architecture for Groupware", Technical Report CS92-1?, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Oct. 1992.
Harris, et al., "Intelligent Network Realization and Evolution: CCITT Capability Set 1 and Beyond," Proceedings of the International Switching Symposium. Yokohama, Oct. 25-30, 1992, Tokyo, IEICE, JP, vol. 2, Symp. 14, p. 127-131, XP000337709.
Harvey et al. "Some Aspects of Stereophony Applicable to Conference Use", Journal Audio Engineering Society, Jul. 1963 v.11, pp. 212-217.
Hasebe, K. and Yamaguchi, K., "Continuous Media Network Server", Apr. 1992, in: Proceedings of SPIE, vol. 1662, pp. 291-298.
Hill, G. "Improving Audio Quality: Echo Control in VideoConferencing", Teleconference, Mar.-Apr. 1991.v.10, n.2, pp. 29-43.
Hoshi et al., "B-ISDN Multimedia Communication and Collaboration Platform Using Advanced Video Workstations to Support Cooperative Work," Dec. 1992.
IBM TDB0992, "Public Nicknames in OS/2 Office Address Book," Sep. 1992.
IBM TDB1192, "Public Search Lists in OS/2 Office Address Book," Nov. 1992.
Ishii, et al., "Beyond Videophones: TeamWorkStation-2 for Narrowband ISDN", Proceedings of the 3rd European Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work, Sep. 1993.
Ishii, H. "TeamWorkstation: Towards a Seamless Shared Workspace", CSCW 90 Proceedings, pp. 13-26, Oct. 1990.
Ishii, H., et al. "Clearboard: A seamless Medium for Shared Drawing and Conversation with Eye Contact," CHI p92 (May 3-7, 1992), 1992 ACM at 525-532.
Ishii, H., et al. "Toward an Open Shared Workspace: Computer and Video Fusion Approach of TeamWorkstation," Communication of the ACM, vol. 34, No. 12, pp. 37-50. Dec. 1991.
Kippenhan, et al., "Videoconferencing in the Energy Research Community," National HEPnet Management, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Aug. 1992.

Koszarek, et al., "A Multi-User Document Review Tool," Multiuser Interfaces and Applications, 1990, p. 207-214.
Leffler, Samuel J. et al., An Advanced 4.4BSD Interprocess Communication Tutorial, 1993 The Regents of the University of California.
Request For Reexamination for U. S. Patent No. 6,237,025, 2008.
Ahuja, et al.: The Rapport Multimedia Conferencing System, 1988.
Anderson, Plato People: Term-talk: Plato's Instant Messaging, Dec. 19, 2002.
FreeSBD Hypertext Man Pages, Who(1), Apr. 23, 1991, 2 Pgs.
FreeSBD Hypertext Man Pages, UTMP(5), 4th Berkeley Distribution, May 5, 1991, 3 Pgs.
FreeSBD Hypertext Man Pages, UTMP(5), 4th Berkeley Distribution, Jun. 29, 1991, 3 Pgs.
Ludwig: Integration of CAD/CAE With Multimedia Teleconferencing and Messaging Via Broadband Networks and Shared Resource Servers, 1990 IEEE.
Memorandum & Order Re Claim Construction, *Avistar Communications Corp.* v. *Polycom, Inc.*, No. C-02-4591 MMC, 2004.
Memorandum & Order Re Claim Construction, *Avistar Communications Corp.* v. *Tandberg ASA, Inc.*, C-05-01940 MHP, 2006.
Miller et al.: News On Demand for Multimedia Networks (date unclear), Aug. 1993.
Request for *Ex Parte* Reexamination of US Patent No. 5,758,079, filed Feb. 4, 2008.
Replacement Statement and Explanation in the Request for *Ex Parte* Reexamination of US Patent No. 5,758,079, filed Mar. 3, 2008.
Request for *Ex Parte* Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,237,025, filed Feb. 1, 2008.
Replacement Statement and Explanation in the Request for *Ex Parte* Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,237,025, filed Feb. 25, 2008.
Request for *Ex Parte* Reexamination of US Patent No. 7,185,054, filed Feb. 1, 2008.
Request for *Ex Parte* Reexamination of US Patent No. 7,185,054, filed Feb. 22, 2008.
Vin, et al.: Multimedia Conferencing in the Etherphone Environment, Oct. 1991.
Zimmerman: The Finger User Information Protocol, RFC 1288, Dec. 1991.
Addeo, E.J, "An Experimental Multi-Media Bridging System," Bell Communications Research, pp. 236-242, date unknown.
Addeo, E.J., "Personal Multi-Media Multi-Point Communication Services," IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference and Exhibition, Bell Communications Research, pp. 53-57, date unknown.
Ahuja, S.R., "A Comparison of Application Sharing Mechanisms in Real-Time Desktop Conferencing Systems," AT&T Bell Laboratories, Holmdel, NJ, pp. 238-248, date unknown.
Ahuja, S.R., "The Rappaport Multimedia Conferencing System," AT&T Bell Laboratories, Holmdel, NJ, pp. 1-8, date unknown.
Dourish, Paul, "Culture and Control in a Media Space," Rank Xerox EuroPARC, Cambridge, UK, The Proceedings of the Third European Conference on Computer Supported Work Sep. 13-17, 1993.
Ellis, C.A., "Groupware—Some Issues and Related Experiences," Communications of the ACM, Jan. 1991, vol. 34, No. 1, pp. 39-58.
Gibbs, S.J., "Liza: An Extensible Groupware Toolkit," MCC, Software Technology Program, Austin, TX, pp. 29-35, date unknown.
Goldberg, Yaron, "Active Mail: A Framework for Implementing Groupware," Feinberg Graduate School thesis, Nov. 1991.
Greenberg, Saul, "Issues and Experiences Designing and Implementing Two Group Drawing Tools," Proceedings of the 25th Annual Hawaii International Conference on the System Sciences, Hawaii, Jan. 1992, vol. 4, pp. 139-150.
Kirsche, T., "Communication Support for Cooperative Work," Computer Communications, Sep. 1993, vol. 16, No. 9, pp. 594-602.
Lakshamn, K., "Design and Implementation of a Multimedia Protocol Suite in a BSD Unix Kernel," 1993 Summer USENIX, Jun. 21-25, 1993, Cincinnati, OH, pp. 129-146.
Lichty, Tom, "America Online Tour Guide," Macintosh Edition, Version 2, Preface, pp. 5-6 and 70-72, Ventana Press, date unknown.
Newman-Wolfe, R.E., "A Brief Overview of the DCS Distributed Conferencing System," USENIX, Summer 1991, Nahville, TN, pp. 437-451.
Poggio, A., "CCWS: A Computer-Based, Multimedia Information System," IEEE Computer Society, Oct. 1985, pp. 92-103.
Salin, Peter, "Mobile Instant Messaging Systems-A Comparative Study and Implementation," Helsinki University of Technology, Espoo, Sep. 21, 2004.
Soares, L.F.G., "LAN Based Real Time Audio-Graphics Conferencing System," IEEE Infocom '89, Apr. 1989, pp. 617-623.
Whitmyer, Claude, "Groupware: The Software for Collaborative Computing," The Office, Jun. 1989, pp. 28.

* cited by examiner



FIGURE 1


Tom Griner
File Edit Permission
Search:
By Name and Company
List of 23 matches entries
Fry's (copy)
FSU Piers Kramen
Grumman Systems Support Corp
Highland Digital
Milan Technology Corp. (copy)
List of 1 selected entries:
Call
Add
Mail
Share
Whiteboard
Delete
Video Phone
File Edit Options
Help
Call
Add
Mail
Share
Whiteboard
Messages
Telephone
New Call
Call from David I Applebaum
Accept
Refuse
Add
Edit
Detail — Grumman Systems Support Corporation (copy)
Name:
Grumman Systems Support Corporation (copy)
Work Phone:
800-876-9130
Home Phone:
Company:
Work Address:
5627 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 310
Pleasanton, CA 94588
Home Address:
Remarks:
Sun maintenance
Date Updated:
Mon Sep 26 14:00:32 1992

FIGURE 2A


Posle — Browser (demo-meister - An Box)
Video File System: /usr/spool/mail/demo/DM.1249.7
Video Phone
BOB:
LOOK AT THIS DATA!
YES! I SEE THE PROBLEM.

FIGURE 2B


TO WAN
TO MM RESOURCES (16)
TO CORRESPONDING MLAN HARDWARE
WAN GATEWAY
CONFR. BRIDGE
35
35a
25a
25b
60c
30c
30a
35b
60a
60b
60
DATA LAN HUB
A/V SWITCH
MLAN SERVER
30
A/V I
A/V I
30b
60e
13a
13b
13a
13b
12.1
12.10
WS
WS
TO MM RESOURCES (16)

FIGURE 3


LOCATION B
WS
WS
12-1
12-8
AV SW
30
DATA
LAN HUB
25
R&C
42
WAN
GATEWAY
40
44
DATA
LAN HUB
25
WS
12-1
R&C
42
44
47
48
49
WS
12-8
AV SW
30
WAN
GATEWAY
40
LOCATION A
LOCATION D
LOCATION C

FIGURE 4



FIGURE 5



FIGURE 6



FIGURE 7



FIGURE 9



FIGURE 10



FIGURE 11


Edit
Hangup
Hold
Mute
Leave Word
Share
Whiteboard
Adjourn
Record
Calls on Hold:
Resume
Lester Ludwig, Keith Lantz
Resume
Resume

FIGURE 8A


Edit
Tom Griner
Hangup
Hold
Close Up
Adjourn
Hangup
Hold
Mute
Leave Word
Share
Whiteboard
Adjourn
Record
Calls on Hold:
Resume
Lester Ludwig, Keith Lantz
Resume
Resume

FIGURE 8B



FIGURE 8C



FIGURE 12A



FIGURE 12B



FIGURE 13A



FIGURE 13B



FIGURE 14A



FIGURE 14B



FIGURE 15A



FIGURE 15B


A = 0 UNIT DELAY
B,C,D = 1 UNIT DELAY
SITE NO. 2
PARTICIPANT B
SITE NO. 1
PARTICIPANT A
SITE NO. 4
PARTICIPANT D
SITE NO. 3
PARTICIPANT C
B = 0 UNIT DELAY
A = 1 UNIT DELAY
C,D = 2 UNITS DELAY
D = 0 UNIT DELAY
A = 1 UNIT DELAY
B,C = 2 UNITS DELAY
C = 0 UNIT DELAY
A = 1 UNIT DELAY
B,D = 2 UNITS DELAY

FIGURE 16



FIGURE 17A



FIGURE 17B


MONITOR 200
CAMERA 500
MICROPHONE 600
SPEAKER 700
CPU 100
101
104
103
102
130
VIDEO INPUT CARD
120
VIDEO DISPLAY CARD
NETWORK INTERFACE CARD
110
KEYBOARD 300
MOUSE 400
ADD-ON BOX 800
CONTROL 806
V-OUT 803
A-OUT 804
V-IN 801
A-IN 802
AV NETWORK (UTP) 901
DATA NETWORK (UTP) 902

FIGURE 18A


MONITOR
200
SIDE MOUNT
850
500
600
810
CONTROLS
820
CTL
AV I/O
700
806
805
CPU
100
101
150
103
102
120
VIDEO DISPLAY CARD
110
NETWORK INTERFACE CARD
KEYBOARD
300
MOUSE
400
AV NETWORK
UTP)
901
DATA NETWORK (UTP)
902

FIGURE 18B


ADD-ON BOX
800
813
EQ
811
PREAMP
812
HANDSET I/O
807
POWER AMP
813
EQ
811
PREAMP
808
HEADSET I/O
812
POWER AMP
803
V-OUT
POWER AMP
812
A-OUT
804
814
ECHO CANCELER
815
PREAMP
801
V-IN
EQ
A-IN
802
811
VOL
815
SELECT
816
LOOPBACK/AV MUTE
830
V 831
V 833
A 835
A 837
V 832
V 834
A 836
A 838
806
CONTROL
841
842
A/V TRANSCEIVERS
840
843
844
VIDEO OUT
VIDEO IN
AUDIO OUT
AUDIO IN
845
AUDIO/VIDEO I/O
805
AV NETWORK (UTP)
901

FIGURE 19


WORKSTATION SOFTWARE
160
163
ROLODEX
162
QUICKDIAL
169
VIDEOPHONE
OTHER STANDARD APPLICATION 170
COLLABORATION INITIATOR
161
164
SNAPSHOT SHARING
165
MAIL
166
APPLICATION SHARING
167
COMPUTER-INTEGRATED TELEPHONY
168
COMPUTER-INTEGRATED FAX
STANDARD MULTITASKING OPERATING SYSTEM 180

FIGURE 20

United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit

FACEBOOK, INC. v PRAGMATUS AV, LLC, 2013-1350

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Elissa Matias, being duly sworn according to law and being over the age of 18, upon my oath depose and say that:

Counsel Press was retained by COOLEY, LLP, Attorneys for Appellant to print this document. I am an employee of Counsel Press.

On **October 30, 2013,** Counsel for Appellant has authorized me to electronically file the foregoing **Brief for Appellant** with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF System, which will serve via e-mail notice of such filing to any of the following counsel registered as CM/ECF users:

Tillman J. Breckenridge
Gerard M. Donovan
Reed Smith LLP
1301 K Street, N.W.
Suite 1100-East Tower
Washington, DC 20005
202-414-9285
tbreckenridge@reedsmith.com
gdonovan@reedsmith.com

Paper copies will also be mailed to the above counsel at the time paper copies are sent to the Court.

Upon acceptance by the Court of the e-filed document, six paper copies will be filed with the Court, via Federal Express, within the time provided in the Court's rules.

October 30, 2013 /s/ Elissa Matias
Counsel Press

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH TYPE-VOLUME LIMITATION, TYPEFACE REQUIREMENTS AND TYPE STYLE REQUIREMENTS

1. This brief complies with the type-volume limitation of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(a)(7)(B).

__X__ The brief contains 6,611 words, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(a)(7)(B)(iii),or

____ The brief uses a monospaced typeface and contains _____ lines of text, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(a)(7)(B)(iii).

2. This brief complies with the typeface requirements of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(a)(6).

__X__ The brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using MS Word 2007 in a 14 point Times New Roman font or

____ The brief has been prepared in a monospaced typeface using _____ _____in a ___ characters per inch_____ font.

October 30, 2013

/s/ Heidi L. Keefe
Heidi L. Keefe
Cooley LLP

Attorneys for Appellant
Facebook, Inc.